


THE \$ 5:00 AUD
CORRUPTION
WHISTLEBLOWER

Brief of Evidence 3rd Edition - The Shot Gun Edition - 2025

**THE AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT
PAST AND PRESENT MEMBERS**

**WANTED
FOR QUESTIONING
AT A TRIAL OF THE FACTS**



**THE PEOPLE ARE QUESTIONING THE PRE-ARRANGED
DOMESTIC TERRORIST ATTACK AT PORT ARTHUR
AND THE BIO-TERRORIST MASS EXTINCTION
EVENT CURRENTLY IN PROGRESS...**

“ Following orders won’t release you from what you criminally did ”

^ FOR THE RESTORATION OF JUSTICE ^



Letter from the CWB Crew

The Corruption WhistleBlower National Newspaper is a quarterly published newspaper and the 1st Edition has been a tool for uniting people and teaching those who are willing to learn the sequences of corruption across this nation and internationally.

Our 1st Edition was received so well, thank you all who purchased and distributed it, and met with us as we toured this great nation. So many amazing people wanting Justice to be restore for The People and the criminals to be held to account for the many crimes against The People

All of us are being betrayed by the belligerent occupation, the self appointed leaders of Gov- Corp, and OUR Earth has fallen into dangerous destructive hands. United we have come to the conclusion the only way forward is for The People to convene a Grand Jury and Pass The Peoples' Orders - issue indictments to the criminals and summon them to their trial, The Treason Trials on 8th March could be The Epic reunion in Canberra,

CWB now has 3 Editions, all a Brief of Evidence see back page for ordering, and you can order these papers and view them digitally at;

www.corruptionwhistleblower.com

It has been amazing touring The CWB 1st Edition released in August 2024, so many beautiful caring People are aware and actively sharing what is going on in this Nation and all over OUR Earth.

We treasure and advocate freedom of speech and people thinking and researching for themselves.

Therefore due to popular demand we have published this 3rd Edition with deep dive articles and evidenced based research from experts in their specialised fields to ensure we start uncovering the psychological operations on The People .

We are witnessing a hidden warfare of psychological operation, so mammoth that one may prefer to not believe their own eyes and ears thus impairing their judgement, we pray for discernment and courage to look at the evidence all around us.

We see The People who are aware and awake, the Living Men and Woman seeking to assist our fellow men and woman in the kindest, most helpful and just way possible, they have begun to come into view and are rising up. Thank You...

We now welcome you to the CWB 3rd Edition The Shot Gun Edition 2025 and thank you for all your support, we pray guidance and as you fulfil your highest destiny for the Glory of Our beautiful Creator whom bestowed this earth into our care so we can honour it and leave a legacy for our children.

We stand with you now and see you in our future,
With Benevolent Love, Honour and Grace

Corruption WhistleBlower Crew

info@corruptionwhistleblower.com



Table of Contents

Love does not delight in evil but rejoices with truth. 1 Corinthians 13:6

Page	Title
4 - 8	Officials WANTED for Questioning at a Trial of the Facts - 13 Questions Surrounding the 1996 Port Arthur Massacre ...We are 29 years after the event and their are still no answers ???
9	Port Arthur massacre What really happened in Tasmania on April 28, 1996? cairnsnews https://cairnsnews.org See: The Port Arthur Massacre Was Martin Bryant Framed? Page 56
10 - 11	Vaccine Ingredients and Insert
12 - 21	Exposing VaccineGate - We need to know what's in our children's vaccines - Dr Loretta Bolgan
22 - 23	What is an Adverse Event Following Immunisation (AEFI)?
24	Tri Vortex - Natural Balance www.trivortex.com.au
25	Dr Emoto Water Crystal Experiment
26 - 34	A Conversation With Professor Dalgeish & Doctors Marik & Kundha: 
35	Patents on HUMAN CONTROL
36 - 39	Suggested Protocol Covid Cleanse - Time to regain control of your own health
40 - 41	Meme Centrefold
42 - 51	DEMOCIDE-MASS EXTINCTION EVENT - By; "Solution of Scientists (SOS) ~ Internationale" - World scientists uncover the deceit behind the Covid scam: Part 3 - Scientific Results and Evidence.. (Part 1 & Part 2 in CWB 2nd Edition 2025 page 58 - 65)
52	CWB Ordering & Distribution - Peter 0451 000 114
53	Supporters Adds
54	Who Is Wilfred Wong? - A strong advocate for satanic ritual abuse (SRA) survivors, trapped in Jail.
55	Books of Interest
56 - 78	The Port Arthur Massacre Was Martin Bryant Framed? Article from Nexus Magazine

**I am trying
to follow
The Science
but it keeps
leading me
back to
The Money**



**The Pharmaceutical industry
does **not** create cures,**

 Herbs
Health
Happiness



they create CUSTOMERS.



Mark McClellan

On the left is the former FDA commissioner in charge of regulating Johnson & Johnson. On the right is a current member of the Board of Directors of Johnson & Johnson.



Scott Gottlieb

On the left is the former FDA commissioner in charge of regulating Pfizer. On the right is a current member of the Board of Directors of Pfizer.



Stephen Hahn

On the left is the former FDA commissioner in charge of regulating Moderna. On the right is the current Chief Medical Officer of Flagship Pioneering - the venture capital firm behind Moderna.



James C. Smith

On the left is the CEO of Reuters in charge of informing people about the COVID-19 vaccines. On the right is a current member of the Board of Directors of Pfizer.



Anthony Fauci

On the left is the NIAID Director under the National Institutes of Health. On the right is the funder of bioweapons research on gain of function bat coronaviruses at the Wuhan Institute of Virology.



Officials **WANTED** for Questioning at a Trial of the Facts

13 Questions Surrounding the 1996 Port Arthur Pre-organised Domestic Terrorist Attack... Our hearts and prayers are with the victims & families...

We are 29 years after the event and there are still no answers

See: The Port Arthur Massacre Was Martin Bryant Framed? Page 52

Thank You - Article is from - <https://tottnews.com/2024/11/13/questions-port-arthur-massacre/#sidr-nav>

How did Martin Bryant, a man with the IQ of a 9-year-old, manage to kill 20 people in 90 seconds while blindly shooting from the hip?

Have we really been told the truth about this day?

There's always more than meets the eye.
Photo: SJH

A few questions that remain to this day. The following piece is an overview of some of the most 'interesting' anomalies surrounding the events of the Port Arthur Massacre, Australia's most infamous mass shooting in Tasmania, 1996.



Of course, this is only scratching the surface, and resources will be provided at the bottom of this piece for those who wish to explore the topic further.

But the reality is, many Australians remain unaware about some of the points highlighted below, and this article hopes to serve as an introduction to questions surrounding the official story.

1) FORESHADOWING

Many major landscape-changing events, such as 9/11, have all come with elements of foreshadowing (predictive programming) in the years — and sometimes decades — preceding the day itself.

The Port Arthur Massacre is no different, and unlike other events that are woven into the fabric of mass media or cinema, this particular circumstance is right in front of your face.

In 1987, then - NSW Premier Barry Unsworth, said after storming out of a national gun summit:

"It will take a massacre in Tasmania before we will be able to introduce gun laws".

Gun laws in Australia before the Port Arthur massacre

After storming out of a national gun summit in 1987, eight years before the massacre and following two mass shootings in Melbourne earlier in the year, Premier of New South Wales Barry Unsworth said: "it will take a massacre in Tasmania before we get gun reform in Australia", referencing Tasmania's resistance to gun law changes.^[10] A redesign of the laws for all states and territories of Australia had been prepared by officers and presented at a meeting of police ministers in Launceston in 1995. It had been rejected by Tasmania.^[11]

Almost a decade later, his words would indeed become a reality, like a script...

2) THE POLICE RIFLE

In 1996, it was reported that one of the guns that was used in the massacre was handed into Victoria Police during the 1987 gun amnesty. If this gun was surrendered to police, how did it end up there?



Court documents also show bullets from different types of guns at the scene, including from police weapons, despite the fact most of the killings officially took place with no authorities around for hours.



3) PRE-PURCHASED MORGUE TRUCK

Prior to the Port Arthur massacre in 1996, the Tasmanian government ordered a custom design refrigerated morgue truck to hold 22 bodies.



The truck was sold soon after the massacre. This is either very coincidental timing, or perhaps someone was 'in the know'.

WHAT'S NEW

Vehicle for Sale.

Genuine Enquiries only.

Yellow Chevrolet 350 V8 truck with refrigerated body, holds 22, this vehicle was primarily used as the disaster vehicle in the **Port Arthur Massacre**. This vehicle is currently for sale and all reasonable offers will be considered. The vehicle has value as not only a refrigerated unit for body removal, it is the only one of its kind in the entire country. The "memorabilia" value of it for anyone making a movie/series or writing a book on Port Arthur is limitless. Not only would the purchaser be getting the disaster vehicle but the whole Port Arthur Story would be given as well.

This vehicle is currently for sale and all REASONABLE OFFERS will be considered.
Email cwright@trump.net.au

This is either very coincidental timing, or perhaps someone was 'in the know'.

4) AUTHORTIES DIVERTED AWAY


On the day of the massacre, Royal Hobart hospital held a trauma seminar. Members of the royal college of surgeons were being taught new techniques for treating gunshot wounds.



If you zoom in from this photo taken from the 2018 annual meeting, you can see the 1996 meeting held on the very day the massacre occurred, April 28.

Likewise, just before lunch on the day of the massacre, Police received a phone call claiming there was a stash of heroin found at Saltwater River a 20-30 minute drive in the opposite direction to Port Arthur.


The only two police officers on duty in that district drove to Saltwater River.. only to find glass containers of soap powder. Here is a look at the distance between where these officers were and the site:



Suspiciously, many authorities would not show up to the scene for several hours, leaving victims with medical training, and local residents the task of responding to the situation.

5) INQUIRY ABANDONED

In 1996, just months after the event occurred, there was growing support from victims for a Royal Commission.. but it was ultimately abandoned by the government.



Tasmanian Attorney General, Ray Groom, said it "wasn't in the best interest of the public" to have "a long, drawn out inquiry", despite calls from family members and victims for questions to be answered. But it never happened.

"We should get on with the healing process"....

What about the healing process of those, like Wendy Scurr, who claimed it was a government operation?

6) MARTIN'S IQ

According to reports, upon assessment, Bryant had an IQ of just 68 and an intellectual functioning capacity of a much younger child.



https://videos.files.wordpress.com/0UGIbQwN/wrlfxjtgkfe2tbiy.mp4_hd.original.jpg?w=412



According to the state psychologist, he had the “mind of about a 9 year old”.

Bryant was assessed and was found to be possibly schizophrenic, and with a fascination with fire.

He had an IQ of just 68 and an intellectual functioning capacity of a much younger child.

https://tottnews.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/10/l2inhvz25vnhlcqu_mp4_avc_240p.original.jpg



Could such an infant-minded individual really massacre dozens of people in cold blood?

7) THE MARKSMAN

The shooter that shot the victims in the Broad Arrow Cafe shot right handed, and from the hip. Meaning he did not take aim down the sights. This is incredibly difficult for someone to do, especially someone with no military or tactical experience.

“The gunman was right-handed and held the rifle about 3 inches above the hip whilst shooting.” – **Graham Collyer, Port Arthur Massacre Survivor.**

In 2017, for the first time, clips from Bryant’s police interview were released on Channel 7’s Spotlight.

In the interview with police, Martin reveals he is actually left handed when shooting.



https://videos.files.wordpress.com/zawNrMXp/pqkpsys6khh-yvg_mp4_hd.original.jpg?w=412

DETECTIVE: When you practiced your shooting, did you, where did you hold the gun?

MARTIN BRYANT: Up like this, on my left

DETECTIVE: So if you held a gun, you would pull the trigger with your a finger on your left hand?

MARTIN BRYANT: Yeah that’s right, yeah

Martin is also asked if he ever practiced shooting from the hip.

MARTIN BRYANT: No, never

Now, if you believe the official story, his marksmanship was beyond fantastic – twenty head shots, from the right hip, in 90 seconds.

A movement of 3 degrees would have missed at 3-4 meters.

“Eighteen of the first twenty dead in the Broad Arrow Cafe died from single shots to the head, all fired by the marksman from his right hip in less than 90 seconds”, as described by Prosecutor Damian Bugg.

This is also confirmed on the Port Arthur memorial website: <https://portarthur.org.au/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/Port-Arthur-Memorial-Garden-Brochure1.pdf>

Just north of the township he entered the home of a local couple he knew. Inside, he shot and killed them both.

He drove to the Historic Site and ate a meal on the deck of the Broad Arrow Café. He re-entered the café, which was crowded with lunchtime customers, took a rifle from his bag and began shooting. **In the first 90 seconds, 20 people died and 12 were injured.**

Was this really possible?

For an individual with the IQ of a child?

Dutton admitted to the media that there was no forensic evidence to place Martin Bryant at the Broad Arrow Cafe. No finger prints, no DNA, no blood splatter. Was none collected? Or, knowing the outcome of the trial beforehand, maybe they just didn’t bother to collect any..

8) GUILTY BEFORE TRIAL

Speaking of the trial, in the days after the massacre, the media published Bryant’s photo describing him as *“the killer”* before he had been charged with a crime.

This tainted any chance of a fair trial.

It also may have distorted witnesses memories who had seen the shooter. This happened before many witnesses were able to submit statements to the police.

In the days after the massacre, the Tasmanian director of public prosecutions warned the media he was considering contempt charges for anyone who published Bryant’s photo:



https://tottnews.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/10/mcxz1kvnfbihqizv_mp4_dvd.original.jpg

No charges were ever laid.


Bryant was kept in solitary confinement for 5 months until he changed his plea from not guilty to guilty.

Once the guilty plea was obtained, there would no longer be a trial.

To this day, Martin has never truly shown his side of the story in a court of law.

9) ALTERED NEWSPAPER IMAGES

In 1996, The Australian newspaper admitted they modified the eyes in Martin Bryant’s published photo giving him the look of a crazed killer. They said it was a *“mistake”*.



https://videos.files.wordpress.com/qyFThTCC/hi0fw2g59qghvned_mp4_hd.original.jpg?w=412

It was also alleged someone from the Hobart Mercury broke into Bryant's home to obtain the photo.

If the media would resort to this type of behaviour, how can we believe anything else that was reported?

10) LEAKED POLICE FOOTAGE

In the years after the massacre, a police training video was interestingly 'left at a garage sale'.

How did it turn up there?

The video was of the crime scene including some of the victims. https://tottonews.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/10/nctinhmbcxkfkata_mp4_std.original.jpg



In 2004, the video was being sold at a local market. In 2011, the video was uploaded on to the internet. The video showed police sweeping through the scene in the aftermath of the shooting with very fake-looking scenes of bodies on the cafe floor. Was this 'leaked' to silence questions at the time?

11) THE SHADY LAWYER

In 2008, Bryant's lawyer John Avery was sentenced to four years and six months' imprisonment after pleading guilty at Hobart Supreme Court to one count of misappropriation and 129 counts of stealing.

Bryant's lawyer jailed over thefts

Andrew Darby and Hobart


September 19, 2008 – 12.00am

This is the same lawyer that convinced Martin Bryant's mother to tell him she would not visit him unless he entered a guilty plea, a questionable move that would seal his fate in court.

12) THE 'REAL' MARTIN BRYANT?

Another problem for the official story is raised by Bryant's hair. The photos taken at a prior Richmond show that it was wavy throughout, not "fairly straight with a bit of a wave in it". Yet most witnesses said that the gunman's hair was straight, with a wave only at the bottom.

Witness statements fluctuate between those that said his hair was collar-length, and those that stated that it went down to his shoulders, as our good friend Lindsay 'The Silverfox' explains: <https://youtu.be/JuPTMLMJwJU>



THE HAIR - The aforementioned photos of Bryant taken at Richmond raise questions about his hair colour.

Further doubts about the whiteness of Bryant's hair are raised by the news footage showing Bryant arriving at the Royal Hobart Hospital.

In frames from this video footage — the last images of the accused man ever captured — it is apparent that he had brownish hair with blond streaks, rather than white or "really blond" hair. It is also obviously collar length.



Some have suggested the real gunman had peroxided his hair in an effort to emulate Bryant's hair, which may have looked white or blond in very strong sunlight.

13) GUN CONTROL AGENDA

In just 12 days after the massacre, the Australian states and territories came together to establish a National Firearms Agreement (NFA), under the pressure of John Howard, who had just won the election.

The NFA restricted ownership of automatic and semi-automatic weapons and made it harder to obtain a gun licence.

But is Australia really safer?

Continued next page...



<https://youtu.be/EKQ5ac5Y7Fc>
The Truth About Gun Control in Australia



BOOKS:

Noel McDonald: "A Presentation on the Port Arthur Incident: Prelude to a Royal Commission", (2001);

Andrew McGregor: "Deceit and Terrorism – Port Arthur", (2001-2004);


Wendy Scurr: "My experiences at Port Arthur" in "Deceit and Terrorism – Port Arthur" Andrew McGregor (2001-2004);

Stewart Beattie: "A Gunsmith's Notebook on Port Arthur" (6th edition May 2006);

Carl Wernerhoff: 'A Critical Study of the Port Arthur Massacre', (2006);

Ian McNiven: "Port Arthur Coverup", (2009?); Carleen Bryant: "My Story" (2010);

Keith Allan Noble: "Mass Murder, Official Killing in Tasmania Australia" (2013); "Official Terror in Tasmania Australia" (2019)



Australian senator suggests worst gun massacre was a conspiracy
Pauline Hanson floats the idea the 1996 Port Arthur shooting, which left 35 people dead, was a false flag operation. Pauline Hansen

Senator Pauline Hanson was condemned for her secretly recorded comments

[Tracey Nearmy/Getty Images]

Published On 28 Mar 2019

28 Mar 2019

Australia's hard-right One Nation Party faced a barrage of outrage on Thursday after its leader Pauline Hanson was captured on camera suggesting the country's worst gun massacre was a government conspiracy.

In footage from an Al Jazeera undercover investigation, Hanson floats the idea that the 1996 Port Arthur shooting, which left 35 people dead, was a false flag operation.



"An MP said it would actually take a massacre in Tasmania to change the gun laws in Australia," she told an undercover journalist posing as a gun lobbyist.

... Is Australia really safer?

You be the judge...

Much of the information above is just scratching the surface of questions surrounding this event, and if you would like to learn more, please visit some of the links below.

ARTICLES: The Port Arthur Massacre <https://ia802205.us.archive.org/7/items/mass-murder-in-tasmania/PAM%20v4.pdf>

PORT ARTHUR: The Dissenting Narrative: <https://crazzfiles.com/port-arthur-the-dissenting-narrative/>

Port Arthur Inquiry: <https://portarthuringquiry.blogspot.com/2019/04/>


VIDEOS:

https://youtu.be/G9xzu5xzn-g?si=pr1j8WHP3_6s55Pn

<https://youtu.be/3pmdKlxM9vk>

https://youtu.be/hF50WR_BUew

For more, see
Pages 56 - 78



"Those shots, they were precision shots," she continued. "I've read a lot and I've read the book on it – Port Arthur. A lot of questions there," Hanson added.

Australia was rocked in 1996 when gunman Martin Bryant went on a rampage with semi-automatic weapons at the historical Tasmanian colonial convict site of Port Arthur.

After the attack, below Liberal Prime Minister John Howard swiftly enacted tougher gun laws.

<https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2019/3/28/australian-senator-suggests-worst-gun-massacre-was-a-conspiracy>



Port Arthur massacre video republished.

What really happened in Tasmania on April 28, 1996?



Date: December 28, 2024 Author: Editor, cairnsnews <https://cairnsnews.org>

Port Arthur, Tasmania the scene of Australia's first major psychological operation in 1996

A Cairns News production <https://cairnsnews.org/2024/12/28/port-arthur-massacre-video-republished-what-really-happened-in-tasmania-on-april-28-1996/>

Many people associated with and others not involved at Port Arthur are calling to re-open the Coronial Inquiry that was cut short when the Supreme Court accepted a guilty plea from alleged shooter and intellectually-disabled, 29 year old Martin Bryant who now languishes in Risdon jail in Tasmania.

Tasmanian Coroner at the time, Ian Matheson, in a letter to a family member of a shooting victim said he would discontinue the Coronial Inquest because his findings, based on the evidence before him, would go against the Supreme Court verdict after Bryant was coerced into pleading guilty. (see above video) In other words Matheson would have found that Bryant was not guilty.

In the video above a TV station inadvertently broadcast an image of a man dressed in army fatigues sitting in the front seat of an ambulance soon after the incident.

He has been identified as one of the alleged shooters, Hans Overbeeke, whose son allegedly was the other shooter.

Several witnesses stated there were at least two shooters.

The Prime Minister in 1996 was Liberal John Howard, a solicitor, some say of Jewish descent, who hated guns and now it seems was a tool of Deep State. He appeared at large public gatherings in the aftermath of the Port Arthur massacre wearing a flak jacket.



Flak jacket Johnnie addressing a large protest about gun confiscation. Soon after gullible firearm owners surrendered one million guns. They were effectively disarmed but the good news is there are now more than four million, legal, new firearms in the hands of Australians. Fortunately industry estimates claim there are another half a million unregistered firearms in the hands of law abiding shooters, in readiness for a rainy day. Hence his nickname at the time of 'flak jacket Johnnie.' A Cairns News contributor who attended a large rally in Canberra in 2002 was casually speaking with several Federal Police officers and other rally attendees on Parliament House lawn. The contributor said the group discussed Port Arthur and it was revealed that John Howard knew much more about the incident than first thought. An officer said he was aware Howard had prior knowledge of Australia's worst civilian massacre. And he was not the only politician who

knew it was coming. Recent intelligence community advice to Cairns News was that the CIA had direct involvement in the event. The official police record of the entire pypop has been sealed for 75 years. Howard et al might get away with it yet. – contributed.



<https://youtu.be/EKQ5ac5Y7Fc>

31 January 1997

PORT ARTHUR

As a result of the outcome of the charges preferred against Martin Bryant in the Supreme Court of Tasmania, I write to advise I do not intend to resume the inquest that I opened on the 29th April 1996. I believe it is not in the interests of family, friends or witnesses to again traverse the factual situation to a public hearing, particularly when any finding I make must not be inconsistent with the decision of the Supreme Court.

I have today written to the Attorney General advising of my decision.

May I take this opportunity on behalf of the staff of my office to extend our condolences for your sad loss.

Yours sincerely,

Ian R. Matterson

Chief Coroner's Delegate
Southern Tasmania

HAD YOUR FLU SHOT THIS WINTER ???

thimerosal (Mercury)

ethanol - anti-freeze

polysorbate 20 & 80

aluminum dye

formaldehyde

aluminum

acetone

alcohol

barium

E. coli

dextrose

chloride

gelatin

casein

glucose

fructose

detergent

yeast protein

sucrose (sugar)

salts and sugars

sodium phosphate

chicken protein

human albumin

fetal cow serum

cow muscle tissue

cow serum albumin

chicken egg protein

monkey kidney cells

porcine (pig) gelatin

porcine (pig) arginine

calf serum and protein

embryonic guinea pig cells

DNA from pig circoviruses (PCV)

insect cell bacterial, and viral protein

Canine (dog) Kidney cell protein and DNA

Mueller's media (containing cow extracts)

human embryonic (aborted baby)


lung cultures

LOVE

Fear



FLESH



SPIRIT

COVID-19 Vaccine AstraZeneca contains genetically modified organisms (GMOs). Any unused vaccine or waste material should be disposed of in accordance with local requirements. Spills should be disinfected with an appropriate antiviral disinfectant.

6. Contents of the pack and other information

What COVID-19 Vaccine AstraZeneca contains

One dose (0.5 ml) contains:

COVID-19 Vaccine (ChAdOx1-S* recombinant)

5×10^{10} viral particles

*Recombinant, replication-deficient chimpanzee adenovirus vector encoding the SARS-CoV-2 Spike glycoprotein. Produced in genetically modified human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 cells.

This product contains genetically modified organisms (GMOs).

The other excipients are L-histidine, L-histidine hydrochloride monohydrate, magnesium chloride hexahydrate, polysorbate 80, ethanol, sucrose, sodium chloride, disodium edetate dihydrate, water for injections.

What COVID-19 Vaccine AstraZeneca looks like and contents of the pack

Solution for injection. The solution is colourless to slightly brown, clear to slightly opaque and particle free.

Pack sizes (not all pack sizes may be marketed):

- 10 dose vial (5 ml) in packs of 10 vials.
- 8 dose vial (4 ml) in packs of 10 vials.

Manufacturer

MedImmune UK Ltd
6 Renaissance Way
Brentford, L24 9JW

👁️ AT THAT! THE ASTRAZENECA VACCINE CONTAINS MONKEY POX!!!!!!!!!!

THE TRUTH
will set you
FREE
JOHN 8:32

And the light of a candle shall shine no more at all in thee; and the voice of the bridegroom and of the bride shall be heard no more at all in thee: for thy merchants were the great men of the earth; for by thy sorceries were all nations deceived. Rev 18:23 ...neither repented they of their murders, nor of their sorceries, nor of their fornication, nor of their thefts Rev 9:21

The reason why God will destroy Babylon is because this city will deceive all the nations by her witchcraft (Rev 18:23b; cf. 9:21). The Greek word translated as 'witchcraft' is 'pharmakeia' a greek word for sorcery' (whence we derive the word 'pharmacy').



Exposing VaccineGate

WE NEED TO KNOW WHAT'S IN OUR CHILDREN'S VACCINES

Exposing VaccineGate

We need to know what's in our children's vaccines!

Where do you start on a story that is bigger than the Covid scamdemic; bigger than lethal Covid vax side effects?

How do you talk about an issue so horrific that it is worse than the last harrowing three years when we lost so many millions of our loved ones and lost our basic rights and freedoms?

An issue bigger still than the coming horrors like the implementation of the WHO Pandemic Treaty; world control by a corrupt United Nations; Digital Identity Bill; Misinformation and Disinformation Bill; global banking collapse; Central Bank Digital Currencies; the coming fake or real Carrington event; and deliberate weather manipulation through geoengineering leading to ongoing catastrophic floods and bushfires.

INTRO

Sounding the clarion call about our toxic children's vaccines sits on the shoulders of a few brave souls like Italian medical researcher Dr Loretta Bolgan.

<https://www.studiesalute.org/archivio-ricerche-salute-tradotte-English>

Vaccine Analysis / Various Documents

<https://www.studiesalute.org/vaccini-pediatrici>

Dr Bolgan is a pharmaceutical chemist with wide research experience in chemistry, biochemistry, molecular biology, and cellular biology. She was a scientific consultant of the last Italian Parliamentary Committee that studied vaccines given to Italian Army members and **researched the reasons why they had such high rates of serious cancers, especially lymphomas.**

Then she unearthed a disturbing link to all childhood vaccines the world over – they were one and the same product!

Dr Bolgan got permission from the Commission to study the composition of the vaccines given to the military (**remember, these were the same as the children's vaccines the world over**) and was given access to the confidential vaccine information dossier of the pharmaceutical companies that created the vaccines being studied. **From the information provided to her, there were indications the vaccines had contaminations and ingredients that could be responsible for toxic effects.**

Dr Bolgan continued her work privately after the Commission inquiry ended and no action or further research was supported by the Italian Government.

She found private funding to study children's

vaccines using highly specialised labs with expertise in mass spectrometry and Next Generating Sequencing Technology. They were capable of analysing the novel proteins, metals, chemicals and genetic contamination that were not supposed to be in the children's or army vaccines.

Dr Bolgan and her research team studied these vaccines which were ALL severely contaminated:

- **Infanrix Hexa** (the commonly used Diphtheria, Tetanus, Pertussis [Whooping Cough], Hepatitis-B, Polio and Influenza-B combined vaccine used for babies and children worldwide from the age of 6 weeks and known widely as the triple antigen).

- **Hexyon** (another version of the commonly used Diphtheria, Tetanus, Pertussis [Whooping Cough], Hepatitis-B, Polio and Influenza-B combined vaccine used for babies and children worldwide from the age of 6 weeks and known widely as the **triple antigen** – but in a premixed form).

- **Priorix Tetra** (the commonly used **MMRV** i.e. Measles, Mumps, Rubella, Varicella [Chickenpox] combined vaccine used for babies and children worldwide from the age of 9 months).

- **Gardasil 9** (the commonly used Human Papilloma Virus vaccine used for girls from the age of 9 and women under the age of 46).

ALUMINIUM NEUROTOXIN IN MOST VACCINES

Dr Bolgan said: - **“aluminium, a neurotoxin, was in protein vaccines and appeared in various forms including as micro and nano-particles. “Aluminium nano-particles are very dangerous for children, because you have to consider that these nano-particles go to, and then drain away from, the lymphatic system, then go directly inside the brain, bypassing the blood-brain barrier,”.**

- “There are studies that prove the aluminium from the vaccines stays in the brain in a stable way, and it's not very easy to get it out of the brain. This can cause high inflammation known to be related to many kinds of problems including SIDS – Sudden Infant Death Syndrome, and many neurological conditions like autism and learning disabilities.

“We underestimate these adverse reactions very well. We are talking about over 90% underestimation of vaccine adverse reactions – 90% of the shorter timeframe for vaccine reactions but if we talk about the longer timeframe of vaccine adverse reactions, we lose ALL of them.”

In some cases where death was the outcome related to vaccine injection, Dr Bolgan found that the so-called non-infectious or live 'attenuated' virus in the vaccine, actually became reactivated in the body, leading to infection. In one case she studied, this caused meningitis in a child, leading to death.

She says there are some known mechanisms whereby an 'attenuated' virus can mutate and revert back to an 'infective' virus again.

"This effect is very well known with the polio virus," "It's called the reversion of the virus. This means that the person gets infected from the vaccine virus, and this can cause severe disease or even death. So, when a child dies from meningitis, 2-3 years after vaccination, nobody is able to say it was because of the vaccine that they got a few years ago, or if it was from something else."

WHAT ELSE THEY FOUND:

1. 65 UNKNOWN CHEMICAL SIGNATURES

Infanrix Hexa Vaccine (Diphtheria, Tetanus, Pertussis [Whooping Cough], Hepatitis-B, Polio and Influenza-B combined vaccine used for babies and children).

Dr Bolgan found **8 candidate toxins and 65 unexpected chemical signatures in the vaccine** – only 35 of which were known proteins in the data base. The rest were novel and unknown to science.

To study these chemicals further, the team needed to source standard controls, but not knowing what they were dealing with, they were not able to purchase any such controls. **"Most of the components we found, don't even exist as standard control. So, we don't know what they are, that is the main problem."**

Dr Bolgan said. **"And when you have many of these unknown chemicals and compounds mixed together, you need to be sure they don't have a synergistic toxicity. So, even if one compound might be below the limit of toxicity, when it is present with many other unknown compounds, it can become highly toxic. And we could find no evidence of any such toxicity tests ever having been done."**

"In my opinion, many of these vaccines with unknown chemicals are likely to be toxic and dangerous for children, plus they don't give any kind of immunity whatsoever, because antigens are not even there in the first place."

"It makes the vaccines defective."

WHAT ELSE THEY FOUND:

2. MISSING ANTIGENS

Dr Bolgan was most concerned to find that none of the alleged virus antigens that were supposed to be in the Infanrix Hexa children's vaccine could be identified in the vials. **"So, we notified to the Italian medical regulatory agency the quality concern, because the stated antigens were missing," she said. "But so far they didn't answer to our request to perform**

more investigations."

"It would be good if the children were vaccinated for nothing without the adverse reactions, but what we found out, testing the antibodies titles, is that most of the vaccinated children don't produce protective antibodies after the vaccination and could have instead severe adverse reactions. Also, they could produce harmful autoimmune vaccine antibodies that predispose people to autoimmune diseases instead."

Infanrix Hexa: Presence of genomic DNA of: Monkey 4.69% Total RNA extracted: Not quantifiable through standard fluorimetric methods. Protein antigens of diphtheria toxoids, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B, haemophilus influenzae B, Poliomyelitis 1-2-3 were **NOT identified in the vaccine.**

What they found instead of the antigens, was an unidentifiable, insoluble and indigestible protein polymer. That means the antigens that are supposed to induce the production of protective antibodies in our children are **NOT identifiable in the vaccine.**

There are NO traces of soluble antigens.

However traces of monkey DNA ARE present in the vaccine.

There were also 65 signs of chemical contaminants of which only 35% are known to science, there were among these candidate compounds various processing residues and cross-contaminations from other manufacturing lines. Seven additional candidate chemical toxins were also detected, having a structure that could probably be partially derived from the formaldehyde, glutaraldehyde and cyanogen bromide in the vaccine reacting with other chemical contaminants in it. Dr Bolgan says that the toxicity of many of these candidate chemicals has been confirmed and published in Pubchem and Toxnet. **"This poses important safety problems, issues and concerns,"** she said. **"From the protein and peptide fraction study, various free peptides of bacterial origin have been obtained probably coming from the bacterial culture cells used for the antigen extraction. Literature reports bacterial peptides as potential allergens and also as capable of inducing autoimmune diseases."**

"It seems difficult to understand how it is possible to say these products can create protective antibodies against the six diseases they should protect from, and even harder to understand how these contents can be claimed as not toxic to newborns, as we can't find most of these compounds in any scientific databases."

"This casts major doubts on both the vaccine's effectiveness and on its safety. As always, we are awaiting the opinion of the scientific community on this matter and have filed our concerns with the Italian Public Prosecutor's office." Continued next page...



Exposing VaccineGate Dr Loretta Bolgan

WHAT ELSE THEY FOUND:

3. MODIFIED NOVEL PROTEINS AND FORMALDEHYDE

For the protein side of the vaccine contamination study, Dr Bolgan used mass spectrometry.

She found that the protein in the Infanrix Hexa vaccine – the triple antigen we all recognise as “harmless” – was a strongly mutated protein.

“So, can’t call them proteins anymore, in the term we should consider the antigens, that is soluble and digestible, because they have a very strong chemical modification,” Dr Bolgan said.

“This chemical modification is caused by a formaldehyde compound that is used to detoxify the vaccine. This compound chemically modifies the proteins and binds them together in a covalent way. Formaldehyde changes the proteins and the antigens inside the vaccine. As I said, we found that most of the children don’t make antibodies against these vaccines, so they have been vaccinated for nothing.”

“All the proteins are linked together very strongly. We couldn’t study them, because to study protein with mass spectrometry, we needed to ‘digest’ the protein. We need to have a soluble protein to digest into small pieces to analyse it.

“So, when they inject the vaccine into the child, this big protein (a macro-molecule we called it), stays inside and can cause very heavy inflammation.”

Dr Bolgan said this can lead to a disease called macrophagic myofasciitis.

Macrophagic myofasciitis is an emerging myopathy characterised by macrophage infiltration in connective tissue that is thought to be triggered by aluminium hydroxide-containing-vaccines and novel proteins. **It can become a systemic autoimmune system disease, because of the chronic inflammation being caused.**

“We also found that small parts of this protein can end up in the brain along with the aluminium, and these could both be responsible for long-term inflammation and many kinds of diseases,”

Dr Bolgan said. Unfortunately from the genetic part of her study, Dr Bolgan found some small parts of degraded fragments from the polio virus inside the vaccine. “The Italian regulatory agency told us that is not a problem. **But it shouldn’t be inside the vaccine. So, we don’t know what it can cause, this type of contamination,”**

WHAT ELSE THEY FOUND:

4. FOETAL DNA IN VACCINES

Priorix Tetra Vaccine (Measles, Mumps, Rubella, Varicella [Chickenpox] combined vaccine used for babies and children.) “We studied different

batches of the Priorix Tetra vaccine and we found these vaccines are NOT definable a measles, mumps, rubella, and chicken pox vaccine, they are FOETAL DNA vaccines,” Dr Bolgan said. **“This foetal DNA comes from an entire human genome – it is a full genome of human DNA, from another person’s body. We found a complete genome of a human being and we found the identity of the genome of this child. It was a foetus aborted in the 60s, the same one that is used to produce vaccines the world over, so we found an identity, an actual source, for this genetic material.”**

“From the early steps of our investigation, we didn’t find any degraded DNA, so we can suppose that it is a complete genome in good condition. It could be, that there are some cell lines inside the vaccines, complete. We believe this human genome shouldn’t be in any vaccine of course, so for us, we can consider it as contamination.”

“But for the regulatory agency they call it ‘residual’, and for them the ‘residual’ can have a cut-off, and this cut-off can be very high sometimes.”

“Shockingly, for genetic material, there isn’t even a cut-off, because they believe that this genetic material doesn’t cause any damage. This implies that even if it’s inside the vaccine, it can be there in any amount.”

“But this is not acceptable. We need to further analyse the possible mutation of this DNA because the pharmaceutical company and the regulatory agency claim that this genetic material is not genetically modified although they didn’t give us any proof of this. **“We believe that when you start to replicate the cell line to produce the virus for the vaccine, the cell line should always remain in the same genetic composition. Instead, here we found that this cell line has been replicated millions of times since the 60s. So there have been decades of replicating the same cell line which introduced many genetic mutations. It’s not the original cell line anymore.”**

“We can say right now the genetic modifications in this foetal DNA, the DNA in the children’s vaccines, is highly mutated with genetic modifications that potentially could be carcinogenic, that is a cancer-triggering DNA.

“So I believe the pharmaceutical companies are producing vaccines from cell lines that pose the risk to induce cancer.”

BREAKOUT BOX - Priorix Tetra is the vaccine with the highest amount of contaminant extraneous DNA - 140 times higher than the maximum limit of 10 ng of permissible residues of foreign genetic material in vaccines. Presence of genomic DNA of: Varicella 14%, Chicken 4% Human (MRC-5) 78%, Presence of RNA of: Measles 0.02%, Mumps 0.22%, Rubella 0% The rubella virus genome was not detected Varicella 5.15%, Chicken 0.20% Human (MRC-5) 89.65%,

A SINGLE INJECTION of the Measles, Mumps, Rubella and Varicella (Chickenpox) vaccine has 140 times the maximum limit of permissible residues of foreign genetic material allowed in vaccines is the jab with the highest amount of contaminated extraneous DNA with 10 ng per shot and yet it is routinely given to babies and children with no concern for any potential toxic side effects, warns Italian research scientist

Dr Loretta Bolgan.

Adults get the same shot, with the same dosage. It is not adjusted for weight, age or body mass when given to our babies, toddlers and children, Dr Bolgan said.

This vaccine is known as **Priorix Tetra Vaccine and is also infamous for having a high percentage of foetal DNA.** This foetal DNA comes from an entire human genome – it is a full genome of human DNA, from an infant's dead body. In fact 78% of the genomic DNA and **90% of the RNA in the vaccine is from an aborted foetus.**

Dr Bolgan says she has found the complete genome and identity of this tiny human being. It was a foetus aborted in the 1960s, responsible for the same cell line that is used to produce vaccines the world over today.

AUTISM, ADD AND ADHD ALL LINKED TO TOXICITY IN VACCINES

Dr Teresa Deisher, a geneticist, who is a gene therapy expert, has said that foetal DNA can integrate 100% of the genome inside the host DNA. "She has proven that foetal DNA integrates completely in the genome of the receiver,"

Dr Bolgan said.

"She has also proven that integrated DNA is able to transform cell lines in potential cancer cells and can also affect genes that are very important for the development of the child's brain and nervous system, and are linked to neurodevelopmental diseases like Autism, ADD and ADHD.

"She has released this finding publicly many times without being listened to by anybody."

"So we are facing the problem of curing kids who have serious intellectual and developmental diseases right now. In the western world we have about one child in every 36 with Autism, that's an unbelievably HIGH percentage – and no one is looking at the link to vaccines. **"We need to consider that before the mass vaccination**

with these vaccines the rate was about one child in every 5.000 children having Autism. Of course the medical establishment don't want to discuss this fact."

"In the discussion that I had with the Italian regulative health authority I told them that we cannot say that Autism is not vaccination damage, like the WHO wants to claim. The WHO were unable to give me even one study where there is a negative control group of 'never vaccinated' children. If we don't have this negative control group, you can never say that vaccines don't cause Autism. The best you can say is that you don't know, if you don't have the control group."

"One US study of 600 matched children compared the same number of children vaccinated to those never vaccinated. In this group the ratio of Autism to no Autism was 6:1 – there were six vaccinated children with Autism for every one unvaccinated child with Autism. And the unvaccinated child's cause of Autism was known, because they had a probable cause like asphyxia or particular problems during pregnancy.

"We took all our results and put a case before the Italian courts in 2019 and we are still waiting for a verdict. (UPDATE: the case was archived with no answer to our requests of investigation)"

"In the meantime, the children are still being vaccinated with this poison.

"The European medicinal regulatory agency had no concerns about our findings either. The reason why the pharmaceutical company can't eliminate completely this genetic material, is linked to the risk to lose their antigens, if they purified the vaccines.

"But we found samples of the vaccines without the stated antigens in them anyway. For example, the Measles, Mumps, Rubella and Varicella (Chickenpox) vaccine didn't have the rubella virus antigen inside it.

"And our research proves the protein antigens of Diphtheria, Tetanus, Pertussis (Whooping Cough), Hepatitis B, Influenza B, and Poliomyelitis cannot be identified in that childhood vaccine either, what we have found instead of these antigens, is an unidentifiable, insoluble and indigestible polymer.

"That means that our children after receiving these vaccines are NOT able to produce protective antibodies.

There are NO vaccine antibodies in most of the children.!"

"This is a worldwide scandal and medical fraud."

Continued next page...



Exposing VaccineGate Dr Loretta Bolgan

VACCINES CAN CAUSE THE DISEASES THEY SEEK TO PREVENT

Dr Bolgan said that going deeper into the vaccine fraud, her research team found the endogenous viruses, such as the retro virus, leukemia virus, equine anaemia virus, and the avian leukemia virus ALL could potentially replicate inside the body of the person that has the vaccine and could cause diseases.

Not only did they find DNA for these viruses in the vaccines, they also found RNA from these viruses.

“So, when you have DNA and RNA that is of good quality and it’s not degraded, it means the virus is active and potentially replicating,” she said.

“So, this vaccine really has major problems regarding the genetic material that is inside it, and for us, it’s a really dangerous vaccine.

It is contaminated and should be taken off the market for many reasons.”

Dr Bolgan has unearthed yet another harm of the massive vaccine deception across the world.

“Of huge concern is that we didn’t find just one defined genetic sequence for the vaccine attenuated viruses. Instead, we found a cloud of mutants of the same vaccine virus. These hundreds of mutants that are inside the MMRV (Measles, Mumps, Rubella, Varicella/Chicken Pox) vaccine are called a QUASI-SPECIES.

“This cloud of mutations doesn’t have anything to do with protecting people from the mutants that are causing the epidemics right now.

“So once more, we are injecting babies, toddlers and children with a worse-than-useless vaccine, because we are vaccinating them with a vaccine that is completely different from the mutated viruses that are actually circulating.

“Plus, measles, mumps and rubella are single strand RNA viruses, just like SARS COV2 i.e. the virus of COVID-19.

“We have already seen what happens with these RNA viruses – by the time you make the vaccines, within a few months, the virus has mutated and is already highly resistant to that vaccine. This means the child can get infected anyway, even if he is vaccinated.

“Some research papers are now supporting this view. Studies are showing that when they get vaccinated for a resistant virus, children can develop a chronic disease from the infection, instead of it becoming an acute disease that they are more able to quickly recover from.

“Our research supports the view that these vaccines are related to the huge increase now being seen in auto-immune disease, cancer, and degenerative diseases in children.”

Table

MMR Vax Pro

Presence of genomic DNA of:

- Chicken 28%
- Human 14%

Presence of RNA of:

- Measles 8%
- Mumps 17.70%
- Rubella 0.2%
- Chicken 23%
- Human 12.75%

THE HORRORS OF GARDASIL-9 HPV VACCINE

“The Gardasil 9 HPV vaccine is, in our opinion, a cancer-triggering vaccine – not a cancer preventative vaccine,” says Dr Loretta Bolgan.

“We don’t have any reliable evidence to prove that this vaccine is able to prevent HPV (Human Papilloma Virus) cancer. So, we are injecting young women with yet another vaccine that does not do what it claims.

“I have many reports that have come to me personally of younger girls that got cancer temporally associated to the vaccine and this made me and my team study it in more depth.

“Our analysis confirm the presence of Aluminium adjuvant in this vaccine. Aluminium can penetrate the blood-brain barrier. Combined with the contaminations of HPV fragments in the vaccine, this could become a cocktail able triggering genetic transformation.

“This toxic cocktail is normally injected in the deltoid muscle, but as we saw with the COVID vaccines, it can end up anywhere in the body. In fact, in the lymphatic system and in every cell.”

Dr Bolgan said that “with Gardasil-9, as with the children’s vaccines, some of the crucial antigen claimed to be there were missing entirely. Plus, we found a huge amount of chemical contamination,”

So concerned were they about one contaminant they believed was present – a type of candidate amphetamine – that they took their concerns to the Italian police for investigation.

“We considered it was in a sufficient amount to be considered a dangerous substance. These chemicals shouldn’t be in a vaccine, even if we are talking of a candidate, not confirmed compound” Dr Bolgan said. “Our research shows that the HPV vaccine is one of the worst contaminated. It is a combination of 9 vaccines together, and every antigen carries its own chemical contamination. So, when you put it all together, the amount of chemicals inside this vaccine could become potentially dangerous.”

“The regulatory agency only looks at each chemical singly. If it’s below a certain amount, they don’t consider it a problem. But when you put all 9 together, it becomes a significant amount that can pose a risk of a toxic assault on the body.”

“The problem with the heavy metals that are inside the vaccine is that they are hard to remove from the body and could last indefinitely.

“At present the main heavy metal in Gardasil is Aluminium, but we shouldn’t forget that Mercury was used as a preservative until it was banned in around 2000. But it took about 10 years for the pharmaceutical companies to run out of supplies of the vaccines. By then it had accumulated in young women’s bodies, causing serious adverse health effects to the newborn.”

“In fact the protein-based vaccines mostly used thimerosal. Tragically, the presence of this compound was associated to many kinds of neuro-developmental diseases in children.”

“One mistake the medical establishment makes all the time is considering only one component of a vaccine or medicine and its toxicity. What they don’t do is consider the **cumulative impact of all the many ingredients** and how these toxins interact with each other. They don’t study this effect on the human body at all, also they don’t give enough attention to the fact that the metals in children’s vaccines that **used to be micro particles are now nano-particles.**”

“The nano particles have a different toxicology. They travel to the lymphatic system, before they go into the blood. **When they go to the lymphatic system, these metals are carried to all parts of the body, but mainly to the brain.**”

“When we inject a child into their arm, the vaccine goes to their lymph nodes under their armpit and then to the neck, and from there straight into the brain.”

“So, if a child has the complete childhood immunisation schedule to the age of 18-months, he or she accumulates up to 4 milligrams of nano-particle Aluminium in their little body.

This Aluminium is not easy to get out.”

“Also, we need to consider that Aluminium is genotoxic, it is allergenic, it has many bad properties, plus, it has another property that most of the time we don’t think about. Aluminium is able to change the conformation of proteins in the body and is able to make pathological novel proteins. Pathological proteins make aggregates in the brain and cause degenerative diseases over time.”

Also, the increase of the severity of diseases in youth right now could be linked to the earlier use of paediatric vaccines, because of the high level of Aluminium that is inside children’s vaccines.

“Regulatory agencies claim that the Aluminium inside the vaccine is very normal, compared to the amount that we eat, and they give the example of when we eat tuna fish, that is full of heavy metals like Mercury and Aluminium.”

“The mistake of this claim is that the Aluminium from the food we ingest is an ionic metal, (it’s not a nano particle) and this is very different. **97% of the Aluminium that we ingest is eliminated from our body. Plus, it finishes in the blood. The 3% that stays does not pass through the blood-brain barrier, because this barrier is able to protect from heavy metals that come from food.**”

“Instead, nano-particle from vaccines bypass the protection that our body has from ionic heavy metals. **We can’t compare oral ingestion with the injection of nano-metals directly into our bloodstream.**”

“I asked them if they have a children’s nanotoxicology guideline for exposure to Aluminium in vaccines and they did not, yet here we are talking about injecting small children with Aluminium and children are not even able to detoxify it.”

“By the way, adults also face the same problem but children have a higher toxic load compared to an adult because the children’s vaccines are given at the same dose of Aluminium as those given to adults – they are one and the same injection and not modified for age, weight or body mass.”

“So, the answer the regulators gave me was that Aluminium adjuvant is a compound of long consolidated use, so it means that is not toxic...”

“I can question that if they don’t study the toxic effect, how they can know if it’s toxic, plus if they don’t study the adverse reactions and they don’t notify them properly, how can they say that Aluminium is not toxic? They need to study the toxicology in babies and confirm it!”

Continued next page...



Exposing VaccineGate

Dr Loretta Bolgan

We need to know what's in our children's vaccines. When we get a Covid jab, nano particles of heavy metals or novel mRNA fragments surge through our body, travelling via the lymphatic system and ending up in every cell – including in our brain.

Welcome to seizures, stroke, heart disease, brain fog, inability to think or reason clearly, and memory loss – to name a few.

No one is studying the cumulative impact of this vaccine toxic overload – or the death and injury rate being experienced by human populations –

according to Italian vaccine expert, Dr. Loretta Bolgan...

"Previous vaccines have always used adjuvants – toxic nano particles like aluminium that cause inflammation and therefore help the body to launch an immune response," she said.

"Covid vaccines are no different, but they hide even more sinister ingredients born from poorly regulated and little understood mRNA technology.

"The world regulating agencies have even allowed them to have up to 50% of the vial contents 'degraded' because they claim that this 50% is the adjuvant for the vaccine and not the vaccine itself.

"What they ignore is that the adjuvant is a compound which needs a known composition. You need to study the toxicology of that compound. It's being injected into a human body after all.

"With the 'degraded' Covid vaccines, you don't know exactly what is in them! It can be different every time you do a batch, every vial can be different.

It is against quality assurance standards and safety for any vaccine to have such a high percentage of 'degraded' content.

"These 'degraded' Covid vaccines, have a high probability of causing auto-immune diseases

like Lupus, and Multiple Sclerosis or ALS, in which the confused immune system attacks healthy cells.

"This happens because the protein produced by the vaccinal mRNA or injected with the vaccine puts the immune system into overdrive and it then mistakenly attacks the body's healthy cells and destroys them.

"Some types of vaccines have cells from human

embryos, mice, monkeys, chickens and other different kinds of animals. All these cell lines carry their own specific viruses, that are dangerous for the human being. Being injected with these vaccines can induce inflammation, autoimmunity and other diseases.

"I remember what happened with the SV-40 virus, that was from the Green Monkey cell line, and used to produce the polio vaccine. That virus contaminated millions of vials of the polio vaccine and only years later, after billions had been injected, they found out that the SV-40 virus is carcinogenic. We don't know how many people developed cancer because of this.

"This virus can be transmitted from the mother to the child. The mother vaccinated with SV-40 passes on the SV-40 to the child.

So, we also had transmission to another generation, with an increased risk of cancer."

Dr. Bolgan says that because most of the worldwide population has been vaccinated over the past 100 years, the damage caused by the vaccines to our genetics in one generation, keeps passing on to the next generation.

"So, we have many generations right now – five or six generations at least, that have accumulated genetic mutations from the previous generations, because of the vaccines their parents and grandparents took," she said.


"Right now, we have a very fragile generation, in terms of their genetics, because of the cumulative damage of past vaccines. The propaganda behind vaccination – and why we are continually pushed to have them – is the concept that it can induce herd immunity. That is a lie."

Only natural infection and recovery can produce herd immunity because herd immunity is made by the immune memory cells. These memory cells recognise a virus and its variants and can keep effectively fighting them over a lifetime. Vaccines don't have this property

because they are not able to stimulate the production of memory cells".

"If it was true that vaccines make memory cells, then you would have one injection, and it would last all your life. But reality proves otherwise. You need to have booster after booster after booster. The antibodies disappear soon after being injected with a vaccine without any long lasting immunity.

Vaccines are



-Dr. Chris Exley,
Professor in Bioinorganic Chemistry

“ Vaccines are useless.”

“Regardless of whether the antibodies are effective or not, vaccines only make short-lived antibodies (and never for the current circulating virus). They don’t make any memory cells. This has been proven by many papers. So, vaccines cannot give us herd immunity.

“Plus, these short-lived weaker antibodies link to the virus that is circulating and get captured by the immune cells. Inside the cells, it happens that the virus readily detaches from the antibody, and starts to infect the immune cells instead to be destroyed.

“This infection of the immune cells is the cause of a disease called antibody dependent enhancement, that induce a more severe disease in a vaccinated person that get infected compared to the one not vaccinated. In this way, a vaccinated person gets sicker than an unvaccinated person.

“It has been proven that the Covid vaccines cause antibody dependent enhancement.

That is exactly why vaccinated people keep getting very sick with Covid while non-vaccinated people have mild symptoms, or can recover faster. Dr. Bolgan’s goal is to give people independent, well researched, factual and provable information.

“It’s very difficult to find information that is not manipulated. Also, in scientific papers today, we have a lot of censorship and deceit.

Medical publishers won’t accept or publish research that does not fit the dominant narrative. **They are rejecting paper after paper – ever peer reviewed papers from esteemed scientists, doctors and scholars are not being published unless they fall into line and support the fake ‘vaccines are safe and effective’ line.”**

“This fraud needs to be exposed.”

Prion Disease suspected in Covid vax

Dr. Bolgan says the contamination of genetic material in the vaccines is “very dangerous and it shouldn’t be there because it could integrate in the host DNA, **but also the proteins in the vaccines or the spike protein produced by the vaccine mRNA are very dangerous, because they have a similarity with the prion protein, and can cause Prion Disease.”**

“The antigens present in paediatric protein-based vaccines are normally linked to aluminium, and aluminium transforms physiological prion protein into pathological prion proteins.

“Basically, for over a hundred years, it’s possible that we have been injecting pathological prions into our children, and we seem surprised that as they become older people, they all die of Alzheimer’s, Parkinson’s and many other similar neurological conditions.

“If we keep injecting these vaccines into our children, we can expect the continued proliferation of these neurological diseases and similar conditions like autism, ADD and ADHD to happen earlier and earlier in their life.

“Remember also that prion disease does not have any cure right now. We cannot destroy the prion aggregates when they form in the brain; it’s very difficult. We don’t have any effective therapies for these kinds of diseases.

“In my opinion we are accumulating many kinds of risks linked to the paediatric vaccinations. They have no efficacy, we don’t need them, and they cause a huge increase of risk for all of the diseases. Plus we need to consider another risk that we never talk about too much, and that is childhood vaccines create fertility problems because they are very strong endocrine disruptors.

“They destroy the hormones that are necessary for the reproductive system because they destroy or inhibit the entire hormone production and regulation system, so many kinds of diseases are set up to manifest.

It’s a very wide spectrum of danger that we cause through the childhood vaccination programs across the world.”

It seriously needs to be stopped!

Vaccine damaged - The system doesn’t want to know about you!

“I have been doing this vaccination research work for over 20 years as a consultant for families and their children with vaccination damage. I also did a consultancy research project for the Italian Army personnel and people who took vaccines and were damaged by it.”

“What I can tell you is that there is no justice for these people. The person who gets vaccinated, and gets damaged, is completely forgotten by the system.

The system wants you to vaccinate, otherwise you can’t do many things. But when you get the damage, you disappear from the system, so you are on your own.”

Continued next page...



Exposing VaccineGate

Dr Loretta Bolgan

“It is really very difficult to prove that it is a vaccine damage issue. Most of the papers that are available as evidence – and the judges who are considered for the hearings and decisions – are all controlled and manipulated. One of the issues is that no-one ever presents the main evidence which is that the people who have NEVER been vaccinated are much healthier and don’t have as many health problems and diseases as those who HAVE been vaccinated.”

“People go to the courts thinking they’ll get justice for their vaccine injury. But they will never get justice. The number of people who get even a small amount of money is tiny. There is never enough for the destruction of the life of a small child and the family or for the lifelong disability and hardship suffered.”

“It is a problem because it never gets recognised. There are many Army people who got cancer and died, they were not recognised for their vaccination damage either.”

“Covid vax damage is more evident. Like in most of the western world, people in Italy were forced to have a compulsory vaccination for Covid – even healthy frontline workers like doctors and nurses. These people needed to be vaccinated to work.”

“The problem now is that these people needed to keep getting vaccinated to stay in their job, which made them sicker and sicker. Or they are sick from the initial vaccines. They can’t live without working, but they are often too sick to work. Once their sick leave runs out, they are without a job at all, and often quite debilitated with no means to even pay for their basic life needs. They don’t even have the money to cure themselves.”

“For me, it’s cruel, it’s a cruel thing really. It’s something that goes against all our human rights. So, this is the real FIGHT that we need to have!

The fight for the human race. And the first thing you can do as an individual is to SAY NO, we need to start to say NO!

“People say, I can’t say NO because nobody is going to help me, but if a person is inside a community of people who have the same needs, the same thinking, and they want to change things, they need to stick together and use their collective power.

“First thing, like I said, the person needs to be stronger and say NO. And to look for other people who say NO. So, they said to us vaccination WAS NOT COMPULSORY, but... you will lose your job, you won’t be able to go to the shop, you won’t be able to take your kids to school or whatever it might be, you won’t be able to have surgery, you won’t be able to get an organ transplant, you won’t be able to get certain medication, you won’t be able to pay your mortgage, but you can choose to not do ANY of those things and lose your home... so, obviously it was absolutely compulsory, it was forced, because you were put into a box and the box got smaller and smaller if you didn’t do it”.

“I know it is very complicated, but with a little bit more time, people will have to understand. It will be a much more difficult world if we don’t stand up against this coercion and tyranny.”

“When the government’s position was for the doctors to receive the compulsory vaccination to work, I said, how long would the hospital system last, if all the doctors didn’t go to work – two days? Three days? If all the teachers/Professors stay at home and they don’t go to school, how long can they keep the mandatory vaccine laws going?”

“If the people don’t go to work (if ALL of them don’t go to work), because they tell them they have to get vaccinated, then – nobody goes to work!!

“So, the power to change the law or the rules comes from the people.

It’s like as consumers we get to decide what to buy at the supermarket. If I don’t want to buy something, I don’t buy it, because if it’s not healthy, I look for something else that is healthy. So, we make the decision!

We need to understand that we have the power to say NO!

“We cannot follow these bad concepts any more, we should distinguish between these things. Science is not a dogma and it’s not faith, it’s research and it can change – every day you can get new information. Science is built on DATA and the data has proven repeatedly that the Covid vaccines – in fact ALL vaccines are harmful”

“Forced vaccination is a dogma, it’s not science. ‘Safe and effective’ is a dogma, it’s not science. Remember that.... And just say NO!”

Continue next page...



The objective of Bolgan – Studi e Salute is to create a database of articles and dossiers in various areas of scientific research impacting human health.

Emphasis is placed on collecting material prepared and reviewed by independent researchers, to support scientific research free from conflicts of interest and assist individuals in making informed, educated choices in an increasingly complex field due to rapid scientific evolution and technological innovations, and the vast amount of information requiring constant verification.

This space is designed for both healthcare professionals seeking in-depth insights based on scientific evidence, and general readers wishing to enhance their understanding of current health topics.

Our mission is to act as a bridge between the complexity of scientific research and its practical application, providing accurate and comprehensive resources. Through a collection of original documentation, translations of specialised texts, and multimedia materials, we aim to offer a comprehensive and stimulating learning experience that satisfies and supports knowledge development in health.

This section results from a constant and ongoing commitment to ensuring that every article, study, and video not only informs, but also inspires and provides practical tools for a better understanding of crucial and evolving topics in health.

Loretta Bolgan's - Video Link

<https://rumble.com/v59qpk-r-loretta-bolgan-childrens-toxicity-findings-and-enquiry-italy.html>

<https://www.studiesalute.org/archivio-ricerche-salute-tradotte>

SUPPORT RESEARCH WITH US

You can make a donation via bank account:

Bank transfer to

BOLGAN – STUDI E SALUTE ASSOCIATION

Payment description:

donation to the Bolgan-Studi e Salute Association

PT50 0007 0000 0071 6710 1092 3

SWIFT/BIC BESCPTPL

Feel free to comment on this article via email:

[**info@corruptionwhistleblower.com**](mailto:info@corruptionwhistleblower.com)

1 John 5:4

For everyone born of God overcomes the world. This is the victory that has overcome the world, even our faith.

They lied about



Tobacco



Mercury



Opioids



Aluminum



Talcum



Sweeteners



Saturated fats



GMO's



Raw milk



Cholesterol



Fluoride



Glyphosate

But they're now telling the truth?



Most life-threatening adverse events usually begin within 10 minutes of vaccination;

Adverse Event Following Immunisation (AEFI)

The adverse event is unfavourable or unintended, symptom or disease following the administration of the immunization (bio-weapon)

Anaphylaxis

Anaphylaxis is very rare, but it can be fatal. Patients with anaphylaxis need immediate treatment.

All immunisation service providers must be able to recognise all the symptoms and signs of anaphylaxis. You must know the difference between anaphylaxis, convulsions and fainting.

See the Australian Immunisation Handbook for details on managing anaphylaxis.

<https://immunisationhandbook.health.gov.au>

In addition, the Australasian Society of Clinical Immunology and Allergy has anaphylaxis e-training ASCIA e-training for health professionals

<https://etraininghp.ascia.org.au/>

Fainting

A vasovagal episode (fainting) is relatively common after adults and teenagers receive a vaccination. Infants and children rarely faint.

You should assume that a young child who suddenly loses consciousness is having an anaphylactic reaction. This is particularly the case if a strong central pulse is absent. A strong central pulse (for example, carotid) persists during a vasovagal episode or convulsion. Most faints following vaccination occur within 5 minutes, and 98% occur within 30 minutes.

Make sure the patient is safe:

- Lay the patient flat on their back.
- Elevate their legs to restore blood flow to the brain.
- Loosen tight clothing.

See the Australian Immunisation Handbook

<https://immunisationhandbook.health.gov.au>

for details on managing fainting, and distinguishing between anaphylaxis and fainting.

Febrile Convulsion

A febrile convulsion is a fit or seizure caused by a fever.

Febrile convulsions are a relatively common response to fever of any cause in young children. It is particularly common in those aged less than 3 years, peaking at 14 to 18 months of age. By the age of 5, approximately

3 per cent of all children will have had a febrile convulsion, irrespective of vaccination.

Febrile convulsions are rare immediately

following immunisation. **They are more likely 7 to 12 days after the first dose of MMR or MMRV vaccines, compared with other vaccines.**

See the Australian Immunisation Handbook for details on managing febrile convulsions.

<https://immunisationhandbook.health.gov.au>

Injection site discomfort

Local reactions to a vaccine injection are relatively common. The following reactions may occur at the injection site for 1 to 2 days:

- soreness • redness • itching • swelling

Most reactions are mild and resolve quickly. Sometimes a small, hard lump may persist for some weeks or months. This generally requires no treatment.

Fever

Some vaccines cause a fever. Parents can manage this by:

- giving extra fluids to drink
- not overdressing an infant if they are hot.

<https://immunisationhandbook.health.gov.au>

Vaccinating people who have previously had a serious AEFI

A serious AEFI is one that is considered life-threatening. The most serious immediate adverse AEFI is a severe allergic reaction known as anaphylaxis.

A contraindication to any particular vaccine is previous anaphylaxis to that vaccine or one of its ingredients.

People who have had other serious AEFIs may be subsequently vaccinated under close medical supervision. Most states and territories offer an adverse event immunisation clinic where parents or carers can:

- get advice regarding revaccination
- receive supervised vaccinations if required

Where there is no clinic, there is often a paediatrician or infectious diseases specialist who will assist families.

Please do your unbiased research on Vaccine effects **How many have they really damaged we may never know... Proceed with caution**

Contact your state or territory health department for more information about adverse event immunisation services.

HEALTH PROFESSIONALS MUST REPORT ADVERSE EVENTS

What is an Adverse Event Following Immunisation (AEFI)?

Vaccines, like any medication or natural therapy, can have side effects. An AEFI is any negative reaction that follows immunisation.

It can be either expected or unexpected.

When to report AEFIs

Most reactions to vaccines are like a low-grade fever and pain at the spot where the needle went in. They are usually mild and short-lived. These reactions do not require special treatment or reporting. You should report to the state and territory AEFI contacts below if you have concerns about a reaction that:

- appears to be getting worse
- does not fit the common reactions for that vaccine.

Why report an AEFI?

You can help the TGA to assess the possible role of the product in causing the adverse event by providing all relevant information.

Reporting an AEFI assists in;

- identifying or better understanding the safety issues relating to newly introduced vaccines
- monitoring AEFI rates and trends across Australia
- Identifying problems with manufacture, storage, delivery or administration.

**FOR THE SAFETY OF US ALL -
'YOU' MUST REPORT
ALL VACCINE SIDE-EFFECTS**

Consumers can report AEFIs to;
**THE THERAPEUTIC GOODS
ADMINISTRATION OF AUSTRALIA
(TGA)**

**Free call Phone: 1800 020 653
Phone: 02 6289 4124**

Email: adr.reports@tga.gov.au

Overseas call Phone: +61 2 6289 4124
Deaf: National Relay Service- external
site TTY or computer with modem users
phone 1800 555 677 then ask for 1800 020 653.
Help with English: You can use the Translating
and Interpreting Service- external site:
• Calls from Australia 13 14 50
• Calls from outside Australia +61 3 9268 8332
Fax: +61 2 6232 8392
**Open: Monday to Friday, 9:00 am to 5:00 pm
(AEST) except on public holidays.**

**Mail: TGA
PO Box 100,
Woden ACT 2606, Australia**

[https://www.tga.gov.au/resources/
resource/forms/national-adverse-events-
following-immunisation-aefi-reporting-form](https://www.tga.gov.au/resources/resource/forms/national-adverse-events-following-immunisation-aefi-reporting-form)

**Consumers wanting to
report a vaccine side
effects can also call the
Adverse Events Medicine
Line on 1300 633 424.**

Calls are answered by registered pharmacists

How CONSUMERS can report an AEFI

- directly to the TGA using the National Adverse Event Following Immunisation (AEFI) reporting form
[https://www.tga.gov.au/resources/resource/forms/national-
adverse-events-following-immunisation-aefi-reporting-form](https://www.tga.gov.au/resources/resource/forms/national-adverse-events-following-immunisation-aefi-reporting-form)
- online to the Report a Side Effect of a Medicine form.
<https://www.tga.gov.au/report-side-effect-medicine>

Database of Adverse Event Notifications (DAEN)
[https://www.tga.gov.au/how-we-regulate/monitoring-
safety-and-shortages/report-adverse-event-or-incident.](https://www.tga.gov.au/how-we-regulate/monitoring-safety-and-shortages/report-adverse-event-or-incident)

**State and Territory
Immunisation Health Services**

Australian Capital Territory ACT health
02 5124 9800
New South Wales - NSW Ministry of Health
1300 066 055
Northern NT Department Of Health and Families
08 8922 8044
Queensland QLD Health -13 HEALTH
13 43 25 84
South Australia - SA health
1300 232 272
Tasmania - TAS Department Of Health
1800 671 738
Victoria - VIC Department Of Health
1300 650 172
Western Australia - WA Department of Health
08 9321 1312



Green Light Laser



The special embedded resonance technology delivered by the green light of the Laser holds the key to the healing properties of a Trivortex geoFusion Laser. Any other green light laser is simply a pointing device. We use Class 3A (IIIA) lasers as they emit far less radiation than a Class 3B laser. The green light laser is used as these penetrate the body better and further than a red or blue light laser. You will find a variety of uses for the Trivortex geoFusion Lasers. Let's explore some of the ways you can use it at home or in your practice.

Water Structuring At Trivortex we recommend drinking water that has been filtered to purify it as best as possible. To restructure the water with the Laser shine it directly into the water (either glass or container). Move the Laser around to best cover the entirety of the contents. For best results you treat the water for around 2 or more minutes. Try tasting your water before and after treatment to notice the change in flavour and texture. Often people report they notice a softer, wetter and lighter drink. Water structuring with the Trivortex Lasers is not just limited to your household drinking water. You can use this device on water in outdoor tanks, fish tanks and in any setting where you feel the water will benefit from restructuring.

Acupressure Points Working with the Trivortex Lasers at home or in the clinic setting can complement therapy when applied to acupressure points. Simply direct the Laser point at the location you are working with. Applying the head of the Laser to bare skin or hover above the point. The Laser can be applied to the body safely for a period of twenty minutes or more. Distance: As close to the skin as possible. You can also stand back and sweep over the entire body. Hold the Laser still over the site of pain, specifically targeting the area of treatment. You can move from place to place as desired. Time: Hold for up to 20 minutes at a time.

Blood Clearing In preliminary laboratory testing Tri-vortex geoFusion Lasers have shown to be effective in clearing some foreign particles which are not normally found in our blood. The following are guidelines for use: Hold the laser on a main artery of your body or on your navel for 2 to 3 minutes, once a day for 2 to 3 days when starting. You need to make sure your body is OK with the laser treatment before progressing to times. Your Kinesiologist can muscle test or ask your Practitioner before progressing to longer periods. Once your body has acclimatised to the laser you can progress to 20 min a day for 6 to 8 days.

Water Disc Your Tri-vortex Water Disc has more uses than just water structuring. Water/Liquid Structuring Larger discs can be used as drink coasters or hung in any size water tank. Use smaller discs as a coaster, in your water bottle or place in your pets water bowl. It generally takes 2 to 3 minutes to structure water with one of our discs. Larger tanks will take longer depending on size. Once your water is structured it is still ok to leave the disk in your water bottle and/or to continue to use as your coaster. Juice, wine/spirits, tea and coffee – either cold or hot, milk, oil or any liquid can be structured with a Trivortex Water Disc. The time required will vary with the liquid. An indication of successful structuring is when there is a change in taste of the liquid. Caution - carbonated drinks will flatten when in contact or near a Trivortex Water Disc.



Large Water Discs will be effective in a radius of approximately 3 meters (10 feet) and smaller discs a radius of approximately 1.5 meters (5 feet). When testing your Water Disc with 2 glasses of the same liquid please be sure to keep them at least 3 meters apart.

Water Discs For Pain Place or tape your Trivortex water disc to the pain affected area on your body or on your pet. Leave for as long as required however it is recommended to use for approximately only 12 hours at a time.

Water Discs For Sleep Place your Water Disc under your pillow or mattress at night for a better night sleep.

Water Discs for EMF Protection Carrying a water disc in your pocket or taped to your body will also give you protection from EMF. If you suffer from EMF we recommend our embedded resonance Shunghite products as they offer greater EMF protection.

Wearables – Pendants, Bracelets & NutraVial -Wear your pendant or bracelet for better health, wellbeing and vitality. Wearing Trivortex can: Reduce Pain, Protect against harmful electronic fields - EMF, Improve cellular oxygen concentration, achieve greater energy balance, Cellular coherence, Reduction of acidity. You can also tape your bracelet or pendant to a sore or painful part of your body for targeted relief.

EMF Protection with Wearables All Trivortex wearables will give you EMF protection when you have them on. If you suffer chronically from EMF we recommend our embedded resonance Shunghite products as they offer far greater EMF protection.

Water Structuring with Wearables Use your pendant or bracelet to structure water by placing it in or under your water/liquid bottle/vessel or alongside making sure they touch. As with a Water Disc, it normally takes a few minutes to structure your liquid.

Trivortex NutraVial This brass vial is designed as a pendant which can hold a small amount of any substance of your choice. The design and use of the NutraVial is centred generally around quantum physic principles where the vibration of an atom effects the vibration of neighbouring atoms.



Therefore, when using the NutraVial, the deposited substance resonates with the cells in your body. The benefits:- Ability to wear instead of ingest, Ability to test for tolerance or benefit, Reduce negative side effects, Save money, Multiple substances can be used in the vial at one time Simply unscrew the lid and fill with your desired liquid, solid or medicine. Replace the lid and wear until you can gauge a positive or negative reaction. Adjust the amount in the vial to alter your reaction to the contents – much like taking more or less medicine.

Contact us If you require further information:

Rob Caruso M:+61 (0) 417 770 855

E: rob@everleaf.com.au

To book a distant healing/muscle testing appointment contact **Fiona Caruso M:+61 (0) 417 7770 571**


E: fiona@everleaf.com.au





Dr. Emoto, a Japanese author, researcher, photographer whose Water Crystal Experiments proves that positive and negative words can change the shape of water molecules... <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IUIJiQCV34>

How much of our body is water?



A CONVERSATION WITH PROFESSOR DALGLEISH & DOCTORS MARIK & KUNADHASAN



<https://www.malcolmrobertsqld.com.au/a-conversation-with-professor-dalgleish-doctors-marik-and-kunadhasan/>

Professor Angus Dalgleish is one of the world's best and most highly respected oncologists, immunologists, and virologists. When the COVID-19 jabs came on the scene, he had already been working with cancer vaccines and HIV vaccines for more than 30 years, and had the credentials to assess the safety and efficacy of this novel type of 'vaccine'.

His research on what has transpired since the rollout of the various COVID-19 shots will leave you dumbfounded and flabbergasted.

How could this health catastrophe have happened to millions of people?

How could these injection have caused so much death and disability?

How come our medical authorities are still promoting them in the face of a tsunami of unequivocal evidence that they are harmful and can cause death?

You will learn so much in this interview he has with Australian One Nation Senator Malcolm Roberts. He is joined by two esteemed expert doctors who add their weight to the mounting evidence of malfeasance.

They are: Doctor Paul Marik co-founder of the US Front Line COVID-19 Critical Care Alliance (FLCCC).

Dr Marik is an accomplished award-winning physician with special knowledge in a diverse set of medical fields. He has specific training in Internal Medicine, Critical Care, Pulmonary Care, Neurocritical Care, Pharmacology, Anaesthesia, Nutrition, Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, has written over 500 peer-reviewed journal articles, 10 papers on therapeutic aspects of treating COVID-19, 80 book chapters, authored four critical care books, and been cited over 54,500 times in peer-reviewed publications.

Dr Jeyanthi Kunadhasan Is an anesthetist and perioperative physician from Victoria, Australia.

Dr Kunadhasan has held roles in medical leadership and has spearheaded many initiatives leading to improved

patient outcomes. In December 2021, when vaccine mandates were rolled out, Dr. Kunadhasan requested a risk assessment to warn her employer about the dangers of the shots, while at the same time trying to keep her job and avoid taking the injection herself. Unfortunately, instead of considering her request, her employer fired her. She is currently writing reports and articles about the aftermath of the COVID-19 pandemic and is the treasurer of the Australian Medical Professionals Society (AMPS).

Professor Angus Dalgleish: "I think actually this is homicide. I regard it as the crime of the century. Yes. Because of the insistence of putting this into young adults and children and the fact that they're trying to use this as a big cover up for messenger RNA to be the standard vaccination mechanism of the future. I mean, this is gene therapy in children. The outcome could be truly catastrophic. Six billion people have had these vaccines. That's over 75% of the world's population at risk of long-term problems with this. We haven't even started to scratch the surface of it.

We must ban all messenger RNA vaccines now and forever. We've got to protect those people who have yet to be exposed to them. And the second major thing is we have to have a good anti-spike protein detox program."

At the beginning of the so-called pandemic, Professor Dalgleish contacted the Cabinet of the United Kingdom, the senior decision-making body of government, to warn them that the COVID-19 spike protein was clearly the result of human bioengineering.

It had most probably escaped from the Wuhan research laboratory in China, where they were doing gain of function research. (That same research had earlier been started in the United States with US Government funding.)

When Professor Dalgleish studied COVID-19, he was surprised to find that 80% of the spike protein had similarity with human epitopes – a special chemical group on the surface of an allergen that can specifically bind to antibody or antigen receptors, such as T cell receptors, in humans.

“This meant it would be guaranteed to cause significant harm and autoimmunity disorders to humans. It was inevitable,”

Professor Dalgleish told Malcolm Roberts in his recent interview.

“Before they even started designing the vaccines we knew this would be the case. Although we now know that the vaccines were being designed long before the virus... ‘escaped’.”

Malcolm Roberts: ... you knew... this would be a killer shot?

Professor Dalgleish: Absolutely, yes. And we shouted it and we gave a document which went to the British Cabinet. It also went to Sage (a global academic publisher) and Chris Witty (England’s Chief Medical Officer), all of whom chose to dismiss it.

Malcolm Roberts: So this was homicide?

Professor Dalgleish: The fact that they didn’t take this seriously and they went ahead with the vaccine program, I think actually this is a homicide. Especially as I don’t think the vaccine was needed. And what I was really amazed at, is that there are transcripts of our discussions. Chris Whitty, accurately said, **you can’t justify a vaccine (when the disease it targets) doesn’t kill 30% of the population.** Well, when they released the vaccine, we knew then COVID-19 only killed 0.085% of the population, with an average age of 82. So there was no justification for it at all based on that, especially as it was a respiratory droplet infection.

Malcolm Roberts: Can you explain please, in simple terms, the three mechanisms by which they did this damage, and why the COVID-19 vaccines should not have been used?

Professor Dalgleish: We summarised it very well in an article we published in ‘Frontiers in Immunology’ in 2020. We warned about all of this before the vaccines were anywhere near released. **And in that article, we reviewed every coronavirus vaccine attempt and we pointed out why they not only all failed, but why they were dangerous, and why they killed primates.**

If you were being cautious, you would look at that data and say, any coronavirus vaccine we make has got such a high chance of: 1. not working

and 2. being very dangerous and even lethal.

Malcolm Roberts: So there’s no benefit or minimal benefit, if any, and there’s a huge risk and huge damage?

Professor Dalgleish: Indeed. And all this was published in early 2020 and we again shouted about it. We also showed that... the virus changes quickly and is already changed in two months and then is gone.

The next thing is, when you give that vaccine, you imprint the immune system, so it only sees that copy of the virus that you put into the vaccine. So when you have COVID-19 variants, it doesn’t see them. When you then get a booster of that vaccine (months later), it produces loads of antibodies against a virus that doesn’t exist.

And all that these antibodies do is enhance (make worse) the impact of every other variant of coronavirus that comes along. Now, that was all called ‘antibody dependent enhancement’. All that was clearly logged long before they even released the vaccine.

Why didn’t they stop and think and look at that? And they cannot say they didn’t know because we submitted all this data to the people who were making these decisions. It clearly was deliberate.

Dr Paul Marek: “Professor Dalgleish has said it’s the crime of the century, but maybe it’s the biggest crime in history because of the number of people that have been wilfully injured and killed by the so-called safe and effective COVID-19 vaccines.

One of the consequences (of the COVID-19 vaccines) is sudden death. People dying suddenly.

6 billion people have received this and we know the risk of adverse events is 7%, so you can work out that 420 million people worldwide have been seriously vaccine injured. We talk about this serious vaccine injury and the medical community doesn’t want to recognise this disease, doesn’t want to investigate it, doesn’t want to look at treatment strategies for a population of over 400 million people who are severely injured and disabled.”

Dr Paul Marek: I’m an intensivist. I worked in ICU (intensive care units). Together with Dr Pierre Kory I developed the FLCCC, the Front Line COVID-19 Critical Care Alliance.

I have been quoted as the second most published critical care physician in the world. I have published a lot of papers, so I think I do have some credibility.

We know from the CDC’s own data (The US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention), that they collected data on vaccine injury. We know, according to their data, that there are at least 18 million vaccine injured patients in the United States. We’re talking about serious, serious, life-threatening injuries.

It’s truly astonishing that 6-7% of people who get the so-called vaccine, which is actually a genetic therapy, will develop a serious adverse event. And when I mean serious, this is serious!

Patients can be paralysed, patients can get Guillain-Barré syndrome, patients develop severe neuropathy, patients develop dementia. There’s the clotting problem.

We know, without question of doubt, that the vaccine activates clotting and results in strokes and heart attacks and all kinds of clotting issues. So these are serious problems.

There’s no question of doubt (that cancers have increased dramatically) although the authorities want to dismiss it. There’re multiple mechanistic pathways by which we know the vaccine can induce cancer. And it’s been called turbo cancer because it presents often as late Stage-3 or Stage-4 disease. For many cancer patients in remission who get a COVID-19 booster, suddenly the cancer gets out of control. It also presents in young people who otherwise don’t get cancer.

Malcolm Roberts: And this is particularly significant for people under 50 where the cancers are not that common, but they have now skyrocketed. Cancers are also increasing dramatically for those above 50. Also relapses are occurring at a phenomenal rate.

Dr Paul Marek: So it’s a serious issue. The vaccine is not safe. There’s absolutely no question of doubt. The spike protein is without question the most toxic compound known to the human body. It causes a whole spectrum of really severe autoimmune diseases. Guillain-Barré is an autoimmune disease with antibodies against myelin.



One of the most serious complications is that patients develop a severe peripheral neuropathy where they have severe burning pains in their legs. They have difficulty walking. And again, this is due to antibodies against certain components of the nerves. Then there's type one diabetes. There are a whole host of autoimmune diseases associated with this vaccine.

Malcolm Roberts: You foresaw all of this?

Dr Paul Marek: ...it was absolutely clear that patients would develop autoimmune diseases. One of the biggest problems with the vaccine is altered mentation and brain fog and increased risk of Alzheimer's disease.

So the spectrum of complications directly associated with the vaccine is enormous, and this cannot be denied. There's absolutely no question of doubt. There's overwhelming data that this vaccine is associated with hundreds of different medical complaints.

We know this from Pfizer's own data. After the release of the vaccine, Pfizer collected reports of data reported to them on vaccine injury. **So within the first three months of the release of the vaccine, Pfizer reported 42,000 SERIOUS ADVERSE EVENTS and 1200 DEATHS. The FDA (America's Food and Drug Administration) knew.....Pfizer knew, The CDC knew...**

Malcolm Roberts: FDA is the equivalent of our TGA, the Therapeutic Goods Administration, which supposedly tests vaccines – but then it doesn't – then it approves injections and medical treatments. And this is not a vaccine in the classic form. This is an experimental gene therapy-based treatment.

Dr Paul Marek: Absolutely. **The safety has never been determined.** And there were never safety tests. And as Dr Kunadhasan will talk about shortly, Pfizer manipulated their results. They lied. They committed fraud to cover up the serious consequences of the vaccine.

So one of the serious consequences is sudden death. People dying suddenly. And I'm sure most people know of reports of people dying

suddenly. And so there are a number of mechanisms. We know the vaccine causes severe myocarditis... which is now occurring in young children... which is unheard of. The second (serious consequence) is that it activates clotting. There's no question of doubt. A paper in Nature (an international research journal) showed that the spike protein directly activates fibrinogen, which is a clotting protein. So we have multiple mechanistic pathways by which we know the spike protein activates clotting. And that is a serious problem because it causes heart attacks, it causes strokes, it causes pulmonary embolism. And not only does it cause big clots, it also causes micro clotting, which interferes with blood flow, particularly in the brain.

If you were to design a toxin, a bioweapon, it would look like the spike protein.

Malcolm Roberts: It's horrific to cause homicide. It's another thing to cause fraud. But then when you know all of this, and you gaslight people, and make them think that there's no problem, that is inhumane. And it prevents treatment. So 'these people' know that there are millions of people around the world, on the road to quick death, and early death, and they're not doing anything. They're hiding it.

Dr Paul Marek: Yes. I think it's heartbreaking. It's absolutely heartbreaking. **The number of people who have died and the number of people who suffer severe injuries. And we're talking about severe injuries. People, young men and women, completely incapacitated. They have to walk around with walking sticks. They've lost their job. They've lost their income.**

Malcolm Roberts: Some of them have become quadriplegics.

Professor Dalgleish: The data that is really startling is the T cell response being suppressed by the vaccine boosters in cancer patients, correlating with the number of cancers that relapsed and are being diagnosed. And the Office of National Statistics (ONS) Japanese data completely confirm this. For the people who have had three or four boosters, their cancer incidence goes up completely correlated to that. There can be no other cause, and data from Czechoslovakia and Italy have confirmed this trend.

Malcolm Roberts: So what you're both saying very, very clearly is, you increase your risk of death and severe injury with the number of boosters you have. And secondly, just stop this, stop the whole injection program worldwide.

Professor Dalgleish: Yes, it should be stopped now and forever. This technology, messenger RNA technology is absolutely lethal. It's a gene therapy, which they have no idea what the long-term effects are going to be. But my scientific colleagues and I have 10 major reasons how it can cause cancer, let alone the autoimmunity, and **it should be stopped immediately.**

These companies have done deals with different countries. I just know in the case of the UK that it didn't go out for tender, but all of a sudden, the UK has committed \$100 million to Moderna for making messenger RNA vaccines for future use. In fact, it's the same in Australia, \$100 million a year actually. So it's a billion over 10 years.

So it is absolutely diabolical because they want to use this for flu, RSV, anything that comes along. They already have published a trial that messenger RNA flu vaccines aren't as good as the current ones, which are pretty useless. But the most important thing was they've got far more toxicity. So why would you switch to a technology that your own trial has shown is more toxic?

Malcolm Roberts: So even though the flu vaccines aren't as effective as people think, these mRNA vaccines are less effective and a huge risk. Why would you do it?

Professor Dalgleish: Why would you do it? I think it's criminal. I really do believe this is what it is.

Dr Paul Marek: What's truly astonishing is if you look at the Cleveland Clinic data, and I think people would recognise that Cleveland Clinic is a reputable organisation, what they showed is that the more boosters you got, the greater the risk of getting COVID-19.

So let me repeat that. I mean, it's a truly astonishing concept that you're vaccinating to prevent a disease. But in fact, what's happening is because it's suppressing the immune system, it's increasing your risk of getting the disease you're trying to prevent. So we've spoken about it not being safe. It's clearly not effective.

And so they've lied to us.

It's neither safe, nor effective, it's dangerous.

When we talk about sudden death and excess deaths, we're talking about millions of people. A paper in the British Medical Journal quantified 3.5 million excess deaths related to the vaccine.

Malcolm Roberts: So can we come to the FLCCC? If you could tell us what it is, how and why you formed it and how it's going? And then tell us about the way that they have censored you.

Dr Paul Marek: Yeah. So, you know, this was March 2020. And if you remember, at that time the US National Institutes of Health (NIH) said there's no treatment for COVID-19. Once you're hospitalised, there's no treatment. So what they were recommending is people stay at home, they go blue, and then when they can't breathe, they go to hospital.

Malcolm Roberts: That's what I was told when I caught it, because I thought I'd better report it, that I've got COVID-19. And they told me exactly that. They couldn't give me any treatment.

Dr Paul Marek: So, you know, there's no clinician in the world who would accept that there's no treatment for a disease, even if you don't understand it, even if there's no specific therapy, you're at least going to try something which you think has a good chance of working. And so that's what we did at the FLCCC.

Dr Pierre Kory and myself put together first a protocol for hospitalised patients because we knew COVID-19 caused clotting. We knew.

Malcolm Roberts: So this is before COVID-19 was en masse in the United States?

Dr Paul Marek: Yes.

Malcolm Roberts: You anticipated it?

Dr Paul Marek: Oh, of course, yes. We knew what was coming. **We thought that if people followed our guidance or similar guidance, COVID-19 would have ended, and then this would have gone away.**

Malcolm Roberts: And by the way, you were proven right because COVID-19 has ended in places where Ivermectin was used, for example, and some basic protocols without any drugs, but just giving vitamin D and other natural treatments. It was

stopped in its tracks.

People don't know this, but I found out from Australia's Chief Medical Officer that the severity of COVID-19 was classified as low to moderate – in their own document to me. So if you then take away the people who are vulnerable, it means basically COVID-19 had very low severity. And yet they knew some people would die from it, but they recommended no treatment.

Dr Paul Marek: So when it comes to the hospital protocol, we were one of the first people to recommend corticosteroids because **we knew this was an inflammatory disease.** And the way to control it was with corticosteroids. **Six months later, the recovery trial proved us correct, but we were significantly vilified for suggesting steroids and heparin and vitamin C.**

And so this was the protocol I was using at my hospital. But what happened in the end is **I was fired essentially for using this protocol.** The hospital that I worked at – Eastern Virginia Medical School and Central Hospital – refused to let me treat my patients with medication that I thought was appropriate, and all I could use was Remdesivir, which we know kills patients.

So basically that led to me losing my hospital privileges and my licence and eventually my boards. But that protocol makes a lot of sense today. And, you know, we came up with that in 2020. And the other thing is we realised the best way to stop this pandemic, was to treat people with early therapy. And there are at least 20 different pharmaceutical nutraceutical products that have been proven to be highly effective in patients to prevent transmission of the disease. **You know, what we favoured was Ivermectin because it's very safe and very effective.**

Malcolm Roberts: **4 billion doses over roughly 40 years and hardly any side effects.** And the side effects, if any, are just very, very mild and they're overcome very quickly.

Dr Paul Marek: And it's important to recognise that those 40 million or 100 million doses were given to humans, not horses. This is very important because people have this misconception, that Ivermectin is a toxic horse deworming medicine that has resulted in hundreds of hospitalisations. This lie was perpetuated by the FDA. And we actually sued them over this.

We won in the court. We won the case. There were no punitive damages. They just had to acknowledge that they had acted beyond the jurisdiction, that they had provided false information and they retracted all their tweets and their postings that Ivermectin is toxic.

Malcolm Roberts: This is the American Food and Drug Administration. It's supposed to be protecting people.

Dr Paul Marek: That's correct. So this obviously wasn't widely circulated in the mainstream press because, you know, it is the FDA. But this was in the **Fifth Circuit Court in the US**, and this was the verdict. So people can look it up. The data is available.

Malcolm Roberts: So there are protocols. Where do people find out about them? If they want to find a protocol for treating COVID-19 and the spike protein from the injections, where do they go?

Dr Paul Marek: I would recommend they go to [FLCCC.com](https://www.flccc.com) or [FLCCC.net](https://www.flccc.net) **So we have a whole host of protocols for preventing COVID-19, for treating COVID-19, and more importantly, for the vaccine injured because, as I said, there are at least 18 million in the US, and there probably a gazillion million across the world. And this is a disease the medical community still doesn't recognise.**

Malcolm Roberts: You wrote an excellent book and Amazon censored it and restricted it, wouldn't sell it. And now it has released that ban, hasn't it? And now selling it.

Dr Paul Marek: So I wrote a book. You know, I developed an interest in repurposed drugs following COVID-19, and it was astonishing to me how much literature there is, peer reviewed literature, on the use of repurposed drugs to treat cancer. So I thought this was a really fascinating and important issue, considering the fact that the average cost to a patient in the US of standard chemotherapy is in excess of \$100,000. **So many patients and families go bankrupt just paying for their medication.** And so these are cheap repurposed drugs. And obviously that's the worst nightmare for Big Pharma because they make no money. So that's why it's such a boot into humanity.

Continued next page...



Because here you have cheap repurposed drugs that are highly effective. And Big Pharma can't make money out of this.

Malcolm Roberts: So there's fraud, homicide, and there's a lot of money being made here and there's suppression of the news.

Dr Paul Marek: We know that Big Pharma cheats, they lie, they deceive, they manipulate data. It happened to some degree with the Pfizer trial.

Dr Kannadasan has dug deep into the data. And basically what **Pfizer did is they committed fraud**. They manipulated data, they lied, they hid data. And this data got published in the New England Journal of Medicine. So people thought that it was the truth.

But Dr Kannadasan has shocking data which shows that Pfizer knew. Pfizer knew about all these sudden deaths and covered it up.

Dr Jayanthi Kannadasan: "What I want doctors to understand is we were all lied to. If you can hide deaths that occurred and not disclose it – sit on an autopsy result at the point of vaccine approval and not disclose it – what else did they hide from you?"

So I keep talking about this because I want doctors to wake up... There has to be accountability for this. First of all, for the clinical trial participants but for all of us as well. And for medicine as a profession, it's very daunting to understand what has happened, which is why I keep talking about the deaths that were hidden at the point of vaccine approval. They hid it. They sat there and they did not tell the world, so it should never have been approved."

Dr Jayanthi Kannadasan: So thank you for having us and hosting us. And thank you, Dr Paul Marek and Professor Angus Dalgleish, for being here on tour with the Australian Medical Professionals Society (AMPS). So I'm AMPS treasurer, but the data that I'm talking about is based on my investigation with a team of volunteers from around the world who were looking at the provisional data that Pfizer produced, that the TGA, and the FDA relied upon – and it was only based on the results of one trial. **And they wanted to hide that data for 75 years to approve this drug**

(the Pfizer COVID-19 vaccine). So this drug was provisionally approved in December 2020.

Malcolm Roberts: At that point, as Professor Dalgleish mentioned (in a previous presentation), **they withdrew or they tarnished proven treatments that were safe and effective. Just so that they could authorise emergency use only (for the Pfizer vaccine).**

Dr Jayanthi Kannadasan: Yes. Absolutely. They said at that point (the point of vaccine approval, December 2020) in a trial of 44,000 (vaccine recipients) there were only six deaths. But if you investigate that data, that is publicly available, that they didn't want you to look at for 75 years, you will know at that point there were actually 11 deaths. So now we have a (serious) situation in a clinical trial. There are (more) deaths happening. And, you know, they can't keep up with the reporting system.

So my team (of investigators) was the first team to look at the primary trial data (from Pfizer) and publish the first paper in the medical literature (that analysed this data), and that was un-affiliated with the trial sponsor. What we found was... they only reported on a six-month period, including the period up to receiving initial vaccine approval. So first they said there were only six deaths, when there had been 11, then as the trial extended into the six months, they said there were 38 deaths. The numbers are important because at the point when they said there were six deaths, they said there were four deaths in the placebo group, and two in the vaccinated group. So to the layperson, even though the numbers are small, it looks like taking this vaccine is beneficial because there were more deaths in the placebo arm. Like taking the vaccine at this point may seem protective.

But actually what happened is there were 11 deaths and there were actually more deaths in the vaccinated arm.

So now, when you have an adverse event in a clinical trial, if it happened to you, you'd probably be able to tell Pfizer directly. But if you are dead, you can't tell Pfizer you've died. So you're dependent on a loved one, or your emergency contact, to actually tell the clinical trial site that you have died.

What we found, and we have

documentation, is a 68-year-old woman in Kansas who died on October 19. And she was from the vaccinated arm of the study. And the reporting of her death was delayed and not entered into the clinical record until November 25, 2020 – but the data reporting cut-off period for the clinical trial was November 14, 2020. (So her death was never entered into the publicly reported data.) **And that in itself is a violation of the clinical trial.**

If you're notified of a death, you're supposed to report it immediately. So what we have in the patient's notes is that the clinical trial site was told on October 19 the person died. And this is still before the vaccine approval meeting. This is the vaccine approval meeting where Pfizer sat with the FDA and told the world there were only six deaths. Now they acknowledge receiving in their medical records this person's death on November 25. But this death happened on October 19, which is well within the reporting period.

So they sat on it. They hid it. And to make it more damning, this person actually had an autopsy result that said this person died of sudden cardiac death. We have documentation in the patient's notes that the specific diagnosis of 'sudden cardiac death at noon' was actually entered on December 9, the day before the meeting with the FDA to approve the vaccine. So it looks like they hid the death and they hid the autopsy results.

So imagine how things would have been very different if we had had medical doctors able to look at this autopsy result in a vaccinated arm and have some idea (that this had happened). And Paul also talked about the fact that the sudden adult death signal was actually seen in the vaccinated arm of the clinical trial.

So people died, you know, whilst they were having a shower, when they were walking, they died in their sleep. All this is in the trial data.

And what is important to understand is there were 21 deaths in the vaccinated arm. Ten of those 21 deaths were sudden adult death. The people were found dead. But of those 10 sudden adult deaths found dead in the vaccinated arm, there were only two autopsies.

Dr Paul Marek: And just to interrupt. So having practised in the US, whenever a patient dies unexpectedly, and there's no good cause, by law you have to refer the patient to the medical examiner who does an autopsy, because who knows about foul play? So the standard of care is any unexplained death gets referred to the medical examiner and then they do an autopsy. So clearly there was an obvious problem and they knew there was a problem. And they tried to cover up the problem.

Dr Jayanthi Kannadasan: Yes. So one of the sudden deaths (where there was an autopsy) was in Kansas (already mentioned earlier and reported as sudden cardiac death). The other one was a 58-year-old woman in Georgia who was found dead in her sleep by her husband.

Another sudden death was a 57-year-old man found dead in the shower by his mother. While there was an autopsy, the results were not available to us.

People have to understand there were very few autopsies performed in the clinical trial overall for the 38 dead. There were only seven autopsies performed.

The Australian TGA has had about 1060 deaths due to the vaccines recorded by doctors. And here in this country, I'm sure it's the same in the United States, the doctor reports the cause of death. So the TGA just dismissed all of that with no objective criteria and said, no, we've only had 16 deaths. I mean, that's what's going on. This is a crime.

I wrote to Professor Lawler head of the TGA, explaining to him that about the hidden deaths, because I thought this is really important data. If they can hide deaths in a clinical trial, and, you know, ultimately the first victims of all this were the participants of the clinical trial. They signed up altruistically in service to humanity. They died, you know, it was a warning signal to the world and their story hasn't been told. So I wrote to Professor Lawler about this.

To paraphrase his letter back to me, which is publicly available, what he told me was, it is reassuring to note that none of the deaths in the trial were due to the vaccine!

That statement itself has no basis in fact. If the head of the TGA tells you none of the deaths were due to the vaccine, most people would accept it. But if you go down to the clinical trial data and actually count how many autopsies were done. That statement should be challenged.

Professor Dalgleish: And there is other evidence that people who died on the vaccine arm (of the clinical trial) were decided (considered) to be unvaccinated because they died within three weeks.

And that may look like the side effects weren't nearly as bad. I think that was a massive cover up, to use semantics to be able to do that. These people were vaccinated (and they died and it was never reported). And that makes the data even worse.

Dr Jayanthi Kannadasan: So one of the deaths that was reported publicly was someone who actually was found dead on a welfare check three days after receiving the one dose of the Pfizer COVID-19 vaccine. And his death was said to be progression of arteriosclerosis. There was no autopsy. And there was no proof offered the person even had arteriosclerosis.

So if you actually read the fine print of patients' medical records, there is a treasure trove of things that we have to ask the regulator, and hold the regulator to account. How did you accept this? Why? And why is the publication in the New England Journal of Medicine still unchallenged that says there were only six deaths when they were actually 11, at the point of the Pfizer clinical trial data cutoff?

Malcolm Roberts: From memory, one of the earliest deaths due to the COVID-19 injections was a young lady in Toowoomba, and she'd never had asthma. But her death was attributed to asthma. And it was soon after her first vaccine.

Dr Jayanthi Kannadasan: So with the death in Kansas that had an autopsy result of sudden cardiac death, the woman's death was said to be due to obesity and hypertension. But there's no documentation in her notes that her blood pressure was really high. There's no single blood pressure reading in her case report notes. And she was not even obese. Her BMI was 27. They said she died of obesity, but she wasn't obese. She

didn't even qualify to be obese. She was 74 kilos.

Dr Paul Marek: One thing that I should add is that Pfizer and the FDA and the CDC and all other organisations said you got the shot in the arm. It stayed in the arm and then the messenger RNA disappeared. So that was a lie. Because, you know, we have lies. Yeah, there were multiple lies because we actually have an autopsy series that was done in Germany that looked at over 100 patients who had died after the vaccine. And what they did in that study, which is really critical for people to understand (because if you just do an autopsy, you can hide the results) is they stained all the body tissues for spike protein. And the results were astonishing because there was spike protein completely, completely overcoming every single organ system that was involved.

The bodies were full of spike protein.

The heart, the liver, the kidney, the brain completely full of spike protein. And these people died from spike protein-related disease. So there is a disease called spike protein related- disease. And these people died as a consequence of spike protein. And the whole body was completely stuffed with spike protein. It was disseminated throughout the whole body. And they told us, and the CDC told us, and the FDA told us, it stays in your arm. We know that's a lie. And it's a toxic protein that gets distributed to every organ system. This was man made.

Malcolm Roberts: Okay. So let me just make a couple of points or a few points before going on. Enormous censorship, medical professionals have completely lost accountability. There's no accountability. We've got boosters that must be stopped. We need to stop injections immediately. And all of you are saying that.

There's dishonesty in governance. We cannot rely upon our governments in the West. It's a global phenomenon. It's an anti-human phenomenon. We knew about this (agenda) well before COVID-19 came around. And you realise now that the shots were being developed before COVID-19 arrived, which raises serious questions.

Continued next page...



Now, what I'm really impressed with by you guys is not only your competence and your dedication, but many people, having been vindicated will feel fulfilled and sit back. You're not. You're racing to help save lives. And so I want to thank you for that. You've described this as the largest crime ever.

Professor Dalgleish: I regard it as the crime of the century.

Yes. Because of the insistence of putting this into young adults and children and the fact that they're trying to use this as a big cover up for messenger RNA (mRNA) to be the standard vaccination mechanism of the future. This is gene therapy in children. The outcome could just be truly catastrophic. Six billion people have had these vaccines. That's, over 75% of the world's population are at risk of long-term problems with this. We haven't even started to scratch the surface of it.

Dr Paul Marek: 6 billion people have received this and we know the risk of adverse events is 7%, so you can work out 420 million people worldwide have been seriously vaccine injured. **We talk about serious vaccine injury and the medical community doesn't want to recognise this disease, doesn't want to investigate it, doesn't want to look at treatment strategies for a population of over 400 million people who are severely injured and disabled.**

Professor Dalgleish has said it's the crime of the century, but maybe it's the biggest crime in history because of the number of people who have been wilfully injured and killed by the so-called safe and effective vaccines.

Professor Dalgleish: I will just say we must ban all messenger RNA (mRNA) vaccines now and forever. We've got to protect those people who have yet to be exposed to them. And the second major thing is **we have to have a good anti-spike protein detox program.** It'd be very simple. We have a list of about 20 possibilities. All you have to do is measure the spike protein, treat for a month with one of these, then measure it again. They are going out of the way to prevent such assays

becoming available.

So we can do that simple program. So it's rather like they deliberately don't want us to rescue these people who have been damaged.

Malcolm Roberts: We've got some sheer criminals involved. But most of the people are following with group-think, and they just follow blindly, and then they become concerned because they're complicit. And so my guess is most people are trying to cover up. Most of the bureaucrats who are guilty of this crime are at least complicit in the crime, and are trying to cover up their own guilt. So it's going to be very hard. But we've got to keep going by getting the evidence out there.

Dr Paul Marek: So I would say this gene therapy has never been safe and effective from the beginning. Millions of people have been harmed. And we really do have a responsibility to these people to investigate therapeutic measures that can help them. And as Professor Dalgleish said, the first thing is we need to develop a simple test for the spike protein, because then you can stratify people that still have circulating spike.

But it seems like governmental agencies and healthcare agencies are doing whatever they can to suppress the availability of a simple blood spike test. That way you could see if the patient is still having spike protein circulating. If they do, then we can investigate different therapeutic measures to treat them. This is a priority.

We can't just abandon these people, which is what society has done. These are severely disabled people for which we really don't have any specific therapy. There are a number of potential therapies, and it's absolutely essential that we help these millions of severely vaccine injured people.

Malcolm Roberts: So what we have then is a crime that's been committed, and now we have people deliberately covering up that crime, because if they developed a test that would be for the spike protein, it would be admitting it was a crime.

Dr Paul Marek: Absolutely.

Malcolm Roberts: But what they're doing is they've killed potentially hundreds of millions of people, and now they're prolonging the misery of hundreds of millions of people. That's inhumane.

Dr Jayanthi Kannadasan: I am mainly trying to bring out the data from the Pfizer primary clinical trial. At the point of vaccine approval December 2020, the cat was still in the bag.

What I want doctors to understand is we were all lied to. If you can hide deaths that occurred and not disclose it – sit on an autopsy result at the point of vaccine approval and not disclose it – what else did they hide from you?

So I keep talking about this because I want doctors to wake up... A lot of people look at what's published in medical journals, and take it as gospel truth. We've had a point of time in human history where data that's normally suppressed has been looked at, pored over by groups of volunteers. And I'm very proud to be affiliated with the Daily Clout in doing this. And we have the receipts. It's not something that's been made up. It's all there in plain sight.

There has to be accountability for this. First of all, for the clinical trial participants but for all of us as well. And for medicine as a profession, it's very daunting to understand what has happened, which is why I keep talking about the deaths that were hidden at the point of vaccine approval. They hid it. They sat there and they did not tell the world, so it should never have been approved.

Malcolm Roberts: It must be stopped and treatment must be started by admitting that we've got a hell of a problem – this is the world's biggest ever problem.

A few things to close. First of all, the upside of all of this is that it's exposed Big Pharma for being the crooked criminals they are, and the regulatory agencies in many of the Western countries as being captured.

And what people are now starting to speak about is that we need to come back to natural health treatments and natural health priorities. We have an inherently wonderful immune system if we just get Big Pharma to stop bugging it up!

The second thing is that I want to thank the Australian Medical Professional Society (AMPS) because we've had the Australian Medical Association (AMA) controlling, we've had the medical boards controlling,

the guilds controlling, the academics controlling, and they're all controlled by Big Pharma money. **And so they've corrupted the whole of healthcare. It's now disease care.**

Big Pharma is about having a business case that makes people sick, and then providing treatments for symptoms that make other sicknesses and other illnesses.

So I want to thank AMPS for sponsoring this and to thank AMPS for their strength and their courage and their integrity and their competence over the last three years since they've been formed. A wonderful job. And thank you so much for being out here.

We'll be in touch for our COVID-19 Under Trial Inquiry that's going to feature cross-bench politicians in this country come December the 4th. Thank you so much.

Editor : While this transcription has been produced in good faith, due to the quality of audio and the nuances of human speech it means that some errors may have inadvertently occurred. This transcription has attempted to convert the sometimes-halting speech, including anacoluthon, repeated words, missed or implied words, vocal tone, intimacy signals, and pauses, into written prose. In some cases where words needed to be added that were inaudible, implied or skipped, these have been added in italics. In some cases where slight clarification was required, it has been added. In a few places the order of paragraphs has been moved to ensure a smoother narrative flow. Some comments have been omitted for space. The reader is encouraged to refer to the original full video to determine actually what was said. Caveat Emptor. Original transcript by SONIX.

FULL VIDEO HERE

<https://www.malcolmrobertsqld.com.au/a-conversation-with-professor-dalgleish-doctors-marik-and-kunadhasan/>

Breakout box 1

**NEVER FORGET WHO DID THIS TO YOU!
HERE IS OUR WANTED LIST OF HEINOUS CRIMINALS**

Those responsible for this shameful homicide, genocide, democide – these are all appropriate and accurate terms – include: **Health regulators and health authorities like:**
TGA – Therapeutic Goods

Administration

-AHPRA – Australian Health Practitioner Regulation Agency
AMA – Australian Medical Association

- MBA – Medical Board of Australia
- ATAGI – Australian Technical Advisory Group on Immunisation
- Federal and State government 'leaders', bureaucrats and health organisations like:

- Prime Ministers Scott Morrison (former) and Anthony Albanese and their Cabinets

Federal Health Minister Greg Hunt (former) and federal Health Departments and management

- All State Premiers – Daniel Andrews (former) in VIC; Mark McGowan (former) in WA; Gladys Berejiklian (former) in NSW; Annastacia Palaszczuk (former) in QLD; Steven Marshall (former) in SA; Peter Gutwein (former) in Tasmania; Michael Gunner (former) in NT; Chief Minister Andrew Barr in Canberra.

- State Health Ministers and their Health Departments and management

- All State Hospitals and their administrators Each of Australia's Primary Health Networks and their management Doherty Research Institute State Medical Councils

-AIHW – Australian Institute of Health and Welfare COAG – Council of Australian Governments

-Local Councils and their

Let's not forget those who did the direct injecting acting against the Nuremberg code: **YOUR LOCAL GPs, MEDICAL SPECIALISTS, NURSES AND PHARMACISTS. ALL THE POLICE AND MILITARY PERSONNEL** who acted against the Nuremberg Code and forced people to comply

Yes, the whole lot – TV, radio, print, social media giants like Facebook and censoring search engines like Google – they have sold us out big time with their lack of investigation, censorship and LIES!

STOP watching the ABC and All mainstream TV news, listening to mainstream radio, or reading mainstream newspapers.

Support independent news media in all its forms.

WHAT SHOULD YOU DO NOW?

Give this copy of

The Corruption Whistleblower Newspapers to any or all of the people on the above WANTED list and demand an explanation! May we all NEVER AGAIN COMPLY with their toxic jabs and ruinous mandates and destructive lockdowns.

It's ALL been a lie!

Deliberate fraud! A massive money-making scheme and depopulation agenda.

Breakout box 2

Do you know that the TGA, under questioning from Malcolm Roberts in Senate estimates, admitted they did NO TESTS on the COVID-19 'vaccines'?

It's a fact. And yet at the beginning of the rollout, we were told by the politicians and the medical authorities that "we have relied on the TGA's tests" – so we all believed them.

There were NO tests.

Now we know the truth – the COVID-19 vaccines were NEVER TESTED by the TGA.

The TGA admits they approved the jabs with NO TESTING. They claim they were relying on the testing done by the American FDA. But the joke's on all of us – **the FDA did NO TESTING of the jabs either.**

Finally we know the truth – everyone was trusting Pfizer's own limited clinical trial data. And Pfizer LIED. They committed FRAUD. They KNEW their vaccine had KILLED PEOPLE and had caused SERIOUS INJURIES and they DELIBERATELY hid the EVIDENCE.

ALL of these people must be prosecuted and held to account for their crimes against humanity. ALL OF THEM! They should ALL BE IN JAIL!



Continue next page...

BIOGRAPHIES

Professor Angus Dalgleish

Professor Angus Dalgleish, M.D., F.R.C.P., F.R.A.C.P., F.R.C.Path, F.Med.Sci is a renowned oncologist practicing in the United Kingdom, who splits his time between clinical patient care and research. Prof. Dalgleish serves as an advisor to a number of biopharmaceutical companies and is a principal investigator in several clinical trials. Prof. Dalgleish has been a Professor of Medical Oncology at St George's University of London and Consultant Physician at St George's Hospital since 1991. He has served as the President of the Clinical Immunology and Allergy Section of the Royal Society of Medicine. He is a Fellow of The Royal College of Physicians of the UK and Australia, Royal College of Pathologists and The Academy of Medical Scientists.

Prof. Dalgleish studied Medicine at University College London, where he obtained an MBBS and a BSc in Anatomy. Among his main interests are: immunology and melanoma, use of anti-angiogenic agents and low dose chemotherapy in resistant solid tumour disease of the prostate, colon and breast. A clinical researcher of international repute, he has made significant contributions to the study of the immunological basis of AIDS and to the field of cancer vaccines.

He is the current Principal of the Cancer Vaccine Institute.

To view his bio, click on Professor Dalgleish's profile

To view his published articles, click on Professor Dalgleish's Work
Copied from: <https://www.ldnscience.org/ldn/ldn-researchers/Professor-dalgleish>

Doctor Paul Marik

Prior to co-founding the FLCCC, Dr. Marik was best known for his revolutionary work in developing a lifesaving protocol for sepsis, a condition that causes more than 250,000 deaths yearly in the U.S. alone.

Dr. Marik is an accomplished physician with special knowledge in a diverse set of medical fields, with specific training in Internal Medicine, Critical Care, Neurocritical Care, Pharmacology, Anaesthesia, Nutrition, and Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. He is a former tenured Professor of Medicine and Chief of the Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine at Eastern Virginia Medical School (EVMS) in Norfolk, Virginia. As part of his commitment to research and education, Dr. Marik has written over 500 peer-reviewed journal articles,

80 book chapters and authored four critical care books and the Cancer Care Monograph. His efforts have provided him with the distinction of the second most published critical care physician in the world. He has been cited over 54,500 times in peer-reviewed publications and has an H-index of 111. He has delivered over 350 lectures at international conferences and visiting professorships. As a result of his contributions, he has been the recipient of numerous teaching awards, including the National Teacher of the Year award by the American College of Physicians in 2017.

In January 2022 Dr. Marik retired from EVMS to focus on continuing his leadership of the FLCCC and has already co-authored over 10 papers on therapeutic aspects of treating COVID-19. In March 2022 Dr. Marik received a commendation by unanimous vote by the Virginia House of Delegates for "his courageous treatment of critically ill COVID-19 patients and his philanthropic efforts to share his effective treatment protocols with physicians around the world." Copied from:

<https://COVID19criticalcare.com/experts/paul-e-marik/>

Dr Jeyanthi Kunadhasan

Is an anaesthetist and perioperative physician from Victoria, Australia.

She has been in medical leadership at her previous hospital as well as statewide; as chair of the Medical Senior Group representing consultant doctors, as well as a previous chair of the Advisory Committee of Blood Matters Victoria.

Her clinical interest is Patient Blood Management, where she spearheaded many initiatives that sustainably brought down the unnecessary transfusion rates in major surgeries, leading to improved patient outcomes and lower costs to the health system.

In December 2021, when vaccine mandates were rolled out, Dr. Kunadhasan requested a risk assessment. Her goal in doing so was to warn her employer at the time about the risks of the shots, while at the same time trying to keep her job and avoid taking the injection herself. Unfortunately, instead of taking a pause and considering Dr. Kunadhasan's request, in December 2021, Dr. Kunadhasan was fired by her employer.

She is currently the treasurer of the Australian Medical Professionals Society (AMPS).

Dr. Kunadhasan is also the lead author on "Report 42, Pfizer's EUA Granted Based on Fewer Than 0.4% of Clinical Trial Participants. FDA Ignored Disqualifying Protocol Deviations to Grant EUA" and subsequently wrote two articles in Spectator Australia, explaining her findings in the Pfizer documents.

Australians Stunned by Professor Angus Dalgleish on Sky News: mRNA Vaccines Linked to Unbelievable Damage



... "these vaccines were not vaccines... the messenger RNA vaccines of Pfizer and Moderna have caused unbelievable problems and damage to people, and I don't think we will ever really be able to get over that" "The messenger RNA vaccines of Pfizer and Moderna have caused unbelievable problems and damage to people" Many everyday Australians who were used to consuming mainstream media were stunned today as Professor Angus Dalgleish appeared in a 10-minute segment on Sky News Australia, discussing the controversial topic of mRNA vaccines—an area that mainstream media has avoided for years. Professor Dalgleish, a renowned oncologist and Principal of the Institute of Cancer Vaccines and Immunotherapy, took the stage with stark revelations about the COVID-19 pandemic and its handling. From lockdowns to mask and vaccine mandates, he pointed out critical missteps made by governments worldwide, except for Sweden, which stood firm under the guidance of Anders Tegnell. Dalgleish minced no words in criticizing the hasty implementation and widespread use of mRNA vaccines from Pfizer and Moderna. He expressed grave concerns about these vaccines, stating, "these vaccines were not

vaccines, particularly the ones that ended up after AstraZeneca with all the clots and they were shut down. But the messenger RNA vaccines of Pfizer and Moderna have caused unbelievable problems and damage to people, and I don't think we will ever really be able to get over that!" This comment echoes the silent worries many have harbored about these vaccines, casting doubt on their safety and efficacy. According to Dalgleish, the initial response was flawed right from the start. He claimed, "this virus escaped from the laboratory," and stressed the genetic uniqueness of the virus that suggested manipulation. He criticized peers and government leaders for sidelining early warnings, including the prediction of side effects due to the vaccine's similarity with human proteins. He also tackled the immense issue of quality control, revealing that between trials and public rollouts, "the mechanism of manufacturing" changed, leading to a vaccine "full of (DNA) contaminants." Such lapses, he argued, potentially contributed to persistent excess deaths globally, including many linked to cancer, which he described as "turbo cancers." This phenomenon have been seen across the world from high vaccinated countries such as Japan, UK and Singapore. The Credible Medical News Network – CMNnews – UPDATE 26th November 2024 https://cmnnews.substack.com/p/australians-stunned-by-professor?publication_id=1590968&post_id=152162483&isFreemail=true&r=46rwx&triedRedirect=true



Patents on HUMAN CONTROL

PATENTS ON HUMAN CONTROL		
Serial No.	Patents #	Description
1	DE102012009060A1	Method for influencing subconscious mind through audio suggestion for changing acquired behavior of user, involves inputting information tailored to changing behavior in acoustic device, and converting information into acoustic information
2	EP0429971A3	Method and apparatus to produce subliminal sound recording
3	US20050079474A1	Emotional state modification method and system
4	US20070052536A1	Subliminal audio burglar deterrent
5	US20070084473A1	Method for incorporating brain wave entrainment into sound production
6	US20100021874A1	Inculcating Positive Altered Personal Behavioral Patterns
7	US3014477A	Hypnotic inducer
8	US3060795A	Apparatus for producing visual stimulation
9	US3278676A	Apparatus for producing visual and auditory stimulation
10	US3393279A	Nervous system excitation device
11	US3563246A	Method and apparatus for improving neural performance in human subjects by electrotherapy
12	US3629521A	Hearing systems
13	US3712292A	Method and apparatus for producing swept frequency-modulated audio signal patterns for inducing sleep
14	US3884218A	Method of inducing and maintaining various stages of sleep in the human being
15	US3951134A	Apparatus and method for remotely monitoring and altering brain waves
16	US4124943A	Audio visual information system
17	US4315502A	Learning-relaxation device
18	US4395600A	Auditory subliminal message system and method
19	US4699153A	System for assessing verbal psychobiological correlates
20	US4717343A	Method of changing a person's behavior
21	US4777529A	Auditory subliminal programming system
22	US4834701A	Apparatus for inducing frequency reduction in brain wave
23	US4858612A	Hearing device
24	US4877027A	Hearing system
25	US5128765A	System for implementing the synchronized superimposition of subliminal signals
26	US5134484A	Superimposing method and apparatus useful for subliminal messages
27	US5151080A	Method and apparatus for inducing and establishing a changed state of consciousness
28	US5159703A	Silent subliminal presentation system
29	US5170381A	Method for mixing audio subliminal recordings
30	US5221962A	Subliminal device having manual adjustment of perception level of subliminal messages
31	US5224864A	Method of recording and reproducing subliminal signals that are 180 degrees out of phase
32	US5245666A	Personal subliminal messaging system
33	US5270800A	Subliminal message generator
34	US5319735A	Embedded signalling
35	US5330414A	Brain wave inducing apparatus
36	US5356368A	Method of and apparatus for inducing desired states of consciousness
37	US5392788A	Method and device for interpreting concepts and conceptual thought from brainwave data and for assisting for diagnosis of brainwave disfunction
38	US5507291A	Method and an associated apparatus for remotely determining information as to person's emotional state
39	US5774088A	Method and system for warning birds of hazards



Suggested detox support protocol

Many people get sicker with each jab. The flu injection has been combined with the COVID experimental gene technology shot and is now causing added serious health issues.

Here is what our team is focused on:

- * Cleaning the blood
- * Removing any parasites
- * Cleaning the intestinal tract
- * Drawing the heavy metals out
- * Supplementing to improve blood flow, repair blood vessels, and strengthen the heart and other organs
- * Establishing nutrient deficiencies and supplementing to rebalance
- * Continuing deep cellular cleansing, drawing out contamination in the blood
- * Improving the red blood cells and haemoglobin
- * Improving the diet
- * Cleaning up the living environment e.g. removal of mould and bacteria
- * Improving the quality of drinking water to ensure it is not contaminated
- * Rebuilding the body's immunity

It is the full detox program that works best – not just the individual items. There is no single wonder cure but a holistic protocol that has been researched and shown to work using before and after live blood checks and the measurable improvement in people's health and wellbeing.

Please feel free to share this booklet with anyone looking for help with their vax injuries.

For more info and to discuss and/or buy the protocol please email: uvjji@proton.me

For an insight into what is being found in our blood visit: <https://substack.com/@davidnixon> and drdavidnixon.com

The content in this booklet is for informational purposes only and it is not intended as medical advice. It does not take the place of medical advice or treatment from a licensed medical professional. Readers and their children should consult their own medical practitioner or qualified healthcare professional with specific concerns or questions before starting the protocol.

This protocol is designed to help both vaxxed and unvaxxed people. Shedding is very real and proven. We are finding an enormous number of very sick people out there who are not jabbed, but who have been exposed to toxins from close contact with those who have had a COVID jab.

GENERAL PROTOCOL

(May be modified on an individual basis as required.)

All suggested dosages are a guide only and not medical advice. They do not replace or are intended to replace any direction made by your prescribing doctor.

YOUR FIRST MONTH

DAY 1 – 3 - WATER FAST for 36 – 72 hours

Min. 36-hour fast is acceptable. 72-hour fast is optimal. Fasting helps to cleanse the blood and prepare it to absorb supplements better.

Dissolve the electrolyte powder in a 1.5 litre bottle of clean filtered water. Do NOT use carbonated water or unfiltered tap water. You may add a flavouring to taste.

You MUST add the electrolytes to the water to ensure proper hydration during your fast. Drink a couple of mouthfuls every time you feel hungry for the first 24 hrs. This makes it much easier. Be prepared for headaches – usually from sugar and/or caffeine withdrawal. It's OK to take Panadol if headaches become too severe.

Tea and coffee without milk or sugar are also permitted.

Note: You will be provided with pure sea salt to add a pinch to your drinking water.

DAY 4 – 30 - NATTOKINASE

Take as directed on packet label.

You will be provided with extremely high purity and high potency Nattokinase in 20,000 FU 500mg capsules that you are unlikely to see anywhere else.

This supplement is a purified enzyme produced from the fermentation of soybeans. The latest academic research suggests that Nattokinase helps to break down fibrous clots in the blood. It is also an anticoagulant – it slows down blood clotting. If you are on any blood thinners or take herbal supplements to thin the blood, please consult your doctor before taking Nattokinase.

Internationally respected cardiologist Dr Peter McCullough has stated that anyone who has been vaccinated should remain on Nattokinase for a couple of years. The main reason is because the COVID vaccine mechanism is to force the body to continually produce spike protein which appears to be building up and clogging the arteries and capillaries.

This is suspected of causing both major strokes and micro strokes, heart conditions like myocarditis and pericarditis, and sudden death from cardiac arrest.

Non-vaccinated people are being exposed to spike proteins when in close proximity to vaccinated people through the mechanism known as shedding. Depending on the level of contamination, they may also benefit from long-term Nattokinase supplementation.

DAY 4 – 6 - ANTI-PARASITIC (1st LOT)

Blue & White capsule Take as directed on packet label.

This is an off-the-shelf anti-parasitic medication with added Vitamin C, and Zinc. Generally you will be taking 4 capsules per day for 3 days (total 12 capsules over 3 days).

DAY 4 – 6 - NICOTINE PATCH

Use while taking only the FIRST LOT of the blue and white anti-parasitic capsules. Use a nicotine patch (cut patch into 3 and apply 1/3 per day to skin, i.e. use a fresh patch each day, made from one third of the original supplied patch.) OR you can chew some nicotine gum (chew 1 gum each day for 3 days).

Nicotine will bond to your body's nicotine receptors.

This will prevent the nicotine pathways from being used by any foreign neurotoxin which may be present in your blood. It has been postulated (although not proven), that neurotoxins can be synthesised, and can be carried into the body's cells by utilising the nicotine receptor pathways.

Nicotine will 'push off' or displace, any substance currently using the nicotine receptor sites. Using a nicotine patch or nicotine gum appears to be very effective in blocking the effects of shedding. If you are exposed to crowds, or situations where you are with large numbers of vaxxed people who are potentially shedding, use a nicotine patch during exposure, and for the next day.

DAY 7 – 13 - INTESTINAL CLEANSE - Mimosa Pudica

Take as directed on packet label.

This mucilaginous herb is naturally gelatinous and contributes to a healthy gut microbiome by encouraging the removal of occasional intestinal buildup and parasites. It produces a gel -like consistency when it comes into contact with digestive fluids, gently collecting toxins and cleaning as it passes through the intestines. It's very safe, so take it as long as necessary. As it moves through the intestinal tract, you may get some mild tummy gripe as the fermenting matter is moved to the colon and expelled.

DAY 14 – 16 - ANTI-PARASITIC (2ND LOT)

Blue & White capsule

Take as directed on packet label.

This is an off-the-shelf anti-parasitic medication with added Vitamin C, and Zinc.

The reason for taking a second round is that when you kill the host parasites, their eggs hatch, and you can have the same problem all over again unless you kill the newly hatched parasites and stop the breeding cycle completely.

* Do not use the nicotine patches this time.

DAY 14 – 20 - ZEOLITE HEAVY METALS CLEANSE

Take as directed on packet label.

Zeolite draws heavy metals and toxins out of your system through the intestinal tract. These may have been introduced via vaccinations or environmental exposure, and may build up in everyone over time. Heavy metals like Aluminium, Mercury and Lead are extremely dangerous to health. Zeolite helps to scrape down the intestinal tract and cleans out any crystallised structures and stored heavy metals. It binds them like a magnet and allows them to pass in the stool, while still being gentle on the gut. This is micronised rock zeolite – not a dangerous nano zeolite formula. We recommend avoiding all liquid nano zeolites.

DAY 21 – 27 - SODIUM CITRATE

Take as directed on packet label.

Sodium Citrate assists in cleansing and detoxifying kidneys, after their hard work removing unwanted particulates and heavy metals from the blood stream. Your urine may appear a little cloudy during this time.

DAY 21 – 27 - HIGH STRENGTH BIFIDOBACTERIUM PROBIOTIC ²²

This probiotic is especially helpful in boosting immune function and restoring equilibrium to the digestive system. COVID vaccines are known to destroy beneficial gut flora in the digestive tract.

DAY 21 – 30 - PH BOOST

This is provided pre-mixed as activated sodium chlorate. Take ½ a dropper of the liquid supplement, twice a day, in water, juice, tea, coffee or other beverages. Take it with you everywhere and add a few drops to your drinks. Continue taking until the bottle is empty.

This is a type of mineral supplement. It is a powerful immune system booster and has antioxidant qualities.

It kills pathogens and destroys (oxidises) poisons. When pathogens and poisons in the body are reduced or eliminated, then the body can function properly and thereby heal. It may also help with brain fog, arthritis, lethargy and respiratory illnesses like flu. Including it in y our daily routine can lead to a whole new world of wellbeing. Visit: <https://www.bitchute.com/video/RvZid8D4G0QN/>

DAY 21 – 30 - SILICIC ACID

Take 2 drops in a small glass of water at night. Continue taking until the bottle is empty. **Silicic Acid will help remove Aluminium from your body – a heavy metal to which most of us are regularly exposed. It will be excreted through your urine.** It is said to help remove Aluminium Oxide from the pineal gland and some find it gives you vivid dreams. Visit: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8r9fv1mUaro>

YOUR SECOND MONTH

DAY 1 – 30 - C60

Take a scant ½ teaspoon neat, daily, first thing in the morning as soon as you wake up. Continue taking until the bottle is empty. A powerful antioxidant, C60 will improve haemoglobin and thus improve oxygen in the blood, giving you more stamina and energy. It also reduces or eliminates joint pain. The purity and particle size you are supplied with is exceptional at 0.7 nanometres – not the 1.0 nanometre generally sold – this is a high-quality research-grade supplement. Light will affect the oil so please keep it in the dark but not refrigerated.

DAY 1 – 30 - NATTOKINASE

Take as directed on packet label.

You will be provided with extremely high purity and high potency Nattokinase in 20,000 FU 500mg capsules that you are unlikely to see anywhere else. This supplement is a purified enzyme produced from the fermentation of soybeans. The latest academic research suggests that Nattokinase helps to break down fibrous clots in the blood. It is also an anticoagulant – it slows down blood clotting. If you are on any blood thinners or take herbal supplements to thin the blood, please consult your doctor before taking Nattokinase. Internationally respected cardiologist Dr Peter McCullough has stated that anyone who has been vaccinated should remain on Nattoki nase for a couple of years. **The main reason is because the COVID vaccine mechanism is to force the body to continually produce spike protein which appears to be building up and clogging the arteries and capillaries. This is suspected of causing both major strokes and micro strokes, heart conditions like myocarditis and pericarditis, and sudden death from cardiac arrest.**

Non-vaccinated people are being exposed to spike proteins when in close proximity to vaccinated people through the mechanism known as shedding. Depending on the level of contamination, they may also benefit from long-term Nattokinase supplementation.

Day 1 – 30 - KMC - Kakadu Plum, Moringa, Coriander

Take as directed on packet label. KMC is a great product that can safely be taken daily over the longer term. It has very high levels of complete Vitamin C in it. As the body cannot store Vitamin C, this is the perfect simple and natural supplement to take.

Continued next page...



Suggested detox support protocol

Moringa gives you the nutrients and micronutrients the body is losing when it is detoxing, and the Coriander has a proven ability to go deep into cells to extract heavy metals like Mercury, Lead and potentially more novel metals like Graphene. This is a great maintenance product to be on.

DAY 1 – 30 CAYENNE & DILL

Take as directed on packet label. The benefits of cayenne pepper include: reduces inflammation; strengthens and repairs blood vessels; helps prevent internal micro blood clots; lowers blood pressure in conjunction with good salt and hydration. The dill is cooling on the stomach and ensures the cayenne doesn't cause discomfort.

DAY 1 – 30 KDC

Potassium, Vitamin D3 and Vitamin C

Take as directed on packet label. The Vitamin C in the KDC blend helps to remove the chemical overload produced by the detox process, and helps to support the immune system in the process. Almost everyone is Vitamin D deficient. While our body does produce it, it is not in sufficient quantities required to maintain optimal health – especially the dramatic extra boost required when we are exposed to respiratory and other infections. This formulation will help supplement the body's normal production of Vitamin D.

The Potassium is necessary to balance the extra good salts we are taking when we increase our hydration. Salt and Potassium need to be kept in balance.

DAY 1 – 30 MT

Take as directed on packet label. (Can be taken for as long as required e.g. if you are a nurse and are exposed to vaccinated people.) MT is good for preventing any recurring viruses from taking hold. It provides good preventative protection when you are exposed to sick people in public places. It helps break down lipids (fat molecules) where 'nasties' can bury themselves. (Your body encases chemicals it can't deal with in body fat.) MT snaps open that fat and your own immune system kicks in, ensuring any toxicity is released and eliminated.

DRY COUGH MEDICINE *Andrographis Paniculata*

Take as directed on packet label. The dry cough medicine causes the body to produce extra beneficial saliva. This helps to keep mucous membranes soft and the lung fluids viscous and liquid. It will help break up the dry aggregation of mucous, enabling you to cough it up and clear it out.

YOUR THIRD MONTH

The Month 3 protocol will be based on further assessment and will depend on your symptoms and progress. Some supplements may be recommended in Month 2. One thing we recommend for everyone as a maintenance product is Z-GTE.. Z-GTE is a Zinc and green tea formulation. It will deliver Zinc directly into your body's cells where it will help slow the harmful signaling of the messenger RNA (mRNA) that was injected via the COVID vaccines or acquired from shedding. It may help protect your DNA from further damage. It may help reduce the damaging dense fibrin-like structures in the plasma.

These may be related to clotting. Appropriate new and continuing supplements may include: Augmented NAC, EDTA, Activated Charcoal, Bio Magnesium, Magnesium Taurate, Zinc, Hawthorn, Sodium Citrate, Nattokinase, MT, PhBoost, KMC, Cayenne/Dill, Pure Organic Caster Oil, Andrographis Paniculata, Citrus C, ICC, D-Limonene and others.

• **NOTE:** We recommend having a baseline live blood check done before you start your protocol, and one at the end of the second month. This will demonstrate the improvement in your blood and the reduction of contamination. Please speak with us for a referral.

OZONE GENERATOR

NEVER stand directly in front of your ozone generator breathing the air while it is producing ozone as constant breathing of ozone will damage the lungs. Always wait until it has finished its cycle. Then open up the room. The ozone generator is a useful tool to help clean harmful mould/yeast/bacteria from your environment. Ideally, it should be used in a different room of the house each day. In addition, you can use it in your bedroom every night, half an hour before bedtime. The room should be sealed and the machine set on the timer. About 20 minutes is a minimum. When you go back into the room 10 minutes after the cycle has finished, open the windows and doors to air the room. Then you can take 5 deep breaths of the ozone-rich air to help your lungs to detoxify. This basically floods your lungs with extra oxygen in the form of ozone for a short period only. Always talk to your health practitioner if unsure.

Doing this provides an easy way for people to have a short beneficial intervention if they are sick with a flu as ozone is highly effective in clearing the pathogens in the alveoli of the lungs. Ozone is perfect to disinfect and detoxify the air in your immediate environment, such as your home or office to prevent recurring contagion from pathogens in the air. It is also extremely useful when occasionally placed inside musty cupboards and drawers, as it will help keep your clothing and linen fresh. A perfect use is in homes which have been flooded, where the mould, yeast and bacteria is proliferating rapidly and exploding in the air. Breathing this kind of pollution is highly toxic to all living organisms.

So, if you live in a post-flood home, or in a moist human climate, or if you have a mould problem in your house, 'ozoning' rooms will create clean, healthy spaces vital for maintaining wellbeing. Done thoroughly, the effect lasts for many months. Alternatives like Hydrogen Peroxide or aerosol spraying are more toxic and hugely expensive.

For more info and to discuss and/or buy the protocol please email: uvjjj@proton.me



For an insight into what is being found in our blood please visit:

<https://substack.com/@davidnixon> and drdavidnixon.com

Continue next page...



Time to regain control of your own health

All the bad news about the toxic COVID vaccines and how they have damaged us can be overwhelming ...

Are you living in fear that the damage is irreversible and permanent and that you and your loved ones will continue to get sicker and sicker?

Are you concerned about being contaminated and made sick by vaccine shedding through close contact with jabbed individuals?

If the answer is yes to any one of these, we at UV JJJ have some positive news that should give you hope. Through close collaboration with international colleagues – and the considerable research, skills and expertise of a team of Australian doctors and scientific researchers –we have developed a simple vax damage protocol that works towards helping restore your health and immune system – whether you are unvaccinated or triple-jabbed-plus. We want to help people get as well as they can as quickly as they can and believe our achievable and affordable vax damage protocol is leading the way.

Our approach is as gentle and as natural as possible. We work with your body not against it. We help the vaxed and the unvaxxed. We help those the medical system has abandoned and those very sick people who have been bluntly told “we can’t find anything wrong with you” or “there is no more we can do to help.”

References and Research

We strongly encourage you to view each of these links and continue with your own independent research.

1 <http://apps.tga.gov.au/PROD/DAEN/daen-entry.aspx>

2 <https://thehighwire.com/editorial/andrew-bridgen-bravely-confronts-excess-death-statistics-in-uk-hearing/>

3 <https://www.abs.gov.au/statistics/health/causes-death/provisional-mortality-statistics/latest-release>

4 <https://www.abs.gov.au/articles/measuring-australias-excess-mortality-during-COVID-19-pandemic-until-august-2023>

5 <https://prepareforchange.net/2024/01/30/COVID-vaccines-are-officially-deadliest-drug-in-history-and-nobody-is-allowed-to-talk-bout-it/>

6 <https://canadahealthalliance.org/europe-officially-records-a-shocking-691-increase-in-excess-deaths-among-children-since-ema-first-approved-COVID-vaccine-for-children/>

7 <https://worldcouncilforhealth.org/multimedia/phillip-altman-time-of-COVID/>

8 https://amps.redunion.com.au/COVID19_evidence_based_information

9 <https://drdavidnixon.com/1/en/topic/about-me>

10 <https://clubgrubbery.com.au/graham-and-john-host-a-panel-discussion-with-naomi-wolf-and-senators-roberts-and-antics-part-1-of-2/>

11 <https://clubgrubbery.com.au/graham-and-john-discuss-vaccination-genetic-modifications-with-an-expert-panel/>

12 <https://www.facebook.com/groups/hoodysheroes/permalink/1458118498068342/>

13 <https://amps.redunion.com.au/>

14 <https://amps.redunion.com.au/too-many-dead>

15 aph.gov.au/Parliamentary_Business/Committees/Senate/Legal_and_Constitutional_Affairs/COVID19RC47/Submissions

16 <https://avn.org.au/?s=legal>

17 <https://prepareforchange.net/2024/01/28/top-doctor-blows-the-whistle-admits-vaccinated-are-developing-full-blown-aids/>

18 <https://www.bitchute.com/video/wNmHyA8wvZTj/>

19 <https://www.bitchute.com/video/o1VoRIWb3mts/>

20 <https://www.bitchute.com/video/SaW3Q6xuj8Al/>




21 www.drdavidnixon.com and <https://davidnixon.substack.com/>

22 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35483736/>


MONTH 1 DETOX	Date (fill in)	Water Fast (36-72hrs): Dissolve electrolyte sachet in 1.5l clean water - sip all day	Natto-kinase (Take 2 with food daily)	Anti-parasitic Blue/White capsule (Take 2 with food 2Xday)	Nicotine Patch (Cut in 3 & place 1/3 on arm or leg daily)	Intestinal Cleanse Mimosa Pudica (Take as directed on packet label)	Rock-based Zeolite metals cleanse (Take 1 with food 2Xday)	Sodium Citrate (Take 2 with food daily in morning)	Bifido probiotic (1 X daily)	PHBoost (1/2 a dropper twice a day)	Silicic Acid (2 drops in cup of water after dinner and sip)
Day 1		Y									
2		Y									
3		Y									
4			Y	Y	Y						
5			Y	Y	Y						
6			Y	Y	Y						
7			Y			Y					
8			Y			Y					
9			Y			Y					
10			Y			Y					
11			Y			Y					
12			Y			Y					
13			Y			Y					
14			Y	Y			Y				
15			Y	Y			Y				
16			Y	Y			Y				
17			Y				Y				
18			Y				Y				
19			Y				Y				
20			Y				Y				
21			Y					Y	Y	Y	Y
22			Y					Y	Y	Y	Y
23			Y					Y	Y	Y	Y
24			Y					Y	Y	Y	Y
25			Y					Y	Y	Y	Y
26			Y					Y	Y	Y	Y
27			Y					Y	Y	Y	Y
28			Y							Y	Y
29			Y							Y	Y
30			Y							Y	Y

MONTH 2 DETOX	Date (fill in)	PHBoost (½ a dropper twice a day)	Silicic Acid (2 drops in cup of water after dinner and sip)	C60 (Take ½ teaspoon 1st first thing in morning daily)	Natto-kinase (Take 2 with food daily)	Cayenne & Dill (Take 1 with food daily)	KMC Kakadu Plum, Moringa, Coriander (Take 1 with food daily)	KDC Potassium Vit D3 Vit C (Take 1 with food daily)	MT (Take 1 with food daily)	Dry Cough Andrographis Paniculata (Take 2 every 4 hrs until symptoms improve)
Day 1		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	As Required
2		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
3		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
4		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
5		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
6		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
7		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
8		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
9		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
10		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
11		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
12		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
13		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
14		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
15		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
16		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
17		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
18		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
19		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
20		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
21		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
22		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
23		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
24		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
25		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
26		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
27		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
28		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
29		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
30		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	






To successfully get rid of Rats 🐭🔪. you must start with their Nest ,, 😊



THEY DON'T WANT TO CREATE ROBOTS




THEY WANT TO MAKE YOU A
ROBOT

THE MOST POWERFUL
GOVERNMENTS
IN THE WORLD CAN'T
SOLVE HOMELESSNESS.

BUT THEY CAN **CHANGE**
THE EARTH'S
TEMPERATURE IF YOU
PAY MORE TAXES....

BLESSED
ARE THOSE WHO
ACT JUSTLY,
WHO ALWAYS DO
WHAT IS RIGHT.

PSALM 106:3



PARTLY CLOUDY...
50 SHADES

OF SPRAY
made with mematic
WITH A 100% CHANCE OF
ALUMINUM, BARIUM & AGENDA 2030

THEY ARE NOT
'PREDICTING'
FOOD SHORTAGES
THEY ARE
PLANNING THEM



SCIENTIFIC RESULTS & EVIDENCE

A Solution of Scientists ~ Internationale provide the answers and sink the critics

First on the scene of real investigative science was Mike Adams. Adams runs a large analytical laboratory in the United States and undertook analysis of a cadaver clot, hereafter referred to as a Hirschman Clot, using a state-of-the-art inductively-coupled plasma mass spectrometer (ICP-MS).

This impressive piece of analytical chemistry takes the prepared sample and vaporises it into plasma at around 10,000 degrees Celsius, directing the stream of ionised elements into the mass spectrometer. Here the individual ionised elements are literally counted based on their charge to mass ratio. Mass spectrometry, has its early origins in the work of Eugen Goldstein in 1886. Modern mass spectrometers were devised by Arthur Jeffrey Dempster and F.W. Aston in 1918 and 1919 respectively. Sector mass spectrometers, previously known as calutrons, were developed by Ernest O. Lawrence and used for separating the isotopes of uranium during the Manhattan Project. Calutron mass spectrometers were used for uranium enrichment at the Oak Ridge, Tennessee Y-12 plant established during World War II. This technique remains a standard method used worldwide.


The Nobel Prize in Chemistry in 2002 was awarded to John B. Fenn, Kurt Wüthrich, and Koichi Tanaka. They were recognized for their work in the field of mass spectrometry. Specifically: John B. Fenn was honoured for his development of methods for identifying and analysing large biological molecules by developing electrospray ionisation. Kurt Wüthrich was awarded for his work on nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy of biomolecules using soft laser absorption. Koichi Tanaka was recognized for his development of a method for analysing biomolecules, specifically proteins, using mass spectrometry. Their combined contributions greatly advanced the field of biochemistry and molecular biology.

While pseudo critics continue to decry Adams work, the reality is that the technique is absolutely the correct tool for elemental analysis of the clots. Furthermore, Adams compared the results of elements found in normal unvaccinated blood in order to determine what the clot has taken up. This is an entirely appropriate protocol.

Here are his actual results

Element	Unvaxxed Blood ppm	Clot - ppm	% Difference
Aluminium	1.3	1.6	+ 23
Carbon	137.288	152.845	+11
Calcium	74	23.8	- 68
Copper	1	0.3	- 70
Chlorine	930	290	- 69
Iron	462	291	- 37
Potassium	1893	12.8	- 99.34
Magnesium	35	1.76	- 95
Sodium	1050	1500	+ 43
Phosphorus	1130	4900	+ 333
Tin	0.163	0.943	+ 479

A graph more easily displays the results.




Now it is very clear that phosphorus and tin show a remarkable increase that cannot be attributed to “chance”. Something is clearly significant in the chemistry of these anomalous clots found by embalmers. Indeed, it was Adams’ findings that truly captured the attention of the Solution of Scientists ~ Internationale, stimulating them to repeat the experiment.

In order to undertake this task, clots were obtained from several different sources, divided, and submitted to different laboratories around the world. None of the laboratories were told what they were analysing, and none were aware of the work conducted by any of the other laboratories. The names and locations of the various laboratories are not disclosed to protect the staff and their institutions from undue criticism or pressure from authorities focused on shutting down science and ignoring the problem.

The concentration of each of the elements discovered by the ICP-MS analysis was carefully reviewed by the SOS Internationale team and compared to Adams’ analysis. Given the immense lack of truthful scientific information regarding all aspects of the COVID vaccination program, in the interests of honest science, the SOS ~ Internationale results will be presented as simply as possible, without losing meaning, to promote honest science.

The significance of Tin



Tin, chemically known as Stannum, is significant in Adams' analysis primarily because it should not be there at all! Tin has no place in mammalian biochemistry. In fact, it doesn't even appear in most biochemistry textbooks. It is considered a poison.

Tin is a silvery, malleable metal that is very soft and has a low melting point (232°C). While resistant to corrosion, it can be attacked by strong acids and alkalis. Chemically, tin has two oxidation states, designated as Sn^{2+} and Sn^{4+} . It is quite reactive and has a somewhat promiscuous chemical 'lifestyle'.

The term "oxidation states" refers to the number of electrons an atom gains or loses when forming chemical bonds, which help chemists understand and predict chemical interactions.

The presence of tin rang alarm bells with the SOS scientists when it was discovered in such relatively large concentrations. As a pollutant, tin usually ends up in the body in minute quantities, so Adams' findings were alarming.

Regarding safety in foodstuffs, some items contain significant quantities of tin, such as canned tomatoes, which are often highly acidic. To the surprise of the SOS scientists, a number of drink products discovered in China contain extremely large amounts of tin as a contaminant.

The Codex Alimentarius Commission, established by the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) and the World Health Organization (WHO), has set a guideline level of 250 mg/kg (250 ppm) for tin in canned foods, excluding canned beverages. The EU has set a maximum level of 200 mg/kg for tin in canned foods and 100 mg/kg for tin in canned beverages.

The FDA is somewhat behind in stipulating specific limits for tin in foodstuffs but provides guidance based on safety and health considerations. Generally, the FDA considers international standards, scientific studies, and risk assessments when evaluating the safety of tin in food.

Safety Concerns: The primary concern with tin in food is its potential to cause acute toxicity if consumed in large amounts. High levels of tin, particularly from improperly manufactured or stored canned foods, can lead to gastrointestinal distress. The food industry generally follows guidelines such as those from the Codex Alimentarius Commission. This standard helps ensure that tin levels remain safe for consumers. While the FDA does not set specific limits, it monitors the levels of tin in food products through inspections and testing. Manufacturers are expected to comply with good manufacturing practices to prevent excessive tin levels.

Another potential source of tin contamination is PVC water pipes, particularly those that still use elemental tin as the stabiliser include; Canada, USA, UK, and New Zealand.

Tin is used as a stabiliser in PVC pipes and functions as follows:

During the production and processing of PVC, heat can cause the material to degrade, leading to discolouration, loss of mechanical properties, and release of hydrochloric acid. Tin compounds, including organo-tins, are used for thermal stabilisation and prevent this degradation by neutralizing hydrochloric acid and minimising the breakdown of the polymer chain—vinyl chloride.

Tin stabilisers enhance the durability and lifespan of PVC pipes. By preventing thermal and UV degradation, they help maintain the pipe's structural integrity over time, making them suitable for various applications, including plumbing and water distribution.

In addition to preventing degradation, tin stabilisers help maintain the clarity and colour of PVC products, which is particularly important for clear or white PVC applications.

Tin-based stabilisers are considered safer than lead-based stabilisers, which were commonly used in the past. This shift helps reduce the environmental impact and potential health risks associated with PVC production and use.

Overall, tin compounds play a crucial role in ensuring the performance and safety of PVC pipes, making them a reliable choice for various industrial and residential applications. However, the potential for tin to leach out of PVC water pipes, especially under acidic conditions, may explain the increase in tin levels in the blood in some people.

Ingested tin may well explain the high levels in blood and hence its inclusion in Hirschman clots.

Given the discovery of significantly high levels of tin in a number of Hirschman clot samples, it is important to delve into the mechanisms of toxicity.

Adams' analysis found six times the concentration of tin in the Hirschman clots compared to unvaccinated blood. This finding is significant, even if the mechanisms are not yet fully understood.

Biological Toxicity of Tin

The potential adverse health effects of tin toxicity are often overlooked. Nonetheless, it is a potential source of serious adverse health effects.

The LD50 (lethal dose for 50% of the population) for tin compounds can vary depending on the specific compound and the organism being tested. For elemental tin, data on LD50 is limited because it is not typically encountered in its pure form in toxicological studies. However, for some tin compounds, the LD50 values in rats are as follows:

Tin (II) chloride (SnCl_2): Approximately 700 mg/kg when administered orally.

Organo tin compounds tend to be more toxic, with some having LD50 values as low as 20-200 mg/kg when administered orally in rats. It should be noted that organo tins used as stabilisers in pvc water pipes do not leach out as readily as elemental tin, even under acidic conditions.

It's important to note that the toxicity of tin compounds can vary significantly based on the specific compound and the route of exposure (oral, inhalation, dermal, etc.). Exposure to high levels of tin, particularly from inhaling tin dust or fumes, can cause acute toxicity. Symptoms may include nausea, vomiting, and gastrointestinal distress.

This is less common with dietary exposure but can occur with occupational exposure. Long-term exposure to tin compounds can lead to chronic health issues, including respiratory problems and possible adverse effects on the liver and kidneys. Chronic exposure is more likely to cause subtle health effects over time.



SCIENTIFIC RESULTS & EVIDENCE

Hematological Effects

Some studies suggest that tin exposure may affect blood cell production. However, evidence is not conclusive and depends on the level and form of tin exposure.

Hemoglobin Levels: There is some evidence suggesting that high levels of tin exposure might affect hemoglobin levels. Tin could potentially replace iron (Fe) as the core element (ligand) in hemoglobin, rendering it incapable of transporting oxygen and carbon dioxide. The implications of this are significant: **DEATH**.

Carcinogenic Potential

Certain tin compounds, such as organotin compounds, have been studied for their potential carcinogenic effects. These studies are mostly related to occupational exposure and have not shown conclusive evidence of a direct link between dietary tin and cancer.

While it is well understood that correlation does not prove causation, the fact that tin has been found in the blood of non-vaccinated individuals and that Hirschman clots appear to actively take up tin should raise alarm bells. Correlation alone is indicative but does not establish causation. What strengthens any scientific hypothesis is determining a mechanism of action. Here are several possibilities:

Absorption and Distribution: Tin can be absorbed through the gastrointestinal tract or respiratory system. Once in the bloodstream, it can be distributed to various tissues, including the liver and kidneys, where it may cause adverse effects if present in high concentrations.

The Significance of Tin in Hirschman Clots

The relatively high levels of tin found in the clots, compared to levels in blood, is concerning as the formation of the long, white fibrous clots appears to be temporally associated with the vaccine rollout. As the clots appear to be aberrant, both in their chemical structure and occurrence, authorities should stop denying their existence and instead investigate how and why these clots are forming.

Only by providing truthful scientific results can the general public gain secure knowledge regarding the rapid rollout of an experimental vaccine for a virus that predominantly affect the elderly, or severely compromised individuals with multiple co-morbidities. The fact that potential treatments were outlawed in order to push through an emergency use authorization is tantamount to recklessness on a scale never before experienced in known human history.

Indeed, many are now classifying this joint action as “**CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY**.” This is supported by the growing number of court cases initiated along such lines in multiple countries.

It cannot be overstressed that one of the cardinal predicates of an emergency use authorization is that no other possible treatments exist. We now know that this was not the case, making the implementation of multiple emergency use authorisations tantamount to a criminal offence.

In concluding remarks regarding the presence of tin in the Hirschman clots, there remains a serious scientific question as to what part tin might potentially play in the distorted biochemistry that is creating these clots in such large numbers since the rollout of the COVID vaccinations.

It is highly possible that people with significant levels of tin may be more susceptible to clotting in the presence of the spike protein, or the pseudo spike protein generated by the human host in response to the new mRNA vaccine technology.


At the very least, this potential hazard should be properly investigated and the vaccination program halted until the certainty of scientific knowledge in this regard is both known and widely accepted. While tin could potentially react with various chemical species in mammalian biochemistry, the potential dangers of combining environmental pollutants present in some individuals with a new experimental genetic therapy are significant, if not foolhardy.

Given that tin could replace other chemical species, as previously stated, the potential consequences of reactions involving phosphorus, sulfur, various amines (including tertiary, secondary, and primary, in order of chemical reactivity), and amides, present a serious risk factor that needs to be addressed before the vaccination with this new experimental platform is allowed to proceed. Now let us examine some of the other SOS findings.

Presence of High Phosphorus

The Adams’ analysis was the first analytical study to highlight the presence of high phosphorus concentrations in the anomalous clots found in cadavers. As phosphorus is a critical component in both protein structure and function, and is clearly being sequestered in the clots—there must be a reason for that.

The SOS findings of phosphorus in Hirschman clots are illustrated most clearly in the graph below:



While the levels of phosphorus are lower than those found in Adams’ work, they are still significantly higher than in most blood samples. This is a critical finding, as phosphorus is ubiquitous in mammalian biochemistry and participates in a myriad of essential reactions.



Importance of Phosphorus in Biochemistry

Phosphorus is involved in many different roles in biochemistry. Phosphorus, primarily in the form of phosphate ions, is a crucial component of hydroxyapatite, the mineral that makes up bones and teeth. It provides strength and rigidity to the skeletal structure.

Another critical function of phosphorus is its role in energy transfer. The highenergy phosphate bonds in ATP (Adenosine Triphosphate) release energy used for various cellular processes, including muscle contraction and biosynthesis.

Phosphorus also plays a fundamental role in the genetic code. It is a key component of the backbone of genetic material, such as nucleic acids. It links sugar molecules in DNA and RNA, forming the structural framework necessary for genetic information storage and transfer.

The importance and ubiquitous nature of phosphorus are also evident in cell membranes. Phosphorus is a key component of phospholipids, which form the lipid bilayers of cell membranes. Thus phospholipids are essential for maintaining cell integrity, fluidity, and function.

Phosphorus regulates enzymes, which are critical for countless cellular functions. Phosphorylation (the addition of phosphorus) is a key regulatory mechanism in cellular processes. The addition or removal of phosphate groups on proteins can activate or deactivate enzymes and influence cellular signaling pathways. Phosphorus acts like a switch, turning proteins, and enzymes, on or off by causing physical conformation changes in their tertiary and quaternary structures.

Phosphorus also plays a role in maintaining acid-base balance. Phosphates act as buffers in the blood and other bodily fluids, helping to maintain the pH balance necessary for proper enzyme function and metabolic processes.

Phosphorus may be considered the 'currency' of the body's energy system, highlighting its importance. Phosphorus is involved in various metabolic pathways, including the synthesis and breakdown of carbohydrates, lipids, and proteins. It plays a role in the activation and deactivation of metabolic enzymes.


In summary, phosphorus is vital for maintaining structural integrity, energy transfer, genetic information, cellular functions, and pH balance in mammals. Its role extends from basic structural components of bones and teeth to complex biochemical processes like energy metabolism and regulation of cellular activities.

The accumulation of phosphorus at higher levels in Hirschman clots cannot be understated. If high levels of phosphorus are present in this chemical matrix, there is likely a significant reason for it. It is probably associated with proteins that are normal components of natural clots, and it seems more than likely that it plays a similar role in aberrant clots, such as those found by embalmers worldwide.

Sodium - unusually high levels

One outstanding difference in the SOS results, compared to Adams' findings, is the very high levels of sodium actually in the clots.

The graph above shows this clearly;



Many of the SOS findings are nearly double compared to the Adams' clot analysis. Certainly, in comparison to normal unvaccinated blood, the levels are seriously elevated. Like phosphorus, sodium is crucial to a number of mammalian body functions, and it is instructive to briefly mention some of these.

Crucial Role of Sodium

Sodium is essential for maintaining the balance of fluids into and out of cells, tissues, and the bloodstream. It helps regulate blood pressure and volume by controlling the amount of water retained or excreted by the kidneys. Sodium is critical for generating and transmitting electrical impulses in nerves. It helps maintain the resting membrane potential and facilitates the rapid transmission of nerve signals, which is essential for muscle contraction and overall nervous system function.

Sodium helps maintain the pH balance of the body by acting as a buffer in the blood. It works with other electrolytes like potassium and chloride to regulate acid-base balance.

Sodium is involved in muscle contraction and relaxation. It works in conjunction with potassium to ensure proper muscle function and prevent muscle cramps. Sodium assists in the absorption and transport of nutrients, such as glucose and amino acids, across cell membranes through active transport mechanisms.

In summary, sodium is vital for fluid regulation, nerve and muscle function, acid-base balance, and nutrient transport. Its balance is crucial for maintaining overall health and physiological stability.

The fact that sodium levels are so elevated in Hirschman clots is indicative of a serious underlying pathology. Clearly, sodium balance is critical to life, and it is perhaps no coincidence that these high levels of sodium are found in the Hirschman clots of deceased individuals as a result of inflammation and disease..

Possible Reasons for High Sodium Levels

Considering the possible reasons for the high sodium levels in the Hirschman clots, SOS scientists suggest that cellular damage could be a contributing factor.

In cases of either COVID-19 infection—a known blood-clotting disease—or the production of artificial spike protein chimeras (facsimiles) as a result of vaccination with the experimental mRNA genetic engineering technology, it is highly likely that cellular damage plays a significant role.

The spike protein is inherently toxic. This raises the question of why this particular protein was used as the 'Judas Goat' of a new genetic engineering technology to prepare the immune system for the actual viral infection. It would have made more sense to use a different protein from the COVID virus as the starting point for a vaccine.

Continued next page...



Single-stranded mRNA viruses are more prone to protein mutations due to their single strand of RNA (there is no complimentary strand to check against for errors during replication), and this is particularly evident in the spike protein on the outside of the viral capsid.

Of all the covid proteins, the spike protein has the highest mutation rate. This is why if a vaccine was developed, it would have very little time to be of use. This would particularly be the case of rapid spread, such as a pandemic, as the viral spike proteins would rapidly mutate away from the exemplar used by the vaccine to teach the immune system to recognise it and thus destroy it quickly.

All such vaccines would become ineffective in a very short period of time, rendering them useless. It is like sending the police out to look for a criminal using an identikit picture of a person who does not actually exist. This begs the question, when the number of covid cases was continuing to rise, proving the vaccine to be clearly ineffective, what is the point of using pushing boosters consisting of that same vaccine that had already failed first time round?

It is like using the same useless 'identikit' image to identify a suspect when the criminal has already changed their appearance from their original image, as portrayed in the identikit picture! So called "breakthrough infections" are simply evidence of the vaccine ineffectiveness, as evidenced by the increasing infection rate. Or should we say, "case numbers", as many who test positive for covid using the erroneous PCR test will not actually have the disease and be asymptomatic.

This is clearly not about science or medicine, rather, it is about controlling the population with fear and making an enormous profit while doing so.

This begs the question, when the number of covid cases was continuing to rise, proving the vaccine to be clearly ineffective, what is the point of using pushing boosters consisting of that same vaccine that had already failed first time round?

It is like using the same useless 'identikit' image to identify a suspect when the criminal has already changed their appearance from their original image, as portrayed in the identikit picture!

So called "breakthrough infections" are simply evidence of the vaccine ineffectiveness, as evidenced by the increasing infection rate.

Or should we say, "case numbers", as many who test positive for covid using the erroneous PCR test will not actually have the disease and be asymptomatic. This is clearly not about science or medicine, rather, it is about controlling the population with fear and making an enormous profit while doing so.

This begs the question why the developers chose the spike protein which has the highest mutation rate of any protein in the covid virus?

Choosing any of the other more than 20 proteins would have given the vaccine at least a fighting chance success!

Furthermore, the exemplar spike protein was not even one from a genuine covid virus circulating in the natural environment.

It was a totally artificial facsimile manufactured from a library of genetic codes in a computer, then cobbled together using the CRISPR technique in a laboratory.

This also explains why the assembled sequences are so similar in length, as there is an optimum length of code that CRISPR can use. Beyond that, mistakes become more prevalent. The developers also added in various components that were never found in natural cultivars such as the furin cleavage site.

They then added code for a prion protein, the sort that is responsible for protein misfolding diseases, such as Creutzfeldt Jacob, which is similar to Mad Cow Disease (bovine spongiform encephalopathy). Then they added a piece of the AIDS virus.

What a concoction!

It was more like the Devil's recipe for toxic vegetable soup than a real vaccine based on the actual covid spike protein that could be representative of natural covid viruses.

This explains why the vaccine appeared to have such a short term effect. When it became obvious that the vaccine was not working as desired (rather than 'designed'), all the authorities did was recommend, nay "push/force" boosters of the same ineffective vaccine on the unsuspecting public. This greatly magnified all the adverse health effects many fold.

Many people experienced severe adverse health effects with increased boosters. The death rates also ramped up markedly, the more boosters people took. There are papers in the literature proving this, but the authorities remain steadfast in their denial, continuing to pedal this artificial cocktail of death.

Creating a genetic engineering treatment, rather than a traditional vaccine, while still calling it a vaccine, is indicative of a deceptive approach.

The spike protein is the key structural and functional element that facilitates the entry of the complete viral capsid into mammalian cells. In doing so, it not only initiates the infection but also induces inflammation and the chemical cascade that follows. Cells producing the spike protein, as facilitated by mRNA technology, then presenting it on their surface automatically become targets for host's the immune system.

This can lead to cellular damage resulting in an excess influx of sodium into the wound site, particularly in the region of the endothelial cells lining blood vessels. It is likely that this injury site to be the trigger starting the clotting cascade that results in Hirschman clots.

High sodium levels may thus be explained by inflammation and cellular damage resulting from either a real COVID-19 infection or the spike proteins produced by the host cells in response to the mRNA vaccine. In both cases, the body undergoes stress, but the key issue with the artificial spike protein created by the vaccine is the absence of an off-switch.

When an individual is injected with the mRNA vaccine, wrapped in lipid nanoparticles to aid distribution and cell entry, the duration of the protein production is uncertain. Indeed it cannot be known. Solid scientific evidence indicates that some individuals may continue producing the toxic spike protein for at least 18 months post-vaccination. Simply put:

There is no off switch.

This decries one of the fundamental principles of medicine:

The right medication in the right dose for the right condition for the right patient. This whole philosophy is thrown out the window by providing a genetically-engineered 'treatment' that once started, can never turn off. It is instructive to delve a little more deeply into this philosophy in order to understand how damaging the covid vaccine response is with know knowledge of dose in respect of artificial spike protein produced in the host.

Right medication, right dose, right condition, right patient. The cornerstone of personalised medicine.

The principle "The right medication in the right dose for the right condition for the right patient" is a cornerstone of personalised medicine. This approach emphasises the importance of tailoring medical treatment to the individual characteristics of each patient. It ensures that the medication prescribed is specific to the patient's condition, is dosed appropriately to maximise efficacy and minimise side effects, and takes into account the patient's unique biological and genetic makeup.

This principle aims to improve treatment outcomes and reduce the risk of adverse reactions.

Personalised medicine is an evolving field that integrates data from genetics, biomarkers, and patient history to develop individualised treatment plans.

The goal is to move away from the one-size-fits-all approach and toward therapies that are optimised for each patient. This requires a comprehensive understanding of pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics to predict how a patient will respond to a drug.

Advances in genomics and biotechnology are making it possible to identify genetic markers that predict drug response, which can be particularly useful in treating conditions like cancer, cardiovascular diseases, and mental health disorders.

The developers admit to not knowing how long the artificial mRNA with its modified pseudo methyl uridine, will remain functional in the human body.

This violates the basic premise of medical treatment via pharmaceuticals and can only be described as an egregious crime against humanity.

Such action may be quite sufficient to explain the continued excess all cause mortality that only began after vaccination rolled out. It is critical to understand that there was no excess mortality during the actual time when there were many so-called "cases". That includes the period from the beginning of 2019 to the end of 2020. No.

Excess only mortality began after the vaccine rollout.

In essence, the COVID vaccine can be seen as a reinvention of the virus. A real virus has a capsid (a container or 'coat') made of protein, or protein and lipids. This contains the genetic material (mRNA in the case of covid).

The vaccine is simply a modified version of the container that contains the modified RNA template (albeit of a reduced instruction set, coding only for the spike protein).

That reduced instruction set stimulates the host cells to produce only the toxic spike protein, which is the very agent of disease for all covid viruses!

The presence of high sodium may simply indicate increased cellular damage as a result of the artificial virus spike protein created by the mRNA vaccine.

Unlike a real virus, this artificial version lacks the genetic codes that a normal virus would have to facilitate its reproduction in host cells and subsequent increasing infection.

Thus, the artificial virus, the mRNA in the lipid nanoparticle, as designed, could potentially result in a persistent infection state which aligns with the symptoms of long COVID.

If the production of a spike protein, the actual agent of disease in the case of covid, is not sufficient, the actual pseudo capsid, the lipid nanoparticle, is toxic to the human body, in and of itself.

So the delivery mechanism in the case of the genetic engineering approach masquerading as a vaccine is also another toxic agent that is responsible for multiple adverse health effects. This is a vast topic and will be discussed in another article in the near future. But let us examine the next important chemical player with increased quantity in the Hirschman clots.

Sulphur - An Important Element Overlooked? Importance of Sulfur in Mammalian Biochemistry

Adam's initial analysis did not consider sulfur. This exclusion was understandable because sulfur can be difficult to detect and may be obscured by other elements. The SOS scientists realized sulphur's crucial role in mammalian biochemistry, particularly as a component of proteins. Since clots are composed of proteins, platelets, and various blood cells, it became clear that sulfur warranted investigation. Consequently, the SOS team requested laboratories to specifically calibrate their equipment to detect sulfur. The significance of sulfur in protein biochemistry is worthy of further explanation.

Sulfur is a key component of certain amino acids, such as cysteine and methionine. Cysteine contains a thiol group (-SH), vital for formation of disulfide bonds which stabilise protein structures and contribute to protein folding and stability. Methionine is important for initiating protein synthesis and serves as a methyl group donor in various biochemical processes.

Additionally, many enzymes contain sulfur in their active sites, or indeed in their cofactors. For example, sulfur-containing enzymes involved in the metabolism of sulfur amino acids (e.g., cystathionine β -synthase) play critical roles in detoxification and the synthesis of important biomolecules.

Sulfur is also involved in detoxification pathways.

For instance, sulfur compounds are crucial in the conjugation of toxins for their excretion, such as in the liver's phase II detoxification processes.

While the potential role of antioxidants is well-known, sulfur-containing molecules, like glutathione, which act as antioxidants protecting cells from oxidative stress. Glutathione neutralises free radicals and helps maintain redox balance within cells.

Regarding metabolism (biochemical synthesis reactions), sulfur is involved in various metabolic processes, including the synthesis of coenzyme A (CoA), which is essential for fatty acid metabolism and the Krebs cycle. The Krebs cycle, also known as the citric acid cycle or TCA (tricarboxylic acid) cycle.

Continue next page...



SCIENTIFIC RESULTS & EVIDENCE

It is a series of chemical reactions used by all aerobic organisms to generate energy through the oxidation of acetyl-CoA derived from carbohydrates, fats, and proteins. It takes place in the mitochondria of cells. It is the essence of the way mitochondria fulfil their role as the living 'battery' of all aerobic (oxygen-using) organisms. Overall, sulphur's role in protein structure, enzymatic functions, detoxification, and antioxidant defense underscores its importance in maintaining cellular health and function. It is a series of chemical reactions used by all aerobic organisms to generate energy through the oxidation of acetyl-CoA derived from carbohydrates, fats, and proteins. It takes place in the mitochondria of cells. It is the essence of the way mitochondria fulfil their role as the living 'battery' of all aerobic (oxygen-using) organisms.

Overall, sulphur's role in protein structure, enzymatic functions, detoxification, and antioxidant defense underscores its importance in maintaining cellular health and function.

The Role of Antioxidants

Antioxidants are crucial for protecting cells from damage caused by free radicals and reactive oxygen species (ROS). These unstable molecules can damage cellular components such as DNA, proteins, and lipids, leading to oxidative stress, which is associated with aging and various diseases, including cancer and cardiovascular disorders. Antioxidants have several key roles, including: Antioxidants neutralise free radicals by donating electrons, preventing these molecules from causing cellular damage.

In mitigating oxidative stress, antioxidants help protect essential cellular structures, including membranes and genetic material, from oxidative damage.

Oxidation is actually what chemists call "burning".

Let me explain.

Oxidation is a fundamental process in chemical burning, also known as combustion. During combustion, a substance (usually a hydrocarbon—often sugar in mammalian biochemistry) reacts rapidly with oxygen from the air. This reaction releases energy in the form of heat and light. The key component of this process is oxidation, where oxygen molecules interact with the fuel, breaking chemical bonds and forming new compounds, primarily carbon dioxide and water.

In a typical combustion reaction, the oxidation process involves the transfer of electrons from the fuel molecules to oxygen molecules. This transfer of electrons results in the formation of new chemical bonds, releasing energy. The efficiency of this process can vary depending on the fuel and the conditions of the reaction, such as temperature and pressure.

In mammalian biochemistry, this "burning" equates to the combustion of sugar, using oxygen which results in the production of carbon dioxide, CO₂.

This chemical burning process is what makes us warm when we burn our fuel—food. The process of oxidation can also wreak havoc in the body, which is why it is essential to have chemical watchdogs that control any unnecessary 'fires' which is what is termed—oxidative stress. Antioxidants contribute to maintaining a healthy immune system by reducing inflammation and supporting overall immune function.

By reducing oxidative damage, antioxidants play a role in lowering the risk of chronic diseases linked to oxidative stress, such as heart disease, diabetes, and neurodegenerative disorders.

In essence, antioxidants help maintain cellular health and prevent damage that can lead to various health problems.

The Case for Excess Sulfur

Clearly, sulfur has a pivotal role in much of mammalian biochemistry. The question is: ***Why does it appear in Hirschman clots in such elevated quantities.***

The explanation could be its involvement with several amino acids critical to protein structure and function. Sulfur, as stated above, often forms disulfide bonds that affect the actual shape of proteins.

One of the most fundamental facts of biochemistry is known as the Lock and Key Hypothesis.

This hypothesis states that the physical structure of a protein, greatly affects its ability to undertake important reactions. Hence the idea of a lock and key.

For a protein to do its job, it needs to react with other proteins, carbohydrates and fats.

To do this, it must have the correct shape to allow the reactive sites along the protein to be in the right position to align with the complementary binding sites on the other molecule (substrate). Both phosphorus and sulfur are important in this regard.

The fact that there is such high sulfur in the Hirschman clots is most likely explained by a larger-than-normal number of sulfur-containing amino acids in the proteins involved in forming such aberrant clots.

High iron concentrations

The normal levels of serum iron range from 700 to 1750 parts per million (ppm) for men and slightly lower, 500 to 1500 ppm, for women. Adams' analysis found a value of 462 ppm in unvaccinated blood, which is slightly lower than ideal but still not too far from the average range.

Role of Iron

Iron is a critical component of hemoglobin, facilitating its role as a transport mechanism for both oxygen and carbon dioxide. We have already discussed the potential damage caused by excessive tin, which can potentially replace iron in the blood, impairing its primary function. However, iron also has several other important functions that may explain its presence in Hirschman clots.

Iron is not only found in hemoglobin in the blood; it has a number of other significant roles that are of biochemical relevance.

Some of iron's other roles include:

Iron is a component of myoglobin, a protein found in muscle tissues. Myoglobin stores and facilitates the transport of oxygen within muscles, providing a reserve of oxygen for muscle contraction. Iron is also a key element in cytochromes, which are proteins involved in electron transport chains, particularly in cellular respiration and photosynthesis. These proteins are essential for producing ATP (adenosine triphosphate), the energy currency of cells.

Iron forms complexes with sulfur to create iron-sulfur compounds which are involved in various enzymatic reactions, including those in the citric acid cycle and DNA repair.

Iron is a component of enzymes like catalase and peroxidase, which help protect cells from oxidative damage by breaking down hydrogen peroxide into water and oxygen. The enzyme Ribonucleotide Reductase contains iron, which is crucial for DNA synthesis as it converts ribonucleotides into deoxyribonucleotides, the building blocks of DNA.


Iron is stored in the liver and other tissues in the form of ferritin. Ferritin helps regulate and maintain iron levels in the body, releasing it when needed. These roles highlight iron's importance in energy production, oxygen transport and storage, cellular protection, and overall metabolic function.

The question remains: Could such significantly high and variable levels of iron be expected in Hirschman clots?

The answer is: It depends. Primarily, it hinges on whether the clot contains significant quantities of red or white blood cells.

If a large number of red blood cells are present in the clot, it would be reasonable to expect a high iron content. Additionally, certain biochemical compounds associated with iron's diverse applications might also be found in Hirschman clots, either due to specific chemical reactivity or by random inclusion.

What the SOS scientists discovered was that iron levels were highly variable across the samples analyzed. The graph below illustrates this variability quite clearly. This will be discussed in a future article.



While the SOS analysis found variable and high levels of iron in the Hirschman clots, mass spectrometry analysis does not provide information about the compounds the iron was in, which could have provided insight into its origin. One of the challenges of chemical analysis, particularly one as complex and high-tech as ICP-MS, is that it dissociates the elements from their original compounds. When samples are prepared for mass spectrometry analysis, they are essentially 'digested' and then ionised using a flame at 10,000 degrees Celsius as explained earlier. At this stage, the elements are no longer associated with their original compounds; rather they are individual, isolated atoms that are sprayed onto the mass spectrometer's detector. Accordingly, such complex destructive analysis cannot

reveal the specific chemical compounds present; it only provides the 'head-count' of individual components. This is akin to taking all the parts of a car, sorting them into piles by type, such as nuts, bolts, panels, gears etc., then trying to determine what type of car it was to begin with. Once chemical compounds are disassociated into individual atoms, much of the contextual information is lost.

For this reason, multiple strategies must be employed to analyse biological and biochemical systems. Simply counting atoms is insufficient. Understanding how those atoms are assembled into compounds is crucial. While the SOS analysis identified variable and high levels of iron in the Hirschman clots, it did not provide information about the specific compounds in which the iron was present, which could have shed light on its origin.

In light of the above constraints, the initial hypothesis could be that the iron content is related to the quantity of red blood cells associated with the Hirschman clots. This is not an unreasonable idea, as the clots form in the presence of blood in the vascular system. Another type of analysis was later performed by SOS scientists that would help answer this intriguing question in a future article.

Variance: The Biologist's Nightmare

The concept that "variance is the biologist's nightmare" reflects a common challenge in biological research. Variance, the degree of spread or variability in data, can complicate the interpretation of experimental results and make it difficult to draw clear conclusions. Here's a detailed explanation of why variance can be particularly problematic for biologists:

Biological Complexity and Variability: Biological systems are inherently complex and variable. Factors such as genetic differences, environmental influences, and experimental conditions can introduce significant variability into biological data. This variability can obscure patterns, make results less predictable, and complicate efforts to identify clear, consistent trends.

Statistical Challenges: High variance in data can reduce the statistical power of experiments, making it harder to detect meaningful effects or differences. For biologists, this means that distinguishing true biological phenomena from random noise becomes more challenging, leading to potential difficulties in validating hypotheses or confirming findings.

Experimental Design and Interpretation: Designing experiments to account for or minimise variance is crucial but challenging. Biologists must carefully control variables and use appropriate statistical methods to manage and interpret variance. Failure to do so can lead to misleading conclusions or difficulties in replicating results, which is a fundamental issue in the scientific process.

Data Consistency and Reliability: High variance can affect the consistency and reliability of data. For instance, if a biological experiment yields results with high variance, it may be difficult to establish reproducibility or to generalise findings to broader contexts. This can hinder the development of robust and reliable biological theories or applications.

Continued next page...

SCIENTIFIC RESULTS & EVIDENCE


Communication and Publication: In scientific communication, high variance can complicate the presentation and interpretation of results. Journals and peer reviewers may scrutinise high-variance data more critically, potentially affecting the acceptance and impact of research findings.

In summary, while variance is an inherent aspect of biological research, it represents a significant challenge for biologists in terms of experimental design, data interpretation, and scientific communication. Managing and understanding variance is crucial for generating reliable and meaningful biological insights

How This Relates to the Hirschman Clots

The substantial variance in the data, as exemplified by the SOS scientists' research, provides significant 'wiggle room' for health authorities and vaccine advocates to downplay the strong temporal association between the appearance of the Hirschman clots and the worldwide release of the COVID-19 vaccine.

Here is a graph of the variance of the SOS's mass spectrometry analysis.



Interesting observations from this data show that while phosphorus levels were very high, they were quite consistent, indicating a strong and active involvement of phosphorus in Hirschman clots. Iron, however, was highly variable. This could be explained by the variation in hemoglobin (ex-red blood cells) in the clot. This will be discussed in a later article.

Other unusual values, such as those for aluminium and zinc, may suggest potential contamination of the samples rather than a significant role in Hirschman clot formation. Sulfur is another element with both high values and high variability, which could indicate the presence of sulfur-containing amino acids and the number of potential disulfide bonds in the abnormal clots.

It may also be an indicator of cellular damage. Remember, there is no rule that all Hirschman clots must be chemically identical.

To expand on the cellular damage hypothesis: High sulfur values in biological samples can indicate cellular damage because sulfur is a component of various amino acids, such as cysteine and methionine, which are involved in protein structure and function. When cells are damaged, these proteins can break down, releasing sulfur-containing compounds. Additionally, oxidative stress

can lead to the formation of harmful sulfur-containing molecules like hydrogen sulphide (H_2S), which can further damage cellular components.

Elevated sulfur levels may thus reflect underlying pathological conditions, such as inflammation, necrosis, or metabolic disorders, that cause cellular breakdown and release of sulfur compounds.

The results clearly show the need for further analysis, including the use of other additional techniques.

What Does It All Mean?

After months of analysis, the conclusions are straightforward. Embalmers have been reporting an increasing number of strange, rubbery white clots since the COVID vaccine rollout. While some pseudo-experts and health authorities have done their best to deny the facts, the science is quite clear: **the clots the embalmers are referring to are not "normal."**

The mass spectrometry analysis has given a clear indication that all the samples have some interesting features that differentiate them from "normal" clots.

These features include high levels of phosphorus, sulfur and, in some cases, tin.

The high phosphorus level is arguably one of the most interesting differences; however, the increased level of sulfur indicates the presence of sulfur-containing amino acids and likely the occurrence of large numbers of disulfide bonds, critical in terms of the rubbery nature of the clots. This, combined with the levels of tin, suggests a polymer that is very similar to rubber. Certainly, an elastomeric polymer, which fits the description of the overly rubbery white clots embalmers are having to remove to successfully embalm a body.

The observation that these elastomeric clots, now known as Hirschman clots, are significantly different from the red-jelly clots commonly encountered post-mortem, which are more representative of agglutination reactions, is important. Here is a more instructive definition and description of red jelly clots, for comparison.

Red jelly clots, commonly found post-mortem, are characterized by their gelatinous, jelly-like consistency and deep red colour due to the high concentration of red blood cells. These clots form after death when blood circulation ceases, leading to the settling and coagulation of blood. The resulting clots are a soft agglutination or conglomeration of all blood elements, including red blood cells, white blood cells, and platelets, but without the organized, layered structure seen in ante-mortem thrombi.

Unlike clots formed during life, red jelly clots do not adhere tightly to vessel walls and are easier to remove during autopsies or embalming procedures. They are typically found in large blood vessels and heart chambers where blood has pooled after death. **Red jelly clots are considered a normal post-mortem finding and are distinguished by their appearance, consistency, and lack of attachment to vessel walls.**

The key difference between what the embalmers are finding is that post-mortem red jelly clots form when blood circulation stops and blood pools and congeals. These clots are not attached to vessel walls, unlike the white thrombi that embalmers are seeing. Often there are more than one adhesion point, and critically, these elastomeric clots cannot form in the absence of blood flow. They have to be formed ante-mortem—by definition. This fact is well-known in medicine.

Thrombi are well-described in medical literature.

From an empirical observation, their incidence increased markedly immediately after the COVID vaccinations were rolled out. This means that the thrombi were forming in the living and possibly taking a long time to form.

That they are often found throughout the body of a deceased person is indicative of a state of “dis-ease.”

Something is obviously wrong, but what caused it?

Another key finding that underlines the importance of the embalmers’ anecdotal observations is that these abnormal white thrombi are being found in both arteries and veins. This is highly unusual and indicative of the very meticulous observations made by embalmers like Richard Hirschman. This critical highlights the aberrant nature of the clots and their formation.

For critics to say that “clots are normally found in dead bodies” are making overly simplistic and uninformed comments. They are, in fact, facile to the point of being juvenile. Such uninformed comments reveal more about such critics’ intentions than their ability to make sensible scientific comment.

Which they clearly do not.

No discussion of the science of Hirschman clots would be complete without addressing the concept that anecdotal observations are of no value.

This is quite to the contrary of the way science actually works. The classical scientific method is predicated, or based on, ANECDOTAL OBSERVATIONS. Just as medicine is. Medicine relies to a great degree on the anecdotal reports from a patient when the doctor is taking what amounts to an oral medical history.

The doctor questions the patient regarding the process of the problem that is the basis for their current visit. This process even has a special name: anamnesis. The very definition of anamnesis states: “the history provided by a patient which is crucial for making a diagnosis”.

How could anyone raise an argument suggesting that personal observations could be irrelevant?

The very basis of what is generally termed: The Scientific Method, relies upon an observation that causes the observer to ask a question. In the classical scientific method, that question gives rise to a hypothesis to explain the observation that is then tested by way of experiment. The analysis of the results of that experiment are then considered in the light of what is currently known, and if it provides a valid explanation of the phenomenon observed. If the answer to that is “yes” then the hypothesis is said to be “supported”.

If many replicates of the experiment come to the same conclusion, then the explanation becomes generally accepted as a reasonable understanding of how the

phenomenon occurs or comes about. If after many years of replicating experiments has been completed, that hypothesis attains the special status of becoming a Scientific Law. One such example is the conservation of momentum. That has never been disproved.

However, if the results do not support the hypothesis, then it is back to the drawing boards. The point is, anecdotes are pivotal to all scientific research. They are the starting points of the journey of discovery. To downplay their importance is to be critical of the very foundation of science as we know it today.

The latest findings to hit the public media indicate that surgeons are now admitting they are finding an excessive amount of these long, aberrant clots on a daily basis, which are not dissolvable by drugs.

The only medical solution is thrombectomy—surgical removal. Their increasing presence can only mean that there is a new causal agent at work, which just happened to manifest after the vaccines were rolled out.

Now what could that be?

While the world wonders and surgeons face a sudden increase in thrombectomies daily, one has to question why authorities are so adamant about denying their existence. **SOS scientists now have clinical evidence of clots occurring in the living—even in the lymphatic system! Some have been observed in lymphatic drainage tubes post-surgery. This is a new and very disturbing phenomenon.**

The only ethical, moral, and humanist response of the embalmers’ findings is to investigate why Hirschman clots are suddenly becoming so abundant among the living and the deceased. Indeed, are these clots actually causing deaths? The obvious answer is:

Yes—of course. But authorities do not want to acknowledge this, as it would reveal that their new genetic engineering approach to vaccination is causing serious adverse health effects. Any adverse publicity, supported by actual medical and scientific facts, would only serve as evidence of gross malfeasance on the part of health officials and governments.

But as with all things, there is a time for every purpose under heaven. And the pigeons are about to come home to roost, as the evidence is now filtering into the mainstream narrative. **There is little time left before the vaccine advocates will be forced to seek cover or use an escape route to avoid perhaps the most vicious backlash over a man-made public health emergency that was never based on a real pandemic nor the most dangerous virus to ever infect humanity. Rather, it was either a new way for big pharma to make excessive profits off a worldwide market, undertaken using fear propaganda worthy of Hitler and Mengele themselves, or it was a population control strategy—eugenics by another name.** The correct term for this would be a “POGROM.” But then the writer would be straying into conspiracy theory, and we simply could not have that, could we?

Caveat emptor. Let the buyer beware.

In the next article in this series, the SOS Internationale scientists will describe their histology research in:

Under the Microscope.... END.



Powering UP The Distribution of

THE CORRUPTION WHISTLEBLOWER NEWSPAPERS

■ GO SPREAD THIS NEWS ■

The CWB is now Calling for The People to assist with Distribution to those who will listen & to the *others* with Menticide--may we have mercy!...

- 1000 assorted Papers at \$2.00 each = \$2000 (delivery negotiable)
- 50 assorted Papers at \$2.50 each + P&H = \$ 175.00 Aust-wide
- 75 assorted Papers at \$2.50 each + P&H = \$247.50 Aust-wide

ORDER Papers By : Depositing Chosen Amount \$ into The City of Heaven Foundation

BSB 032-527 Account 320-648 and your Last Name and Suburb as the description:

Then text a copy of your payment receipt and Your desired assortment of papers, Name and Delivery Address to: **Peter 0451 000 114**

Professional MEDIA Service

For anyone keen on advertising in our CWB paper
- with over 100,000 in print and growing
feel free to email info@corruptionwhistleblower.com
or Phone Belinda 0419 980 890...

**FOR ALL YOUR CWB ADVERTISING
NEEDS CONTACT BELINDA
0419 980 890**

Make no mistake, they are scared of this happening.



**Lets
Make it
Happen
Peace and
Justice
for All**



**IT'S YOUR
SUPER
IT'S YOUR
CHOICE**

Founder Cass Smith, as seen at My Place, Voice of Freedom, Freedom Broadcasting Network and more. FFS is a not-for-profit and donates all company profits to freedom causes.



**FREEDOM FINANCIAL
SOLUTIONS FFS**

Did you know you can **control your super directly** via a **SELF-MANAGED SUPER FUND** and invest in gold, silver, crypto, property and more? Ask us how.

www.freedomffs.com.au - 1300 333 121

Central Coast Supporters

LEEUWIN FINANCE

~A REPUTATION IN SERVICE EXCELLENCE~

Victoria Marszalkowski

Property finance specialist

0411 826 385

victoria@leeuwinfinance.com.au



- Owner occupied, investment & commercial
- Over 40 lenders including 11 ethical banks
- Maximise your borrowing capacity by working with me as each bank lends differently
- I'm paid by the banks, not you!

TRACEY ALEXANDER



0435 731 466

BOWEN THERAPY
INDIAN HEAD MASSAGE
ENERGETIC HEALING

tranquilenergyalignment@gmail.com

TRUTHZ & CUTZ

Get groomed and be prepared to reach the fifth dimensions – by appointment only
Creampuffs approved
0432 861 228

Clean Break Cleaning Services
General cleans, Bond Cleans
Servicing the lower Central Coast
Call Belinda for a free quote
0419 980 890

Swap Where You Shop

Ready to stop supporting and giving your hard-earned money to big chains like Woolies, Coles, IGA, and Aldi, Chemist Warehouse, Sephora, Johnson & Johnson, Colgate etc.?


Imagine swapping to a family-owned alternative that values you. Quality essentials with eco-friendly options, discounts on every order, and a 90-day money-back guarantee, all without feeding the corporate giants.

WANT TO KNOW HOW? <https://theawarecommunity.my.canva.site>

theawarecommunity73@gmail.com <https://www.facebook.com:TheAwareCommunity>



**YOUR
DEVICES
ARE
WATCHING
YOU**



Anxious about Big Tech giants using your data without your consent?

Choose Freedom Technology & Services:

- DeGoogled cell phones customised to your needs.
- Privacy-enhanced Faraday bags to take your tech anywhere.
- Open-source Linux computers without spyware on laptops & PCs.

We offer premium anti-spying solutions that investigative reporters, government agents, and long-time privacy advocates celebrate.

Claim Your Digital Privacy Now!

Need help? Feel free to call Tony for a chat, he's your personal privacy expert! (seriously, a phone call is better sometimes!)

W <https://freedomtech.com.au/>

E tony@freedomtech.com.au

M +61 404 667 855



Mary's House & Petsitting Services

for Booking & Enquiries
message on page
<https://facebook.com/61556355129587>

Happy Pets **M: 0401 882 558**

Local small business Central Coast
Crowhurst Plastering 26 yrs experience.

Sheeting, Setting and Cornicing on contract
Metre rates / Hourly rate
New Houses, Grannyflats, Renovations, Commercial,
Office Fitouts And metal work Framing Rondo stud
walls and suspended ceilings.

Architectural designs finishes building Bulkheads, Coffin
Ceilings, Square set sky lights and Square set openings.
Also plaster cornice and ceiling roses expert including
years experience with Historical plastering.

Central Coast area

Crowhurst Plastering Contact number

M: 0421 395 920

FOR ALL YOUR CWB ADVERTISING NEEDS
CONTACT BELINDA 0419 980 890



www.corruptionwhistleblower.com



Professional MEDIA Services



53

FREE WILFRED WONG



"An individual of the highest calibre"

Who Is Wilfred Wong?

Wilfred Wong is a former U.K. barrister and human rights activist and is a strong advocate for satanic ritual abuse (SRA) survivors.

In 2020, he was imprisoned for 17 years along with five others for attempting to help a mother rescue her young child from alleged satanic ritual abuse, which was purportedly committed by the father and others known to the father. The child's disclosures to his mother and others over several years suggested that the father may have been involved in a child abuse ring.

The media portrayed these people, who say they were rescuing the child, as criminals but failed to mention important details that led up to their desperate actions.

The initial investigating police officer who was working with the child appeared to believe the young child's disclosures, and there was plenty of material supplied by the child that seemed to indicate that the young boy was in serious danger.

FREE WILFRED WONG AND THE OTHERS, INCARCERATED UNDER EXTREME SENTENCES FOR ATTEMPTING TO RESCUE A CHILD FROM THE HORRORS OF SATANIC RITUAL ABUSE. INVESTIGATE THE ALLEGATIONS OF CORRUPTION BY POLICE AND SOCIAL SERVICES IN THE ANGLESEY SIX CASE, UNJUSTLY IMPRISONED IN THE U.K.

Some of this material consisted of video interviews with the boy, 400 pages of the boy's disclosures concerning drawings made by the child, which were analysed by a qualified art therapist and were, in her expert opinion, indicative of abuse.

The initial investigating officer that had worked with the child had gone on medical leave, but the child kept making new disclosures to new investigators and support workers. As the disclosures became more extreme, the mother was accused of coaching the child, and he was placed into foster care. He was in the process of being placed back into the care of the man he had accused of hurting him, whom he was reportedly terrified of. This is when Wilfred, the mother of the child, and four others stepped in. They wholeheartedly believed that the child was in danger and that they could no longer rely on the authorities to protect the child. They felt helpless and desperate to keep him safe, so they decided to take matters into their own hands and take the child from his foster carer before he could be returned to the alleged abuser.

It is hard to imagine what any loving parent would do in a situation where a child makes such horrific disclosures of abuse.

One of the disclosures the child made was that his abuser was wearing a "devil mask" and that they had "injected him and other children."

Very similar accusations were made by victims of the recently prosecuted Glasgow child abuse ring, where the accused were found guilty of those crimes, amongst other depraved acts that they committed on children.

Wilfred deserves our help. He has selflessly dedicated 30 years of his life to campaigning for human rights and exposing SRA.

Some of Wilfred's humanitarian work Wilfred has helped a successful campaign to change U.K. law so that nationals and residents who commit sex offences overseas against children can be prosecuted in the U.K. He also successfully persuaded the U.K. Home Office to increase the penalty for non-compliance by those on the Sex Offences Register.

Wilfred's vast human rights work focused on children's rights and the persecution of Christians for their faith. He has campaigned against the killing of street children in Latin America, the sexual exploitation of children in South-east Asia, and the desperate and often lethal situation of orphaned and abandoned children in China. He has helped to publicly expose four separate situations of genocide across the world and has raised money to help poor.

The address to send Wilfred a card or letter to lift his spirits while he's incarcerated is:

**Wilfred Wong
ID # AO599EP
HMP Stocken
2 Stocken Hall Rd
Stratton, Oakham LE157RD
United Kingdom**

Please be aware that all mail is monitored.

Wilfred enjoys receiving mail

Watch videos: www.itsy.pub/wilfredwong

Wilfred's website www.casra.org.uk

Wilfred's website is being maintained by his supporters. Since being in prison, Wilfred has remained hopeful that he will be released. He has been spending his time converting others to Christianity. Please pray for Wilfred and consider joining his email campaign to help free him.

Join The Email Campaign To Help FREE WILFRED WONG

Wilfred wishes for people from all over the world to email key U.K. ministers, which he believes will put pressure on them to have his case reopened.






You will only receive emails approximately four times per year. You will be emailed pre-written letters to forward to four government ministers (email addresses supplied).



To join please email:
free_wilfred@outlook.com







Books of Interest



By Shane Dowling

The Lost Book of Herbal Remedies
by Dr. Nicole Apelian




Article in CWB Shot Gun
Edition 2025 Pg 56

Mass Murder: Martin Bryant
Case Re-Examined, Book 1

The Martin Bryant (Port Arthur Massacre) case is the worst injustice that has ever been perpetrated in Australia. Thirty-five deaths, but NO coronial inquest, NO trial, and NO full and public inquiry. Martin, who has the intellectual age of a 10-year-old boy, was set up, misused and abused, then betrayed by the legal profession, then imprisoned for life by some POS who called himself Justice.

There is not a shred of hard evidence that Martin planned and conducted the whole tragedy. He does not have the mental capacity. Evidence was faked and eye-witnesses have stated in writing that he was not the gunman and that he was elsewhere when the carnage commenced. It is a gross official cover-up. Martin Bryant is innocent. In this controversial book, Dr Keith Allan Noble re-examined the case from Australia's Port Arthur Massacre

https://books.google.com.au/books/about/Mass_Murder.html?id=M_EHrgEACAAJ&redir_esc=y



www.corruptionwhistleblower.com



Professional MEDIA Services



55

THE PORT ARTHUR MASSACRE WAS MARTIN BRYANT FRAMED?

Part 1 :by Carl Wernerhoff © May 2006 Volume 13, Number 4 JUNE – JULY 2006
Republished with permission www.nexusmagazine.com

In the 10 years since the massacre at Port Arthur, Tasmania, the authorities continue to ignore concerns that there is no hard evidence to implicate Martin Bryant as the gunman

Australians reacted with horror and outrage when, on the evening of Sunday 28 April 1996, they learned that over 30 people had been murdered and many others injured in an orgy of violence at the Port Arthur Historic Site (PAHS), Tasmania, one of the nation's most venerable historic sites, and at adjacent locations.

The alleged perpetrator—a young Caucasian male with long blond hair, named Martin Bryant—was apprehended by police the following morning after he emerged from a burning tourist guest house, Seascope Cottage, which was located a short distance from Port Arthur.

Bryant instantly became the most vilified individual in Australian history and was rapidly enlisted in the serial killers' hall of infamy as the world's second-most-lethal gunman. However, the case—which never went to trial—is full of clues, direct and indirect, to suggest that Bryant, a 29-year-old man with an IQ of only 66, was framed. However, even today, the case is regarded by most people as so delicate that it is considered insensitive to discuss it at all—a perfect means of perpetuating a cover-up, if ever there was one.

Martin Bryant's guilt: the problem of lack of evidence

Strikingly absent from the recent media coverage of the 10th anniversary of the most traumatic event in modern Australian history was evidence to support the official claim that Martin Bryant had been responsible for the massacre. The matter of whether Bryant had really been the perpetrator was only touched upon in an interview with Bryant's mother, Carleen Bryant, that was published in the Bulletin of 4 April 2006: *"She likes to talk about her boy's hair. It's another reason she thinks he has been framed."*

He had beautiful, shampooed soft hair.' Carleen wants to set the record straight. *'The guy who did it had dark, greasy hair and pocked skin. My Martin has lovely soft baby skin.'*

The writer of the report, Julie-Anne Davies, of course does not raise the subject of whether Carleen Bryant has

any evidence to support her claims, simply observing patronisingly that Mrs Bryant "lives in a state of denial". As I will show in this report, however, it is Julie-Anne Davies who is living in a state of denial—as are all Australians who think that Martin Bryant was responsible for the tragedy. There is simply no hard evidence to support this belief.

Most Australians, when confronted by the heretical idea that Bryant might not have been the gunman, respond in knee-jerk fashion: "Of course he was! People saw him do it!" In fact, it has never been proven that Bryant was the man "people" saw do it. It was the police and the media, not the eyewitnesses, who identified Bryant as the gunman.

As we shall see, only two eyewitnesses have ever specifically identified Bryant as the perpetrator, and both of them gave their statements a month later—after they had been influenced by the publicity given to Bryant in the media.

If you ignore the media propaganda and study the details of the case, what becomes readily apparent is that there is no evidence that Martin Bryant—alone and to the exclusion of all other young men with long blond hair—executed the massacre. What's more, there are compelling reasons to believe that Bryant could not have done it. As Carleen Bryant told the Bulletin, "He didn't have the brains". Above all, he didn't possess the shooting ability

Bryant's physical appearance - Age -



Of the 40-odd persons who survived the shootings inside the Broad Arrow Café, only a few provided physical descriptions of the gunman. In these, his estimated age is 20 or less. Karen Atkins of Sydney told the Australian (29 April 1996) that, very soon after the shootings, she had spoken to a woman who had met the gunman in the café. According to this woman—who can be identified as Rebecca McKenna, on account of the content of the conversation she had with the gunman—he was: "...a young fellow, about 18 or 19. He looked like a surfer. He arrived in a Volkswagen and he walked into the cafeteria carrying a tennisbag." ...

Bryant's physical appearance

- Age -

...This description could perhaps be dismissed on the grounds that it is second-hand. However, it tallies with the description given by Carol Pearce. According to Pearce, the gunman, whom she passed on her way into the Broad Arrow Café, was: **"...between 18–20 years of age; he had really blonde [sic] hair which was collar length; it was fairly straight with a bit of a wave in it. He was clean-shaven, he was average in height and build."** Pearce's description is invaluable, as it was given on 28 April 1996, the very day of the massacre. Like the woman to whom Atkins spoke—Rebecca McKenna, as mentioned above—Pearce therefore could not have been influenced by the media campaign of vilification against Martin Bryant. No picture of him had as yet been published.

The same age-range is specified by former RAF officer Graham Collyer, who was shot in the throat inside the café. In his untainted witness statement taken on 7 May 1996, Collyer described the gunman thus:

"He seemed somewhere about 20. He had long blonde [sic] bedraggled hair, about 3–4 [inches?] below the shoulder. He looked like he might have had a lot of acne. A pitted face. He had scraggly trousers; I don't remember what colour." Collyer is a valuable witness because, in his statement from a second interview on 8 May, he noted:

"I still haven't seen anything in the media about the person who shot me. I have been sedated or sleeping since the shooting."

On 10 May, Jim Laycock, who was the co-owner of the Port Arthur Motor Inn at the entrance to the PAHS, told police that the man was in his "low twenties". Another witness, Joyce Maloney, told the police: **"I thought he was about 18–22 years old, only a young lad."** Betty Daviess described him as a "young male person".

Of the individuals who gave their statements to the police before the barrage of images of Martin Bryant appeared in the media, Carmel Edwards, who held the door open for the gunman as he left the café to eat his lunch on the balcony, and Justin Noble, a member of the New South Wales police force who said he saw the gunman exiting the café after the shooting, gave the oldest age estimates. Edwards described him as **"22–23 years old"**. Noble described him as **"20–25 years of age"**.

Thus no actual witness to the shootings at Port Arthur cited an age above twenty-five. The only witness who did so (Justin Noble) cited the figure as the top end of the range, and would be equally comfortable with twenty. It would therefore be accurate to say that all actual witnesses said that the man was in his late teens or early twenties. Yet at the time of the massacre,

Bryant was a few days away from his 29th birthday and could not reasonably have been mistaken for anyone under about twenty-seven. This much is clear from a photograph which shows Bryant together with the woman we have been told was his girlfriend: Petra Wilmott. Since the pair reportedly only became romantically involved in February 1996, the photograph had to have been taken within three months of the massacre. Despite its poor quality, it shows Bryant's face unframed by hair, and so gives a very good idea of what he looked like at the time. It's obvious from this picture that Bryant was by no means "a young lad".

[See photo A]

It is also obvious that those who saw the gunman at close distance and who gave their descriptions before anything about Bryant's appearance had been made public are to be considered by far the most reliable. The only eyewitnesses who estimated the gunman's age in the upper 20s are witnesses like Yannis Kateros, who only saw him from a considerable distance, and most of them gave statements to the police a week or more after the shootings when the matter of Bryant's age had already been established by the media. Kateros, who gave his statement on 10 May, estimated the shooter's age as twenty-eight. *Is it only a coincidence that this is the same age the media were citing for Bryant?*

- Facial features -

But there were more than years separating Bryant and the Port Arthur gunman. Only one witness, Rebecca McKenna, got a good look at the man's face. (Most witnesses saw very little on account of the long blond hair.) Although there are major problems with her statement—what kind of physical description omits a reference to the person's age?—McKenna's description of the gunman's appearance makes disturbing reading for anyone who thinks that he could have been Bryant: "I would describe this male as follows:- Approximately 173 cm tall. Slim build. Blonde [sic] hair, past his ears, wavy with a part in the middle. Unshaven dirty looking. "His eyes appeared to be blue... He appeared to be German looking. His eyebrows appeared to be blonde [sic] and bushy. He appeared 'dopey' looking, his eyes appeared to be bloodshot. His facial skin appeared to be freckley [sic] and he was pale. His face seemed skinny and withdrawn. His ears were fairly large..."

It is interesting that while McKenna's account of the man's conversation was widely quoted—he talked about European WASPs and Japanese tourists—her description of his face was not. Perhaps this is because in no photo does Bryant seem to have bushy eyebrows or prominent ears (indeed, his ears seem to be on the small side). Bryant's most memorable facial characteristic is, in fact, a broad nose with a somewhat bulbous tip—a feature which is obvious from the photos, but never mentioned by any witnesses.

Continued next page...



Photo A: This photograph of Petra Wilmott and Martyn Bryant had to have been taken within three months of the massacre



Bryant's physical appearance - Facial Features -

Although McKenna's description is uniquely detailed, it is at least partly corroborated by that of Graham Collyer who, as we saw, stated that the shooter's complexion was acne-scarred. However, Bryant's complexion is perfectly smooth, as all available photographs show. In particular, the photos taken at Richmond by Petra Wilmott three days before the massacre show a healthy, ruddy face.

McKenna's description of the gunman's height is certainly odd: she makes an estimate of the gunman's height that gives an exact figure ("approximately 173 cm"). It would be interesting to compare this most precise "estimate" with Bryant's real height, except that nowhere on record can one find his height specified. If McKenna's figure of 173 cm is correct, though, this would surely raise questions about whether McKenna had been influenced by police during the course of giving her statement.

- Hair -

Another problem for the official story is raised by Bryant's hair. The photos taken at Richmond show that it was wavy throughout, not "fairly straight with a bit of a wave in it" as Pearce stated. Yet most witnesses said that the gunman's hair was straight, with a wave only at the bottom. Witness statements fluctuate between those that said his hair was collar-length and those that stated that it went down to his shoulders.

The aforementioned photos of Bryant taken at Richmond raise questions about his hair colour. According to one witness, a Mr Woods, the gunman stood out by virtue of his "white surfie hair and clothes". Yet in the 25 April 1996 portrait of Bryant that was featured on the cover of Who Weekly magazine on 2 November 1996, Bryant's hair is very clearly brownish with blond highlights and streaks. **[Photo B]** Further doubts about the whiteness of Bryant's hair are raised by the news footage showing Bryant arriving at the Royal Hobart Hospital. In frames from this video footage—the last images of the accused man ever captured—it is apparent that he had brownish hair with blond streaks, rather than white or "really blond" hair. (It is also obviously collar length.) **[Photo C]**

One possibility is that the real gunman had simply



Photo B: Close-up of the Martin Bryant photo, headlined "Austraian Psycho", on the cover of Who Weely (2 Nov 1996)

peroxided his hair in an effort to emulate Bryant's hair, which may have looked white or blond in very strong sunlight.

Bryant identified as the gunman?

In terms of the allegation that the witnesses have identified Bryant as the man they saw shooting at the PAHS, the most serious difficulties are raised by Jim Laycock in his statement. Laycock is of outstanding importance in this case, as he is the one and only witness who observed the gunman in the act and actually knew Bryant. In his police statement, Laycock—who, as noted earlier, got a good enough look at the man to be able to estimate his age ("low twenties")—said that he "did not recognise the male as Martin Bryant". He stated only that he saw "a blonde [sic] headed person" shoot Zoe Hall and take Glenn Pears captive.

Another witness, Yannis Kateros, said he had never seen the gunman before. Yet Kateros had lived at Port Arthur since 1991, and, according to Laycock, Bryant had visited the PAHS on about a dozen occasions in the five-year period between about 1991 and 1995.

At least two other witnesses have also stated that Bryant was not the gunman. These are PAHS Information Centre employee Wendy Scurr, who, according to one report, saw the gunman inside the centre immediately prior to the attack, and Vietnam War veteran John Godfrey, who was waiting outside the centre when the shooting commenced. Godfrey viewed the gunman twice. He saw him drive by and saw him put a bag into the boot of his car. "In my opinion the picture I saw in the newspapers was not the same person," he stated in his police statement taken on 7 Jun 1996. Wendy Scurr has changed her mind on the subject; she no longer believes that Bryant was the man she saw that day.

So when people tell me that everyone knows that Bryant "did it" because people saw him doing it, I tend to wonder which witnesses they can possibly be referring to. To my knowledge, the only witnesses who positively identified Bryant as the gunman were Linda White and Michael Wanders, both persons whose statements were taken a full month after the shooting, after they had been exposed to plenty of media coverage about the case.



Photo C: Video still photo (left) of Martin Bryant arriving at Royal Hobart Hospital on the morning of 29 April 1996

On 27 May 1996, White viewed the 14 May police photoboard and decided: "Photograph no. 5 in this folder [i.e., Bryant] is the male who shot us near Port Arthur." However, White's only reason for selecting photo no. 5 seems to have been because of the fact that, in this photo, Bryant appeared to be wearing a top that was "very similar" to that worn by the gunman. **"It could even be the same top,"** she said.

Unfortunately, White's statement is of no value whatsoever. An identification can scarcely be based upon an item of clothing, which can obviously be worn by another person. (Indeed, someone seeking to impersonate Bryant would have taken care to acquire an item of his clothing, or at least a very similar item.) What's more, no previous witness recalled the gunman wearing the same top as that worn by Bryant in photo no. five. White was clearly basing her identification entirely upon a photo she had seen in the media.

As for Michael Wanders, in his statement taken the same day as White's, he picked Bryant out from the police photoboard as "the person who shot at Linda and I on 28/4/96". Unfortunately, Wanders's identification is also of no value. On 28 April 1996, he told the police: **"I would not be able to identify the person who shot at us."**

"In his statement a month later, he admitted that he hadn't been able to **"get a good enough look at the male to see how old he was or what he was wearing"**.

His statement suggests that, really, all he had seen was a male with long blond hair. Yet, somehow, his original statement did not deter him from picking Bryant out from the police photoboard a month later as the man who had shot at him. It is hard to credit the positive identification of Bryant a month after the attack by a witness who, on the day of the attack itself, told the police explicitly that he would not be able to identify the gunman.

White's and Wanders's statements prove one thing: not that Bryant perpetrated the shootings, but that the laws prohibiting media organisations from publishing photos of accused persons before they have been tried are sensible ones which ought always to be rigorously enforced.

In view of the fact that no serious efforts were ever made to prevent the media from publishing photos of Bryant, the question has to be asked whether the police ever wanted the gunman properly identified, or whether they colluded with the media in the release of these photos in a deliberate effort to taint the pool of witness testimony. Certainly, they seem to have done their best to avoid placing Bryant together with eyewitnesses in the same room. Graham Collyer, who was on the same floor as Bryant in the Royal Hobart Hospital on the day his witness statement was taken, was never given the opportunity to look at him. On this occasion, a positive ID could have been obtained in a matter of minutes, if the police officers taking his statement had really wanted one.

In this regard, it is striking that none of the witnesses who showed a tendency not to identify Bryant as the gunman was given the opportunity to pick him out from the police identity board—not even NSW police officer Justin Noble, who said that he thought he could identify the man if shown a photo of him taken from the appropriate angle.

The fact that Noble was never asked to view the police photoboard implies that Tasmania police anticipated a negative response.

A related issue is the uncertainty that surrounds the matter of the gunman's clothing. In no context of which I am aware did the allegations against Bryant ever raise the matter of the items of clothing that the gunman had been seen wearing. It is striking that there is no consistent evidence as to the colour of the gunman's clothing; one can only wonder whether witness statements were tampered with to prevent a clear picture from emerging, for fear that it would raise the question of whether there was any proof that Bryant had ever owned the items.

It is only when one realises that Bryant has never been positively identified as the PAHS shooter that one begins to understand why a court trial was never held.

If a trial had been held, the authorities would have been in an extremely awkward position if some witnesses had either denied that Bryant was the man or expressed serious doubts about the identification. That a trial was avoided means that such problems were never permitted to arise. It is hard not to see why the legal strategy took the form of coercing Bryant into pleading guilty to all 72 charges against him—a process that took seven months—rather than risk the case going to trial.

Lack of Bryant's fingerprints or DNA at Port Arthur

Martin Bryant is adamant that he never visited the PAHS on the day of the massacre. Most Australians—if they knew of this denial at all—would probably dismiss it as a lie. A fact that should deeply unsettle them is that neither Bryant's fingerprints nor his DNA has ever been found at the PAHS. This much has effectively been conceded by Sergeant Gerard Dutton, officer in charge of the Ballistics Section of Tasmania Police, in an article he wrote about the case which was published in the December 1998 *Australian Police Journal*.

There is no good reason why no evidence of this kind exists. An obvious source of fingerprints and DNA would have been the food tray (with a can of Solo soft drink, a plastic Schweppes cup, food items and eating utensils) that Rebecca McKenna saw the gunman eating from immediately prior to the shooting. We know that the tray was recovered by the police, because it is shown in a police training video that turned up in a second-hand shop in September 2004. Although the tray would have contained fingerprints, thumb prints, palm prints, saliva, sweat, skin and possibly hair from the shooter, there is no evidence that it yielded anything that came from Martin Bryant. The only reason we have heard nothing about forensic evidence of this kind, surely, is that none of it incriminated him.

It is true that Damian Bugg, QC, is on record as giving the impression that a sample of Bryant's DNA was found on a large knife that is suspected of having been used to murder David Martin at Seascope Cottage, a few kilometres from the PAHS

Continued next page...



THE PORT ARTHUR MASSACRE - Was Martin Bryant Framed? Part 1

Lack of Bryant's fingerprints or DNA at Port Arthur Continued...

...Bugg said that the knife was subjected to a "very refined test" which allegedly yielded "a DNA sample which was unable to be identified initially but it has now been identified as being consistent with that of Martin Bryant". (The public has never been told what the source of the DNA was—whether it was blood, for example, or some other substance. If it was Bryant's blood, this would imply that Bryant was a victim rather than a villain.)

It is, however, a mystery how Tasmania Police came by this knife. According to the official story, the knife was found inside a Prince sports bag that was discarded by the gunman inside the road Arrow Café. However, after the gunman exited the café, several witnesses looked inside the bag and none of them observed a large knife there.

What's more, "Jamie", the perpetrator of the subsequent siege at Seascapes Cottage (by the way, the official claim is that Bryant was "Jamie"), mentioned having a large combat knife in his possession during the course of a phone call with police interrogator Sergeant Terry McCarthy on the evening of 28 April. If this is the knife Bugg is referring to, then it could only have emerged from the Seascapes fire in a condition that rendered it useless for forensic purposes.

The mystery over the knife may explain why Bugg's terminology verges on the devious. The DNA on the knife, he tells us, is "consistent with" that of Martin Bryant. However, DNA either is or is not a match. If the DNA matched Bryant's, Bugg should have been able to say so. The term "consistent with" is semantic sleight-of-hand designed to encourage the misperception among those who know nothing about DNA testing that the DNA had been Bryant's. In fact, the term "consistent with" means little in this instance. It could plausibly refer to DNA sequences found in every one of us. It is entirely possible that the DNA sample to which Bugg is referring is also "consistent with" both your DNA and mine!

In any case, it is obvious that the presence of Bryant's DNA on the knife would do nothing to prove that he was the Port Arthur shooter. Even if his DNA had been found on the knife, and we were so rash as to draw the conclusion that the presence of his DNA proved that he had killed David Martin (which of course it doesn't), this does not constitute evidence that Bryant was the Port Arthur shooter. The man who did stab David Martin could have been party to a conspiracy to frame Bryant. He could have stabbed both David Martin and Martin Bryant with the same knife, for instance. If so, the relevant question is whether anyone else's DNA

was on the knife, in addition to that of David Martin and Martin Bryant. The real killer's DNA could have been all over the knife, but we will never know because Tasmania's Director of Public Prosecutions was only interested in telling the public about a sample that was "consistent with" Bryant's DNA.

Everything to do with the knife is extremely suspicious indeed. Since David Martin was murdered by being shot twice rather than by being stabbed, the sole point of

stabbing him would seem to have been to plant a sample of his blood on the knife. The only reason for "Jamie" at Seascapes to specifically inform Sergeant

McCarthy that he had a large combat knife in his possession would have been to provide a link between Martin Bryant and the murder of David Martin. So Jamie appears to have been trying to frame Bryant. This is very hard to explain if we believe that Bryant was himself Jamie. Why would Bryant have wanted to incriminate himself? And even if Bryant had been perverse enough to want to incriminate himself by leaving the knife he had used to stab David Martin some place where the police would be able to find it later, why did he subsequently deny murdering him?

Abundant examples of Bryant's fingerprints and DNA should have been retrieved from the Volvo driven by the gunman into the Port Arthur Historic Site, but no such evidence was recovered from the vehicle—a circumstance that seems most difficult to explain.

Nonetheless, there is an explanation—one that, understood in its true light, amounts to evidence that the yellow Volvo used by the Port Arthur shooter was not Bryant's.

A little-known fact about the case is that the Volvo was left in the open air, at the tollgate, for the night of 28–29 April. (It was still there at the tollgate at 9.00 am on 29 April, when Peninsula resident Michael Copping, a witness to movements of the Volvo on 28 April, saw it while on his way to collect PAHS worker Steven Howard from Port Arthur. By the way, Copping didn't identify Bryant as the driver, although he said in his statement of 10 May that he had known him "through casual contact".) With the vehicle's rear passenger-side window missing (the gunman presumably removed it as a means of minimising the noise/blast effect of shooting from the driver's seat), fingerprints and DNA inside the vehicle would have been vulnerable to the effects of night moisture. In fact, according to police, the overnight moisture eliminated all traces of fingerprints and DNA.

The question inevitably has to be asked of why the police did not take due care to ensure the preservation of whatever fingerprints and DNA were inside the car. At this stage—and recall here that Bryant was not taken into custody until the morning of 29 April—fingerprints and DNA inside the car represented essential proof of the perpetrator's identity.

As darkness descended on the Tasman Peninsula on 28 April, the only reason to connect the massacre to Bryant was a passport that reportedly had been found inside the Volvo at around 4.30 pm by a detective. At this time, the fingerprints and DNA from the Volvo therefore represented the most reliable means of determining whether the greatest homicidal maniac in Australian history had really been Bryant (as the presence of the passport suggested) or someone else. It would have been absolutely critical to preserve them in as perfect condition as possible for use during future criminal proceedings.

...The fact that a major portion of the evidence required for the purpose of identifying the perpetrator vanished overnight invites only one sound conclusion: the police wanted it to vanish. ...according to most witnesses, the Broad Arrow Café shooter shot from his right hip.

Bryant told police he had never fired a gun from his hip. Unless the police had a reason not to want the massacre connected to Bryant (and I know of no evidence that would invite such a possibility), the outcome is consistent with only one conclusion: Tasmania Police did not want evidence to survive that would have proven that Bryant had not been the person using the car that afternoon.

The Port Arthur shooter therefore has to have been someone other than Bryant whose identity the police were anxious to protect.

Bryant's "gunmanship"

For many people, the most important reason to doubt that Bryant was the killer is on account of the latter's impressive gunmanship.

In 1998, Wound Ballistics Review pointed out that the Port Arthur incident: "...is unique in relation to the wounds for several reasons. Twice as many people were killed as injured (the reverse normally being true)."

What's more, the Broad Arrow Café gunman managed to shoot the first 19 out of 20 people dead with single accurate shots to the head, fired from his right hip. Some researchers maintain that Bryant, who was an amateur shooter with virtually no shooting experience whatsoever, would have entirely lacked the skills to carry out such a feat. A powerful case has been made to this effect by Perth researcher Joe Vials (now deceased), based on the fact that amateur shooters generally achieve a much lower KIR (killed-to-injured ratio) than did the Broad Arrow Café shooter. In an enclosed space like the Broad Arrow Café, targets would have to be shot in a careful sequence with split-second timing to maximise the kill rate. Yet the Broad Arrow Café gunman managed a kill rate well above that required of a fully trained soldier—an impossible task for a man like Bryant, with an IQ in the mid-60s and his total lack of military training. Vials concluded that the shooter was a military-trained marksman who would probably rank among the top 10 or 20 shooters in the world.

Brigadier Ted Serong, former head of Australian forces in Vietnam, was just as impressed. In 1999, Serong—who explained that his eyes had first been opened by the "astonishing proportion of killed to wounded"—told Melbourne newspaper *the Age*:

"There was an almost satanic accuracy to that shooting performance. Whoever did it is better than I am, and there are not too many people around here better than I am."

One reason why most members of the general public have accepted the official story that Bryant was the gunman is that they possess a greatly exaggerated idea of what amateur gunmen are able to do.

Not only do amateurs tend to injure many more persons than they kill, they are usually overpowered before they have completed their sinister work. By contrast, the Port Arthur gunman was a thorough professional who was at all times in perfect control. Vials wrote:

"The shooter in the Broad Arrow Café at Port Arthur demonstrated all of the qualities of a trained counterterrorist marksman but made no amateur mistakes. Always in motion and point-shooting from the right hip with devastating accuracy, he killed twenty of the occupants with single shots to the head and wounded twelve more, firing a total of only 29 rounds. Using known techniques reported by witnesses, he ensured his own safety from attack by turning on the spot and staying outside grappling range. It was an awesome display of expertise, even by special forces standards."

However, we don't have to take the word of people like Vials and Serong who never saw the Port Arthur gunman shoot with their own eyes. According to eyewitness (and victim) Neville Quin: **"He [the gunman] appeared to be the best-trained army guy I've ever seen; his stance was unbelievable."**

Also important to consider is that, according to most witnesses, the Broad Arrow Café shooter shot from his right hip. He told police he had never fired a gun from his hip. We should believe him. It is doubtful that anyone except a highly trained professional shooter could.

Weapons and ammunition used at Port Arthur

The prosecution claims that Bryant perpetrated the massacre using two firearms, a Colt AR-15 semi-automatic .223 mm rifle and a Belgian FN-FAL semi-automatic .308 mm SLR (self-loading rifle), both of which were recovered from Seascope Cottage after detectives went over the burned-out site on the afternoon of 29 April 1996. However, it is not clear whether these were really the weapons used at Port Arthur. Both were recovered in a badly damaged condition which effectively ruled out ballistics testing.

However, the matter is complicated by the fact that the earliest newspaper reports do not mention a Colt AR-15. No eyewitness mentions it either. Graham Collyer said that the weapon used by the gunman inside the Broad Arrow Café "looked like a standard SLR service semi-automatic". This description is more consistent with the FN-FAL than the Colt AR-15, although it is this latter weapon that we are now told was the weapon used inside the café.

The day after the massacre, the Examiner reported that police had found a .223 mm Armalite M16 at Port Arthur. Nothing has been heard since about the weapon that was found that day inside the PAHS. Then, on 1 May 1996, the West Australian told the public that the two weapons used had been a 5.56 mm Armalite AR-15 and a Chinese-made SKS .762 mm assault rifle.

Continued next page...



THE PORT ARTHUR MASSACRE - Was Martin Bryant Framed? Part 1

Weapons and ammunition used at Port Arthur

It is interesting that it took only two days for the Armalite M16—a prohibited import—to disappear from the public record, to be replaced by a weapon which could be legally bought and sold in Australia. From this point onwards, the SKS became the weapon most frequently referred to in the media as the weapon “Bryant” had used. Then, finally, the SKS was dropped altogether and its place in narratives of the massacre was taken by the Belgian FN FAL. To me, these intriguing shifts look like shifts from the real murder weapons to weapons that could be connected to Bryant, if only because, like him, they also emerged from the Seascope inferno.

In any case, there is no evidence that Bryant procured either of the weapons to which the massacre has officially been attributed. No one has even been proven to have sold the weapons to Bryant, and no theory exists that would explain how he came by them if he did not buy them from gun dealers. A similar mystery surrounds the ammunition used at Port Arthur.

Although Hobart gun dealer Terry Hill admits to having sold Bryant three boxes of Winchester XX 11/2-oz shotgun shells (code number X12XC) on 24 April 1996—four days before the massacre—this is not ammunition which was used at Port Arthur. If Hill—or anyone else—sold Bryant the ammunition that was recovered from the crime scene, then Tasmania Police ought to have been able to prove it. The fact that they have never traced the origin of the ammunition (or, at least, have never revealed its origin to the public) surely means that it cannot be connected to Bryant.

It is, after all, extremely hard to believe that Bryant, with an IQ so low that it would put him in the bottom one or two per cent of the population (as established by psychiatrist Ian Joblin in June 1996), could have managed his purchases of guns, ammunition and everything else involved in the case so successfully that the police have utterly failed to establish the origin of so much as a single item. It is far easier to believe that the police simply do not want us to learn who procured these deadly items and how.

Narratives of the Port Arthur massacre also contain mention of other items which allegedly belonged to Martin Bryant. These items consist of a video camera and a yellow Volvo left at the PAHS tollgate, together with items found inside it: a full 25-litre drum of petrol, a 10-litre drum of petrol containing seven litres, a grey video camera bag, lengths of sash cord rope, two pairs of handcuffs and three packets of Little Lucifer fire starters.

Not one iota of proof has ever been provided to prove that Bryant owned any of these items (not even the Volvo, which could have been an identical model to Bryant’s, rather than Bryant’s unique vehicle). What’s more, no one is on record as having admitted to selling Bryant any of these items. Although Bryant could easily have purchased Little Lucifer fire starters inconspicuously, it is unlikely that he could have bought large drums of petrol or two pairs of handcuffs without attracting attention.

Concerns about lack of evidence against Bryant

The lack of evidence for the identification of Martin Bryant as the Port Arthur shooter is a matter that should concern all Australians today.

Only a few determined individuals have been brave enough to raise the matter in public. At a meeting of the Australian and New Zealand Forensic Science Society held at Griffith University in Queensland in 2002, Ian McNiven raised the subject of the lack of forensic evidence incriminating Martin Bryant.

The presenter, who was apparently Sergeant Gerard Dutton, of the Ballistics Section of Tasmania Police, grew angry and had university security threaten McNiven and effectively evict him from the meeting. McNiven was not wrong to raise the question of the lack of hard evidence against Bryant. In an interview with the Bulletin of 4 April 2006, Tony Rundle, who became premier of Tasmania six weeks before the massacre, effectively admits that the evidence in the public domain is insufficient to support the official determination that Bryant had been the gunman, except that he tries to explain the fact away:

“Rundle still wonders whether the recovery might have been hastened if Bryant had stood trial. At the time the view was a trial could do no good for the victims and their families. ‘Now I think maybe that wasn’t the case

If all the evidence was heard, then maybe it would have provided some closure and stopped the proliferation of conspiracy theories that sprang up over the years,’ he says.”

A question to Mr Rundle: given that a great many Australians are sceptical of the claim that Bryant was responsible for the Port Arthur tragedy, can it ever be too late to release “all the evidence”?

If he is so concerned by the proliferation of “conspiracy theories”, perhaps he should contact Fiona Baker, executive producer of the popular TV program *Forensic Investigators*, which deals precisely with the subject of how the police use evidence to identify suspects. So far, Baker has not done a program on Port Arthur. I’m sure she would be delighted to make her program a vehicle for the first public presentation of the evidence for which Australia has been waiting for 10 years. ∞ (see notes and references at end of article)

THE PORT ARTHUR MASSACRE - Was Martin Bryant Framed? Part 2

by Carl Wernerhoff Volume 13, No. 5 AUGUST SEPTEMBER 2006 www.nexusmagazine.com republished with permission

The police interrogation

On 4 July 1996, two police detectives who had been appointed by Superintendent Jack Johnston to handle the Port Arthur investigation, Inspectors Ross Paine and John Warren, interviewed Martin Bryant about the case at some length. (Note 1 at end) of section

Despite the extreme seriousness of the crimes for which he was being held responsible, Bryant was interrogated without legal counsel present. This outrageous circumstance is exposed in the interview record which begins with Bryant being informed that his lawyer (David Gunson) had “no problem” with the interview taking place without his participation.

Inspector Paine: Look Martin, you’ve obviously got a, a, an interest in firearms as w e l l ?

Martin Bryant: Well, I have had an interest in firearms.

P a i n e : How many guns do you own?

B r y a n t : I own, umm, a shotgun and a semi-automatic and another semi-automatic. Three altogether.

P a i n e : Where’d you get those guns?

B r y a n t : Oh, umm, I can’t really say, I haven’t got my lawyer here, so.

P a i n e : Well, we have spoken to your lawyer and he knows that we’re talking to you.

B r y a n t : He knows, he knows.

P a i n e : And aah, has no problem with that so, aah.

As we shall see, this was an extremely devious means of approaching the Port Arthur issue because, at this stage, Bryant still had no idea of the charges that were about to be foisted upon him and therefore had no idea that the interview concerned the subject that would determine his entire future. In fact, on 5 July, the very day following the interview, Bryant was officially charged in the Hobart Supreme Court with 69 criminal charges arising from the Port Arthur incident. Prior to that, the only crime with which he had been charged was the murder of Kate Elizabeth Scott, who had been a victim of the shootings in the Broad Arrow Café. According to the official record, Bryant was charged with her death in a bedside hearing on 30 April 1996:

P a i n e : Do you know why you’re here?

B r y a n t : Know why I’m here, well Inspector Warren was saying in the Royal [Hobart Hospital] that I was on one murder count.

Given the incredible magnitude of the allegations that were presented to Bryant for the first time during the 4 July interrogation, a lawyer should certainly have been in the room. In such circumstances, the intellectually challenged Bryant was obviously no good judge of his own interests. Furthermore, Bryant had been placed under a guardianship order in 1994 and was therefore not competent to decide whether a lawyer ought to have been present or not.

Only a legally appointed guardian had the right to make that call.

To compound the sins of the Tasmanian criminal justice system, the interview was most unprofessionally conducted. The equipment frequently malfunctioned and the conversation was constantly interrupted.

The result is said to be atrocious. However, there was

no necessity to conduct the interview on 4 July and it could easily have been—indeed, s h o u l d have been—postponed to such a time as the equipment was working properly.

After all, the Port Arthur massacre was the biggest murder case in Australian history. Such adverse conditions therefore had to have been created deliberately.

The unprofessional conduct of the interview also suggests that both Paine and Warren knew that Bryant would never be properly defended and even that the case would never go to trial. As a Tasmania Police officer has admitted in an email to researcher Noel McDonald, the videotape was of such poor quality that “the defence would have had a field day if it had been presented” in court. Note 2

Why would Paine and Warren have persisted in such a long interview if there was a high risk of Bryant’s lawyer objecting to the tape’s presentation in court?

On account of the deliberate negligence by which the videotape was made and the fact that the tape itself has never been released, we cannot be certain that anything attributed to Bryant in the printed record of the interrogation matches what he said. The transcript also omits a great deal of what he did say: a very substantial portion of the conversation has been withheld. Pages 1–9, 18, 23, 32–35, 40, 44–46, 79–81, 92–97 and 116–141 were deleted in their entirety, while most of pages 10, 91, 142 and 145 and parts of pages 17, 31, 36, 39, 41, 43, 47, 74, 78, 98, and 115 were also deleted. Note 3

Even the pages that were released cannot be trusted entirely. **No fewer than 80 of Bryant’s comments have been rendered as “inaudible”.** Since there is a suspicious tendency for “inaudible” responses to appear in crucial parts of the conversation— particularly parts where Bryant’s version of events contradicts that of his interrogators—it is hard to resist the conclusion that the material was excised as a means of withholding exculpatory material, e.g., references to potential alibi witnesses. In addition, it may have contained important clues as to how his movements and actions were manipulated prior to the massacre as a means of making him the scapegoat for it. If the official account of the massacre is true and the killings were perpetrated by a lone nut inexplicably run amok, there can be no good reason to withhold any sections of the transcript from the public at all.

Despite its massive shortcomings, the interrogation transcript remains invaluable as a record of Martin Bryant’s side of the story.

It is a great pity that Australians have condemned him without ever taking on board what he had to say on the very first occasion on which he was confronted with the accusation of having perpetrated the Port Arthur massacre.

For those convinced of Bryant’s innocence, the transcript also sheds a great deal of light on the devious processes by which he was framed. A careful reading of the transcript establishes beyond doubt that the police manipulated him into a situation in which the most heinous allegations could be raised against him, and he had absolutely no means of challenging them—no means, that is to say, other than his own extremely limited intelligence, which psychiatrist Ian Joblin states is **roughly equal to that of an 11-year o l d .** Continued next page..



THE PORT ARTHUR MASSACRE - Was Martin Bryant Framed? Part 2

A day in the life of an unwitting patsy

Most Australians will be astounded to discover that in this interview Bryant not only denied carrying out the massacre but also related an entirely different narrative of the events of 28 April 1996 than that which has been presented to the public by the authorities.

According to the official story put to the Hobart Supreme Court by Tasmania's Director of Public Prosecutions, Damian Bugg, QC, Bryant had set his alarm clock for 6 am, left his house in Clare Street, New Town, Hobart, at 9.47 am precisely (the time he allegedly activated his house alarm), and drove to Seascap guest house, making stops at Midway Point (to buy a cigarette lighter), Sorell (to buy a bottle of tomato sauce), Forcett (to buy a cup of coffee) and Taranna (to buy petrol).

When he arrived at Seascap, he murdered the owners, David Martin and his wife Sally, and loaded the building with firearms and ammunition that he had presumably brought with him in his car from Hobart.

Bryant then proceeded to the Port Arthur Historic Site (PAHS), stopping to chat for five or 10 minutes with a neighbour of the Martins, Roger Larnier, and to buy a small amount of marijuana on the way.

Bryant, on the other hand, told Inspectors Warren and Paine that he did not set his alarm clock at all that morning and that he rose at 7 or 8 am. He left the house around 11 am—"when the sun came up and it got a bit warm"—without turning on his house alarm, which he had last done on the previous occasion he went to Melbourne. He then drove to Roaring Beach on the western side of the Tasman Peninsula, stopping only once along the way—at the Sorell Bakery, where he bought a cappuccino.

He emphatically denied having stopped at Midway Point to buy a cigarette lighter, at the Sorell service station supermarket to buy a bottle of tomato sauce—"Why would I want tomato sauce for?" he asked Inspector Warren—or at Taranna to buy petrol (he says the Volvo's tank was already full when he left Hobart).

Bryant says that after stopping at Sorell he proceeded via Taranna to Roaring Beach, where he surfed for about 20 minutes and noticed two other people bodysurfing in short wetsuits at the other end of the beach. After drying off in the sun, he went to Nubeena where he stopped for coffee and a toasted sandwich at "a little shop near the school". After this, he says he drove past the PAHS to visit the Martins at Seascap Cottage.

Everything that happened after he set out for Seascap is extremely obscure. Indeed, after Nubeena, Bryant's narrative of the day's events dissolves into what seems more of a nightmare sequence than anything else, for Bryant implicates himself in criminal acts which, as we shall see, he cannot possibly have carried out in reality, including an act that we know was actually perpetrated by someone else.

As we have already seen, Bryant's recollections of his doings on the morning of 28 April 1996 are not implausible; what's more, they are almost certainly true. There are no witness statements from staff at either the Sorell Bakery or the "little shop" in Nubeena contradicting Bryant's claim to have been there that day. It is also difficult to envisage a motive for Bryant to lie about the stops he made between Hobart and Roaring Beach.


What would he have had to gain by denying that he had stopped at Midway Point, Forcett and Taranna?

Whether he made four stops or just the one at Sorell made no difference to the allegations against him. Why would he lie about where he stopped to buy a coffee? His statement contradicts that of Gary King, a casual employee of the Shell service station at Forcett, who told police that he sold a coffee to "a young bloke" with "long blonde [s i c] curly hair" who was driving a Volvo with "a surf board on top". But what does it matter whether Bryant bought a coffee at Sorell or Forcett?

No matter where he bought it, it sheds no light on his alleged responsibility for the massacre.

Bryant also told Inspector Warren that he had paid for his coffee with gold coins from the glove compartment of his car. Yet Gary King says the man paid in five- and ten-cent coins. Another discrepancy is that Bryant told Warren that he had had no more than \$10 to \$15 with him that day, and all the money was in gold coins in the glove box of his car. Yet according to service station attendant Christopher Hammond, the "Bryant" who bought petrol at Taranna paid \$15 in two notes. Why would Bryant lie about these trivial matters?

But if it is hard to see what Bryant had to gain by lying about his trip from Hobart, it is easy to see what a Bryant impersonator would have stood to gain by making four stops along the way to Port Arthur. While Bryant stopped just once, which is not at all unusual for a trip that would only have taken an hour and a quarter, the impersonator would have wanted to attract as much attention to himself as possible within this short period.



Map of southeast Tasmania, indicating the main locations mentioned in this article.

Thus he made pointless purchases—items that he could easily have brought with him from Hobart if he needed them—and paid for three out of four of them with small change in order to increase the likelihood that shopkeepers would recall the incidents afterwards.

The multiple stops were necessary to ensure that after the massacre, a body of evidence existed that seemed to confirm that Bryant had travelled to Port Arthur that morning.

The theory that an impersonator made four stops on the way to Port Arthur makes a good deal more sense than the idea that it was necessary for Bryant to conceal having made those stops.

Two further circumstances invite the conclusion that the stops were those of a Bryant impersonator.

First, one of the four witnesses, Angelo Kessarios, who sold “Bryant” a cigarette lighter at Midway Point, recalled being perplexed that “Bryant” did not recognise him. The most plausible explanation is that Kessarios had encountered an impersonator.

Clearly, Kessarios did not know Bryant so well that he could avoid being taken in by a double, while the double did not know Bryant’s background so well that he knew he ought to behave more familiarly. Second, Gary King said in his statement that the “Bryant” he’d encountered on the Sunday morning commented that he [King] served him “a nice cup of coffee” the previous Tuesday. King did not confirm that he’d had a previous encounter with “Bryant”. Whether or not this is a memory lapse on King’s part, there is nothing on record to suggest that the real Bryant visited Forcett on the Tuesday.

Incident at the Fortescue Bay turnoff

The bizarre twist in Bryant’s narrative begins “At the Fortescue Bay turnoff, just, ohh, about three or four minutes away from the Martins’ farm” on the Hobart side of Seascap.e.4 Bryant confessed, “unfortunately I held up a car, I took ahh, I saw this car I liked and got, umm, held up the person in the car and kidnapped him”.

The car was “a nice-looking BMW” occupied by three people, a male, a female and a child. Bryant says he ordered the man inside the boot of the car and made the female and the child get inside his Volvo. Why did he take the man hostage? “I was a bit worried that if he didn’t go, he’d go off in my car,” Bryant explained. After commandeering the BMW solely because he “liked” it (he states that his intention was simply to take it for a drive), Bryant sped off towards Seascap.e at 140 km/h.

What is striking about this story is that it combines elements from two different events that took place shortly after the massacre inside the Broad Arrow Café: the PAHS gunman’s hijacking of a gold-coloured BMW sedan belonging to Ken and Mary Rose Nixon and his subsequent taking of a hostage, Glenn Pears, who had been the driver of a white Corolla with a female passenger, Zoe Hall, outside the Port Arthur General Store. Bryant is not simply being forgetful here:

Inspector Warren: Do you remember seeing a white, ahh, small Japanese car, like a Corolla?

Bryant : Corolla, no. Not at all.

But if Bryant’s story about hijacking a car at the Fortescue Bay turnoff does not resemble any one incident in the official narrative of the massacre, it matches perfectly an incident discussed by “Jamie”—protagonist of the **Seascape siege—in a telephone conversation with police negotiator Sgt Terry McCarthy that took place shortly after 5 pm on 28 April:**

Sgt McCarthy: Now you were talking just a little bit about the, um, Rick having come from Fortescue Bay. Can you just enlighten me as to what happened there?

J a m i e : Yeah, yeah; I got him and managed to get him, his wife, she, he wanted to participate, um, in the kidnapping in, instead of his wife. I thought alright, quick... get in, get into the car and I’ve got him as a hostage.

McCarthy : Okay, okay, now you were in your, your car there, were you?

J a m i e : Y e s .

M c C a r t h y : Right. You’re in your car and you wha, what, pulled them up? They were driving along in a car, is that correct?

J a m i e : That’s correct.

M c C a r t h y : Alright, and and what, how did you stop them, Jamie ?

J a m i e : Had to get a rifle.

M c C a r t h y : Oh I see, right, so you, you, you were standing on the road, they drove up and you pointed...

J a m i e : Y e a h .

McCarthy : ...the rifle at them and they stopped.

J a m i e : Oh yes.

McCarthy : Is that right?

J a m i e : Yes, that’s correct.

M c C a r t h y : Okay, an, and what did you...you were planning on taking these people hostage?

J a m i e : That’s right.

McCarthy : Right. Why, why Jamie? Do you want to tell me w h y ?

J a m i e : Oh man, ya [inaudible]... You, that’s what you’re getting paid for, I me...

McCarthy : Well, I’d like to hear it from you.

J a m i e : No, na, na, no.

McCarthy : Is there any...reason why you took these particular people ?

Although we never learn the reason, it is subsequently established that the name of the male hostage was Rick, a 34-yearold man from (Fort) Lauderdale, Florida, that his wife was a very highly educated woman with a good job, and that the child was only a year old:

M c C a r t h y : Now Jamie, we were talking earlier on about, ar, Rick and the fact that you kidnapped him from Fortescue Bay.

J a m i e : That’s correct. Yeah.

M c C a r t h y : Do you want to tell me about that?

J a m i e : Not really, no.

M c C a r t h y : Well, you talked about, you talked about, ah, his wife and, er, his child and, um, we’re having difficulties locating his wife and child.

J a m i e : Yes, she’s only 12 months old, the little child, I found out from him.

M c C a r t h y : Right. What, from him?

J a m i e : U m m .

Continued next page...

THE PORT ARTHUR MASSACRE - Was Martin Bryant Framed? Part 2

...M c C a r t h y : Right. What about his wife? Do you know anything about his wife?

J a m i e : Um, sh, yeah, I do.

M c C a r t h y : R i g h t .

J a m i e : I know...

M c C a r t h y : Can you tell me something about it?

J a m i e : I know how high up in things she is. Yeah.

M c C a r t h y : I'm sorry?

J a m i e : I know how high up she is in the different areas.

M c C a r t h y : How, how high up? What do you mean by that, J a m i e ?

J a m i e : In work, higher than what you are...

M c C a r t h y : T h e . . .

J a m i e : ...the intelligence and everything, university and everything .

M c C a r t h y : Oh right, is she, she's only, she, er, a university, e r . . .

J a m i e : Oh, she's passed that; she's got full-time work, but I'm not going to let you know. When the conversation returned to Rick—who Jamie told McCarthy was a lawyer—Jamie launched into the most bizarre statements, one of which implies that Jamie actually knew Rick's w i f e :

M c C a r t h y : ...we're having problems locating Rick's wife.

J a m i e : Where is she?

M c C a r t h y : Well, we don't know because we're not real sure who Rick is.

J a m i e : Oh I don't know, she went round to, um, to Fortescue B a y .

M c C a r t h y : How do you know that, er, Jamie?

J a m i e : She headed round that way.

M c C a r t h y : She headed around that way?

J a m i e : Yeah. Couldn't get...

M c C a r t h y : Right. Well (cough)

J a m i e : ...away quick enough.

M c C a r t h y : Well (cough), if, if, um, if Rick's there, would you mind asking...

J a m i e : W e l l . . .

M c C a r t h y : ...him what his surname is if you don't know?

J a m i e : ...apparently, um, she's had a pretty hard life until she met, um, thingamabob...

M c C a r t h y : S h e . . .

J a m i e : . . . h e r e .

M c C a r t h y : Y e a h .

J a m i e : Rick and, um, he's great, she's a great lady, they're both professional people.

M c C a r t h y : Right. What do, what does, ah, what does she do?

J a m i e : Um, well, I can't tell you t h a t .

M c C a r t h y : Why not?

J a m i e : Cause I don't know.

Whatever we think about the astounding number of bizarre things Jamie told Sgt McCarthy over the phone on the evening of 28 April, the above excerpts establish that the incident cannot be connected with the massacre at Port Arthur.

For Jamie—whether he was Bryant or not—clearly cannot have been hijacking the Nixons' gold BMW or taking Glenn Pears hostage near the Port Arthur General Store at the same time that he was hijacking a BMW and taking "Rick" hostage at the Fortescue Bay turnoff. **Did the Fortescue Bay turnoff carjacking really take place?**

Given that the incident at the Fortescue Bay turnoff is described by both "Jamie" (on 28 April) and Martin Bryant (on 4 July), it is striking that there is no record anywhere of a 34-year-old man from Fort Lauderdale, Florida, and his family being the victims of a carjacking that day. The likelihood, therefore, is that the incident never took place and that Bryant very largely imagined his own participation in a scenario whose outlines he could only have learned about from others.

Most people are aware, due to the unprecedented wave of false accusations of rape and child abuse that swept the United States in the 1980s, of the existence of **false memory syndrome**. As Dr Elizabeth Loftus, Professor of Psychology at the University of Washington, writes in *The Myth of Repressed Memory* (1 9 9 4) :

"We can easily distort memories for the details of an event that you did experience. And we can also go so far as to plant entirely false memories—we call them rich false memories because they are so detailed and so big." Less well known is the fact that pseudomemories can emerge in self-incriminating forms. The textbook case is that of Paul Ingram, an American man accused of sexual abuse by his two daughters, who in the late 1980s "produced an astonishing series of selfincriminating 'memories'" relating to his alleged membership of a satanic cult which had supposedly sacrificed 25 babies.

According to John Frow, what is striking about the Ingram case is the "breathtaking readiness on the part of its major players to form lasting 'memories' on very slight provocation": not only Ingram and his daughters but a son, his wife and two of his colleagues implicated in the supposed satanic cult and in ongoing abuse of the daughters, either at some time remembered major and almost certainly non-existent crimes or at least suspected their own complicity even if not remembering it; and Ingram "remembered", and came firmly to believe in, a pseudomemory suggested to him by a sociologist working as a consultant for the prosecution. Note 5

People of extremely low intelligence—as well as those with certain types of mental illness—are probably even more capable of persuading themselves to believe that they have done terrible things which in fact they have not done, than people of average intelligence. According to Richard Ofshe, a sociologist at the University of California, Berkeley, obtaining confessions from mentally disabled people "is like taking candy from a baby ". Note 6

That such persons have generated false, self-incriminating memories that have led to their being imprisoned or even executed is a documented fact. Two examples are given in Bob Woffinden's 1987 book *Miscarriages of Justice*, including those of Timothy Evans, who confessed to killing his wife, and Margaret Livesey, who confessed to the murder of her son.

Neither was guilty. Thus, with respect to Bryant's admissions regarding the Fortescue Bay turnoff carjacking, we would seem to be looking at a classic case of the mentally deficient person confessing to a crime that he believes he must have committed, even if he doesn't actually remember doing so or know why he would have done such a thing. It is possible to reconstruct the laborious mental process that would have led the hapless Bryant to believe that he had actually perpetrated the Fortescue Bay turnoff carjacking.

When the interview with Inspectors Warren and Paine began, Bryant knew no more than that he was being detained on a single charge of murder. He had no idea what had happened, who had died or why he was being held responsible. Building an explanation on the basis of certain facts that must have been leaked to him about the case, presumably by a doctor and "security guards" (who may in fact have been intelligence agents feeding him carefully selected tidbits of information), he finally believed himself to have commandeered a BMW at gunpoint and taken the male driver hostage.

Although Bryant knew that the man he thinks he took hostage had subsequently died, he did not admit having killed him intentionally. He stated that, as he was knocking on the door of Seascope Cottage, he heard the vehicle explode. His assumption was that his hostage had died in the explosion:

Warren : Do you, you've already said that you remembered me going to see you at the hospital?

Bryant : Ohh yes. Mmm.

Warren : And that I told you that you were being charged with . . .

Bryant : A murder count.

Warren : A murder.

Bryant : Yeah .

Warren : What recollection have you got of that?

Bryant : Must've been the hostage, the bloke in the BMW must've died.

Although Bryant did not recall having set the vehicle on fire, he realised that the explosion had to have started somehow. After concluding (erroneously, as we shall see) that only he could have started the fire, he tried to imagine what he would have to have done to have caused it.

He decided that he must have transferred "two or three" plastic drums of petrol from the Volvo to the BMW, tipped the petrol all over the car, and then lit it using a match (or a lighter) that he must have found inside his jacket pocket. Having decided that this is how he had set fire to the car, Bryant seized upon the fire as an explanation for his burns: "I must've been in the car when it went up, 'cos I got burnt."

He reasoned that the whole mess that had landed him in gaol had been the result of "a bad thing", by which he meant "playing with fire" as he had done when he was 10 years old.

The problems with Bryant's story are immediately apparent. First, there is the matter of where he was when the explosion took place.

If the vehicle exploded while he was knocking on the door of Seascope, how can the explanation for his burns be that he was in the car when it ignited?

How can he possibly not remember where he was when he "got burnt"?

Second, there is the problem of how the explosion started. Bryant told Inspectors Warren and Paine that he had not been carrying anything with him that he could have used to start a fire.

So how could this non-smoker happen to find himself carrying something in his shirt pocket that proved useful for precisely this purpose?

And how can he possibly not recall whether the object was a set of matches or a cigarette lighter?

Clearly, Bryant was foundering for an explanation that would account for the burns to his body and his subsequent loss of liberty. Since he was not trying to evade responsibility for the carjacking and the subsequent explosion, he found himself in the dilemma of a person who accepts that he is guilty but is having great difficulty envisaging the precise circumstances in which he committed the offences. Thus Bryant's recurring use of "must have": he "must've" played with fire, he "must've" transferred petrol drums into the BMW, the hostage "must've" still been in the car when it exploded. In short, Bryant was desperately hypothesising.

If he had really been responsible for the explosion and not seeking to deny it, how can he possibly not remember one to cause it?

If he was suffering from post-traumatic amnesia, how is it that he was able to recall everything clearly enough that had happened prior to the carjacking?

Between his arrest on 29 April and his interrogation on 4 July, therefore, Bryant seems to have performed mental cartwheels in an effort to devise a scenario that would explain how his misfortunes had come about.

By this date, he had confabulated a scenario in which he had commandeered a BMW and set it alight. As we saw, the scenario bears only superficial similarities to the gunman's actual capture of the Nixons' vehicle—an event that was viewed by several witnesses including Jim Laycock, who knew Bryant but did not recognise the gunman as Bryant.

Although the real gunman seized the Nixons' BMW near the PAHS tollbooth, Bryant believes he hijacked a BMW at the Fortescue Bay turnoff. Since he cannot even get the location right, his confession to having captured the vehicle and taken a hostage has to be dismissed as sheer fantasy. However, on account of its resemblance to the scenario recounted to Sgt McCarthy by "Jamie", its key elements (the BMW, the hostage, the petrol drums, the explosion) had to have been suggested to him somehow.

The question is: how?

What I propose is that, once they were in total control of Bryant's environment—and after his arrest, Bryant was subjected to weeks on end of virtual solitary confinement—government agents specialising in mind control convinced Bryant that, due to the traumatic nature of the events in which they alleged he had been involved, he was suffering from psychogenic amnesia (memory blockages).

Continued next page...

THE PORT ARTHUR MASSACRE - Was Martin Bryant Framed? Part 2

They would have offered to help him “recover” his lost memories. Psychiatrists known to have worked with Bryant who may have been involved in such a memory recovery program would include Dr Fred E. Emery, of the notorious brainwashing specialists the Tavistock Institute, who died on 10 April 1997, that is, only a year after Port Arthur—a fact that might well be regarded as suspicious—and Emeritus Professor Ivor Jones of the University of Hobart, who headed the two floors of Royal Hobart Hospital which were devoted to psychiatric studies at the time Bryant was being detained there

The best explanation, therefore, is that we are looking at a case of artificially induced memories. Bryant would have been subjected to the whole arsenal of coercive psychological techniques that are used to break down resistance and enhance suggestibility. Techniques likely to have been employed for the purpose of making him receptive to pseudo-memories would include sleep deprivation, electric shock treatment, hypnosis, “deep sleep” therapy, torture and the administration of beta-blockers like Propranolol.

By such methods, Bryant’s suggestibility would have been elevated to the point that he was fully capable of mistaking a mere narrative for authentic memories.

Such a program would probably have been supplemented by a short video portraying the events themselves. I conjecture that an individual disguised as Bryant—presumably the Port Arthur gunman himself—perpetrated the Fortescue Bay turnoff carjacking, but that the episode was a mere charade performed for the benefit of a video camera.

The entire sequence of events would have been filmed for the purpose of brainwashing Bryant into believing that he had been the actual perpetrator, that he was the man shown in the film. The video camera was then taken by the gunman to the PAHS, where it was abandoned in the Broad Arrow Café as a means of ensuring that it reached the police. If Bryant was subjected to repeated viewings of such footage while under the influence of the appropriate psychoactive drugs, he would have wound up believing quite sincerely that what he had seen portrayed so vividly on the screen had in fact been his own memories.

This theory helps explain a hitherto obscure circumstance: the fact that the Port Arthur gunman, despite being sufficiently burdened already with a heavily stuffed sports bag, was also lugging around with him a large black video camera.

Although the camera was discarded at the café and is known to have been recovered by police, it has not been heard of since. As it is most unlikely that the gunman would have encumbered himself with this object for no reason, the camera had to have played a role in the drama. Although I cannot prove that the camera contained footage of the Fortescue Bay turnoff incident, it might well have contained footage of some kind. If it didn’t, it’s hard to see why the official narrative of the case entirely glosses over the matter of whether there was anything on the camera. Note 7

Although Bryant’s confabulated scenario failed to match the official account of his alleged deeds, it was serviceable enough for the purpose of forging a link with the sinister activities of the real gunman. Inspectors Paine and Warren would have felt gratified that, for all its logical problems,

Bryant’s scenario contained four episodes that feature

in the official account of the Port Arthur massacre: (i) arriving at Seascap (ii) in a stolen BMW (iii) with a male hostage in the boot and (iv) setting the BMW alight. Nonetheless, Bryant’s scenario can be rejected as false because at least three known facts about the case directly contradict it.

First, the BMW was actually set on fire by Constable Andrew M. Fogarty of the Special Operations Group (SOG), who was the first police officer to arrive at Seascap. According to a police insider—apparently Superintendent Bob Fielding, who arrived at the police operations centre at Taranna about half an hour after the incident occurred—Fogarty had fired a phosphorus grenade at the vehicle in order to prevent it from being used as an escape vehicle. (The drums of petrol which Bryant had allegedly brought with him from Hobart that morning, but which no eyewitness actually reported seeing, may therefore be completely fictitious.)

Second, while Bryant believes that the BMW driver was still in the boot when the explosion occurred, the body of the hostage—Glenn Pears—was discovered inside Seascap, not inside the BMW, suggesting that the gunman had freed him from the boot of the BMW and escorted him into the house.

Third, the burns to Bryant’s body were in reality sustained the next day during the Seascap fire. (He emerged from Seascap on the morning of 29 April 1996 with his back in flames.)⁸

In short, although Bryant’s story constitutes an admission of criminal acts, it does not add up to an admission of responsibility for any events that actually took place that day. Damian Bugg, QC, was therefore misleading the Court when, on 19 November 1996, he declared that “Jamie”—who he assumed to have been Bryant—had admitted stealing the Nixons’ BMW and taking Glenn Pears hostage. In fact, “Jamie”, as we’ve seen, had only related a parallel event involving “Rick” from Florida. Bryant did no more than “confess” to the same episode.

Bryant’s distinctive appearance and vehicle

When his police interrogation began, the only significant information Bryant knew about the events of 28–29 April is that Seascap had burned down and a number of people had perished in the fire. He said he obtained the information not from Inspectors Paine and Warren (who seem to have been surprised to learn that he knew this), but from “a doctor, and security guards”. What few Australians know is that Bryant was saddened to hear about Seascap’s destruction and expressed sorrow for the Martins’ loss.

“Worked hard all their lives, renovating; took them years to build it, renovate it and to start it all up, and it’s just so sad to see; apparently it’s burnt down, it’s so sad to see it burnt down,” he lamented.

Before we recount the process by which Bryant was first made aware of his alleged responsibility for the Port Arthur massacre, it is necessary to remind the reader once again that neither forensic nor eyewitness evidence exists to link him to it. The case against him depends entirely upon two circumstantial factors: the distinctiveness of his personal appearance and that of his 1979- model yellow Volvo.

The police framing of Bryant for the massacre therefore included obtaining concessions from him as to the distinctiveness of his appearance and that of his Volvo.

The matter of his appearance was raised spontaneously by Bryant himself, but was instantly capitalised upon by Inspector Warren, who deviously connected it to “Port Arthur”, even though Bryant hadn’t mentioned that location himself:

Warren : Martin, getting back to that point about the hostage, you taking the hostage because you didn’t want him telling the police. What didn’t you want him telling the police?

Bryant : That I took his, umm, car.

Warren : But I mean, if you’d have left him on the side of the road, he wouldn’t have known where you could’ve driven.

Bryant : Yeah, but he could’ve let them know that there was a chap with blonde [s i c] hair, took me car, stole me car. So I sort of put him in the boot to be safe.

Warren : So you thought your looks that day were distinctive, and if someone said they saw a chap with blonde hair...

Bryant : M m m .

Warren : ...at Port Arthur on that particular day?

Second, the Volvo:

Warren : We have lots of people who are telling us that they saw you at Port Arthur and your car.

Bryant : Well, it must’ve been another, there’s other Volvos...

Warren : With surfboards on the top? With someone with long blonde hair driving them or getting out of them?

Bryant : There’s not many with surfboards on top.

As we shall see below, these concessions left Bryant little wiggle room when police confronted him with a photograph of what seemed to be his yellow Volvo parked at Port Arthur. Once they had succeeded in having Bryant admit the distinctiveness of his appearance and that of his Volvo, Inspectors Paine and Warren had to do one more thing before they could confront him with the accusation that he had perpetrated the massacre inside the Broad Arrow Café: they had to convince him that he had entered the PAHS that day. To do so, Warren confronted Bryant with generalised references to eyewitness sightings of himself which he was ill-placed to contest, having already conceded the distinctiveness of his appearance and of his Volvo:

Warren : Well, what would you say if I told you that you were seen going into Port Arthur and in fact you were at the toll gate?

Bryant : I couldn’t’ve been.

Warren : And more than that, that you did complain about the price of admission.

Bryant : Umm, I don’t remember going in, into Port Arthur or going through the toll gate at all.

Warren : Well, as you said a minute ago, you, your description of the long blonde hair does make you, umm, stand out from the crowd.

Bryant : Mmm, exactly.

Warren : What about your yellow Volvo?

Bryant : That would, wouldn’t it? That would stand out.

Later in the interview, Warren showed him a photograph of a vehicle that Bryant conceded looked like his own Volvo:

Warren : Martin, I want you to have a look at this photo. It’s photo number zero one one two. In it is a car I believe to be yours and it’s depicted adjacent to the toll booth.

Bryant : Couldn’t be mine. Where’d you get that? I don’t remember being stationary [inaudible]...

Warren : Do you agree that that could be a surfboard on the top?

Bryant : Yes, I think it probably is.

Warren : And it’s certainly similar to your, ahh, your car?

Bryant : M m m .

Warren : The registration number of this vehicle I think is CG two eight three five.

Bryant : I don’t remember the registration.

Warren : Well that’s your car. So that certainly suggests it because that’s the exit road at the toll booth, that your car had been.

Bryant : How could the car be there when I didn’t go, go there in the first place [inaudible]...?

Warren : As I said, sorry, as I’ve said, we have, there are lots of people saying that they saw you in the Port Arthur site and your car in the Port Arthur site.

Bryant : Mmm, I can’t recall that.

That Inspector Warren twice told Bryant that “lots of people” had seen him at Port Arthur is a clear-cut case of police mendacity. Police witness statements show that the eyewitnesses had seen a man with long blond hair—who, on account of numerous discrepancies, could not have been Bryant. Furthermore, as we saw in the previous article, only one person who actually knew Bryant observed the Port Arthur shooter in action.

That person, Jim Laycock, got a good enough look at the gunman to estimate his age but told police that he “did not recognise the male as Martin Bryant”. Another witness, Michael Copping, who knew Bryant “by casual contact”, saw the gunman driving the Volvo but did not indicate in his police statement that the man had been Bryant.

Continued next page...

THE PORT ARTHUR MASSACRE - Was Martin Bryant Framed? Part 2

In addition, it should be noted that Warren claimed that “Bryant” had complained about the rice of admission to the PAHS. Although he made this statement twice during the interview, both PAHS employees who said that they accepted the money from the Volvo driver, Aileen Kingston and Steven Howard, stated the exact opposite in their respective witness statements. Kingston related: “I was expecting an argument about the entrance fee from the Volvo driver as he looked to me that he didn’t have a lot of money. This didn’t eventuate, and the driver produced \$50.00 and I gave him the change with the tickets as well as a briefing, and he then drove off towards the site.” Inspector Warren seems to have been so determined to stick to a prefabricated script that he felt free to disregard information supplied by actual eyewitnesses.

And what about the Port Arthur massacre itself?

Towards the end of the interrogation, Inspectors Warren and Paine finally broached the subject for which they had spent several hours laying the groundwork. After again denying that he had even been at Port Arthur on 28 April, Bryant reacted as any reasonable person would when charged with crimes as heinous as the Broad Arrow Café shootings:

Warren : We believe you went into Port Arthur. Had a slight argument with the toll gate person about the price on entry. We believe you then went to park your car and an attendant or s o m e o n e . . .

Bryant : Park the car.

Warren : ...said you couldn’t park in a certain spot, so you didn’t and sometime later you did move your car to that spot. We believe you went to the Broad Arrow Café with that bag over there, containing some guns and your video camera. You purchased a meal, you went outside, sat down, and then went back into the café. Took one.

Bryant : But you might’ve. That’s like me saying to you, that you were down there.

Warren : But the difference is, Martin, my car wasn’t down there and I haven’t been identified as being down there and I wasn’t down there. And then you took one of the guns out of your bag and opened fire in the café.

Bryant : Why would I do that? I mean...

Warren : I don’t know, you tell me.

Bryant : Why, why would anyone do a thing like that, what?

Warren : Well, you tell us.

Bryant : [i n a u d i b l e]

Warren : That’s what we want to know Martin, why.

Bryant : What, what, would, I wouldn’t hurt a person in my life.

Inspector Warren then reminded Bryant that he had already admitted having done someone some harm that day:

Warren : Well, you’ve already said you’d put the man in your boot of the car.

Bryant : Only, yes, yes.

Warren : Then you’ve set fire to the car and you thought that he was in the boot.

Bryant : [i n a u d i b l e]

Warren : So how do you explain that?

Bryant : It was a bad thing...

Bryant : Well, I shouldn’t’ve gone and kidnapped him and the BMW. It’s the wrong thing. That and, that, and in the, being caught with not having a driver’s licence. So they’re the two things I’ve done wrong. I don’t know why I stole the BMW in the first place. I wish I’d [inaudible].

Bryant found himself checkmated.

By having him admit that he had done one bad deed that day, Inspector Warren effectively deprived him of a case for asserting that he would not be the kind of person who would murder 35 people! Although the taking of a hostage is clearly not a crime of the same magnitude as mass murder, most readers will think that Bryant has been caught up in his own lies and that the truth will unravel, inch by inch.

The problem with the case Inspectors Paine and Warren presented to Bryant, however, is that it relied upon assertions, not evidence. Apart from the aforementioned image of a yellow Volvo—not necessarily his—parked at the Port Arthur toll gate, they showed Bryant no visual evidence—no photographs, not even the video allegedly made by American tourist James Balasko which purports to show the gunman at the scene—that would decide the matter. What’s more, they showed the accused man nothing of a forensic nature— fingerprints or DNA—that could substantiate their extraordinary allegations.

In other words, when it came to convincing Bryant that he had been responsible for the most appalling crime in recent Australian history, as late as 4 July 1996 Inspectors Paine and Warren still had nothing to fall back on except the distinctiveness of his appearance and that of his car. However, it is not hard to see that both are things that could easily have been imitated by someone involved in a plot to set up Bryant; indeed, the conspicuous absence of any other kind of evidence against him renders such a scenario a virtual certainty. Unfortunately, Bryant’s intellectual limitations are such that he was incapable of graduating to the relatively complex idea that someone had emulated his appearance in order to set him up. His low IQ, in a nutshell, is the real reason why he seems destined to spend the rest of his life in prison...

the Fortescue Bay turnoff. This means that Bryant must have driven from Nubeena to the Fortescue Bay turnoff via Taranna. But this contradicts Bryant’s recollections elsewhere in the same interview of having driven past Port Arthur without stopping. This contradiction is he first clue to the fact that the whole story is imaginary.

5. John Frow, “Recovering Memory”, Australian Humanities Review, December 1996; article available online at <http://www.lib.latrobe.edu.au/AHR/archive/Issue-Dec-1996/frow.html>.

6. See http://www.religioustolerance.org/false_co.htm.

7. The idea that videotapes were used to persuade Bryant to accept responsibility for the Port Arthur massacre and the murders at Seascope is not a far-fetched one. Later in this series, I examine a videotape which seems to have been fabricated months after the massacre for the purpose of convincing Bryant that he had been present at Port Arthur that day.

8 . McDonald (pp. 119-127) discusses several other problems with Bryant’s account .

Endnote for this section;

Note 1. The transcript can be read online at <http://home.overflow.net.au/~nedwood/transcript.html> or http://members.fortunecity.com/able_j/transcript.html Note that the extracts used in this article have been slightly modified in the interests of readability.

2 . Noel McDonald, A Presentation of the Port Arthur Incident, 2001, p. 173. Admittedly, “an edited version of this interview”—two hours long—was played in court on 19 November 1996. However, this was in the context of a sentencing hearing, not a trial (McDonald, pp. 174, 176). That day, Bryant’s second lawyer, John Avery, told the judge that he was “not troubled” by the decision to play the tape—a statement which raises questions about Avery’s view of his obligations to his client.

3. McDonald, pp. 175–76.

4. Since Bryant’s intention after he left Nubeena was to visit the Martins at Seascope, there was no reason for him to go past Seascope as far as



THE PORT ARTHUR MASSACRE - Was Martin Bryant Framed? Part 3

by Carl Wernerhoff Volume 13, No. 6. OCTOBER-NOVEMBER 2006 www.nexusmagazine.com republished with permission

The set-up so far;

In the first article in this series, it was shown that Martin Bryant could not have been the perpetrator of the horrendous massacre at Port Arthur on 28 April 1996 because his fingerprints and/or DNA were never found at the crime scene.

Eyewitnesses also described a man who was not only much younger than but also differed from Bryant in several significant respects. The popular idea that eyewitnesses identified Bryant as the gunman is therefore a complete misrepresentation of the facts. as is the theory that he was a mind-controlled patsy. **Quite simply, he wasn't even there.**

Given that Bryant eventually pleaded guilty to all charges arising from the massacre, the question inevitably arises as to how this came about. Three factors made it possible for the Tasmanian state government to manipulate Bryant into pleading guilty.

- **First, Bryant is an individual of extremely low intelligence**, with a mental age estimated to be that of an 11-year-old. He was therefore much less capable of realising that he was being set up than a person of average intelligence.

This circumstance alone helps explain why Bryant, rather than someone else, was selected as the patsy.

- **Second, after being deprived of his liberty, Bryant was maintained in a condition of virtual solitary confinement for months on end.** During this period, he was at the absolute mercy of his captors and their agents: police, lawyers, psychiatrists, doctors, nurses and security personnel. They could do with him whatever they wanted because very few members of the public, if any, cared what happened to him: the media had successfully persuaded them to believe that he was a monster, not worth an ounce of their pity.

- **Third**, in order to prevent him from finding out the full extent of the crimes which were being attributed to him, **Bryant was not allowed to watch TV, listen to the radio or read newspapers or magazines.** His only potential sources of information about the massacre were his former girlfriend Petra Wilmott, who visited him once, and his mother, who visited him once every few weeks; however, neither was permitted to discuss the case with him.

As late as 4 July 1995, Bryant was under the impression that the only charge against him was a single count of murder arising from the abduction of a male hostage: a lawyer from Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA, whom he knew only as "Rick".

This is imply astonishing because, by 4 July, at least officially, Bryant had been informed on no fewer than three prior occasions (1 May, 22 May and 14 June) that he had been charged with the murder of Kate Elizabeth Scott. Yet the transcript of the 4 July police interrogation makes it abundantly clear that this was the first occasion on which he grasped the fact that the murder charge had

arisen from the death of a female.

This finding inevitably raises questions as to whether Bryant was present (or, if he was present, whether he was conscious) during the three initial indictments.

In the second article in this series, the hypothesis was advanced that in the weeks prior to his 4 July interrogation, a concerted effort was made to implant false memories in Bryant's mind that would represent a first step towards having him accept responsibility for the Port Arthur murders.

According to my hypothesis, psychiatrists would have told Bryant that he needed their help to reconstruct memories of his actions that he had blotted out due to trauma. The anticipated outcome was that Bryant would finally grow convinced that he had committed the crimes, even if he would have no idea why he would have done so.

Fortunately for the Tasmanian Director of Public Prosecutions (DPP), motive was irrelevant. In order to forestall a court trial, Bryant only needed to accept that he had committed the crimes; he did not also need to furnish a motive for having committed them.

The transcript of Bryant's 4 July police interrogation shows that the initial effort was successful enough: on this occasion, Bryant produced a narrative of participation in the carjacking of a BMW at the Fortescue Bay turnoff that was uncannily similar to that related over the phone to police negotiator Sgt Terry McCarthy by the enigmatic "Jamie", the spokesperson for the bizarre events at Seascope Guest House that followed on the heels of the massacre.

Although the crime to which Bryant "confessed" was unconnected to the events at Port Arthur and almost certainly never took place in reality, Bryant's yarn was interpreted by the DPP as a confession to acts actually perpetrated at a different location by the real Port Arthur gunman, i.e., the carjacking and abduction of a male hostage that took place outside the Port Arthur General Store. By ignoring the details of Bryant's "confession", the DPP—Damian Bugg, QC—deceived Tasmania's Supreme Court by telling it that Bryant had confessed to the acts perpetrated by the real gunman.

However, at this early stage of the game, Bryant vehemently resisted the idea that he had perpetrated the murders at Port Arthur. He maintained that he had not even visited the Port Arthur Historic Site (PAHS) on the day in question, and he had difficulty understanding how the police had obtained a picture of a vehicle that seemed to be his own yellow Volvo parked at the PAHS toll gate when he only recalled driving past it. Clearly, a great deal of work remained to be done before Bryant could be made to confess to the shootings at Port Arthur.

Continued next page...



THE PORT ARTHUR MASSACRE - Was Martin Bryant Framed? Part 3

Lawyer John Avery; capers

Bryant's first lawyer, David Gunson, failed to make any headway in this respect, and on 30 September 1996 Bryant pleaded **"not guilty"** to all of the 72 charges against him. He did so "clearly and coolly". Note¹

Gunson resigned as Bryant's lawyer the very next day and refused to clarify his reasons to the media. The individual who rose to the task was John Avery, who had already been involved in the case as part of the police effort to frame Hobart gun dealer Terry Hill for allegedly supplying Bryant with the weapons and ammunition used at Port Arthur.

That Avery was waiting in the wings, ready to take over from Gunson, can be inferred from his presence in the courtroom when Bryant pleaded

"not guilty". Avery met with Bryant for the first time the following day—the day that Gunson retired from the case. **Avery proved able to do in a month what Gunson had failed to do in five.**

On 7 November 1996, Bryant reversed his "not guilty" pleas and finally, on 22 November 1996, pleaded "guilty" 72 times. The fact that on the latter occasion Bryant tittered between his "guilty" pleas is a baffling

circumstance that begs comparison with his previous experience on 30 September. On that occasion, Bryant entered "not guilty" pleas without any inappropriate noises, so it is extremely strange that Bryant apparently tittered while pleading "guilty". Since one would expect the opposite—that a mass murderer declaring himself "not guilty" might do so with a certain selfamusement—it is striking that Bryant apparently was more amused by the idea of pleading "guilty".

Alternatively, he may have been trying to send the public a message: the sounds he made to accompany his "guilty" pleas may have been intended to help convey the message that his pleas were insincere and not to be taken at face value.

A further circumstance that invites concern is that, having pleaded "guilty" to all charges, Bryant was never escorted over the crime scene to verify that he had perpetrated the criminal acts to which he had "confessed".

As researcher Joe Vialls pointed out:

"Standard procedure in these circumstances is to take the suspect out to the crime scene and ask for details of exactly how he committed the crime(s), i.e., where each victim was standing, what sex, how many bullets, where the weapon was reloaded, etc., etc., all recorded on continuous (time-stamped) video.

The Victoria Police Service observed this standard procedure meticulously in the case of Julian Knight at Hoddle Street during 1987, as did the New South Wales Police Service after a street shooting in Wollongong in 1998. "2 Such "walkthroughs" are a staple of modern criminological procedure and are invariably videotaped. Footage of this nature is often used in television crime programs, such as Forensic Investigators and similar American programs such as Body of Evidence. In short, Bryant has never corroborated his "guilty" pleas—a fact that makes them virtually worthless.

How did the turnaround come about in the space of about a month? Until recently, it has been impossible to do more than guess how Bryant was finally persuaded to plead "guilty" to all charges against him.

All we have had to go by is a sequence of events

that looks extremely suspicious: first, Bryant stunned the Tasmanian legal establishment by refusing to plead "guilty";

second, Bryant's first lawyer retired from the case; third, Bryant acquired a new lawyer, John Avery; and fourth, Bryant pleaded "guilty" a month later.

Three transcripts of conversations between Bryant and Avery,

published by the *Bulletin* (4 April 2006), shed a great deal of light on the sudden transformation. Note³

The threat of a trial

However, before we discuss what can be learned from the Bulletin-published transcripts, it is important to emphasise that the first transcript supports the conclusion that the DPP was extraordinarily anxious to prevent a trial from being held:

Bryant: ...Mr B., do you know Mr B.?

Avery: I know Mr B., yes, and Mr D.

Bryant: Well, they are trying to brainwash me to not having a trial.

It is intriguing that the Bulletin has suppressed the names of the two individuals who, unacknowledged in any public source concerning the Port Arthur case, were clearly part of some irregular or extra-legal form of pressure being exerted on Bryant. (I know of no one involved with Bryant's case whose surname begins with "D". However, "Mr B." might well be Damian Bugg, QC.)

If Bryant were really guilty, there would seem no reason why a trial should not have been held. On the other hand, it would be consistent with the case that Bryant was set up that a trial be averted at all costs. Bryant clearly raised the stakes by pleading "not guilty"



Lawyer John Avery, at his desk. (Source: *Sydney Morning Herald*, 29 March 2006. Photo: Andrew Meares)

At this stage, the DPP at least went through the motions of preparing for the possibility that there would be a trial, with a provisional date set for a first session on 18 November 1996.

Throughout October 1996, the DPP's focus was on strategies for controlling such a trial. One strategy was clearly to sift through the body of witness testimony and eliminate witnesses who posed a problem for the prosecution.

One witness scrubbed at this point was **Wendy Scurr**. Despite her status as one of the more high-profile witnesses, Scurr was sent a letter by the Office of the DPP, dated 15 October 1996, informing her that her witness testimony "will not be necessary in the trial of Martin Bryant".

By far the most interesting part of this letter—which does not even consider the possibility that Avery might call her as a witness for the defence—is a passage in which Scurr was warned against speaking to the media prior to the trial:

"Because you are not called as a witness it does not mean that you can freely discuss issues in a public way. We would be most concerned if there was any inappropriate pre-trial publicity about this matter. We would ask that you exercise caution if you are approached by any representative of the Media as it would be unfortunate indeed if the trial process was in any way delayed or complicated through inappropriate pre-trial discussions." Note 4

The intimidating tone of this letter defies belief.

By 15 October 1996, Martin Bryant was already the victim of the most prejudicial pre-trial publicity in Australian history. Given that there is virtually nothing Scurr could have said to foster a more anti-Bryant climate than that which already existed, it would be difficult to interpret this letter as a warning to her not to contribute in any way to the further demonisation of the accused. Virtually the only way Scurr could have "delayed" or "complicated" the trial was if she had thrown a spanner into the works by publicly declaring that the man she saw at the PAHS that day had not been Bryant—which we now know is her position—or if she had reported the existence of hitherto unsuspected accomplices.

This letter could therefore be regarded as a deliberate attempt by the prosecution to pervert the course of justice by ordering a witness to shut up. It is the authors of this letter—Damian Bugg, QC, and DPP clerk Nick Perks—who should therefore be under scrutiny. Note; 5

A further insight into the deviousness of the DPP's strategies derives from Bryant himself. On 3 October 1996, Bryant told Avery that he was not allowed to cut his hair, which by that stage was so long and unruly as to resemble dreadlocks:

Bryant: ..I can't have a haircut until after the Court case.

Avery: Who said that?

Bryant: I mentioned that to one of the officers.

Avery: Oh, did you?

Bryant: He said to me the other day, "You can't till after the Court case". I'll have to try and brush my hair a bit and keep it tidy.

Given that the only thing Bryant had in common with the Port Arthur gunman—other than being male and under 30—was his long blond hair, it is hardly surprising that he was denied a haircut.

The DPP would have wanted Bryant to preserve the image of the "blond Rambo" in case his distinctive appearance became a factor during a trial.

In any event, Avery's successful interventions in the case soon spared the DPP the immense trauma of orchestrating a trial, and when Bryant appeared in court in November he had in fact had a haircut.

The Avery Transcripts

During October 1996, John Avery engaged in untold hours of "discussions" with Martin Bryant at Risdon Prison Hospital. Of the 20 meetings the pair had during that period, only the transcripts for parts of three have been made public. (Whether these transcripts are accurate verbatim records of the conversations must remain in doubt. Their accuracy clearly cannot be confirmed without having access to the original recordings.)

The first transcript, which preserves part of a conversation that took place on 3 October 1996, is from most points of view the most important; the second and third present a Bryant echoing the police tune like a trained parrot. **How Avery got Bryant to the point that only five days later he would casually discuss the massacre as if he had really perpetrated it is a subject that is ignored in the published transcripts; only unedited transcripts of the complete conversations would provide the necessary clues.**

Avery's major concern was apparently to persuade Bryant away from persisting with his "not guilty" pleas, as doing so would force a trial. As he told *the Bulletin* earlier this year (4 April 2006): "That was the hardest thing, because if Bryant wanted to be the ringmaster, it was going to be difficult to stop him."

When Avery met Bryant on 3 October 1996, Bryant clearly still regarded himself as the "ringmaster" and was anticipating a trial in the not-too-distant future.

Only five days later, according to the second transcript (8 October 1996), **Bryant was apparently prepared to accept responsibility for literally any acts Avery wanted him to, no matter how heinous, meaning that a trial would no longer be necessary.**

Two factors seem to have contributed to the transformation. **The first** was Avery's success in convincing Bryant that, without an alibi for his whereabouts at the time of the massacre, he had no viable defence strategy. "I can't magically find a defence that you were in Hong Kong or somewhere else," he told Bryant.

The second factor was Avery's use of "evidence" allegedly putting Bryant at Port Arthur on 28 April 1996. In addition to the old chestnut that lots of people saw Bryant at Port Arthur—"Heaps and heaps of people [say] you're it, you were there"—Bryant was given an undisclosed number of witness statements to study.

Since his low IQ would have rendered him unable to consider the possibility that the statements he was given had been faked or were being presented to him in a misleading way—matters concerning the integrity of the evidence are, of course, normally the responsibility of the defence; but Avery was not seeking to defend Bryant, only persuade him to plead guilty—Bryant was left in the position of being forced to conclude that the man they referred to could only have been himself.

Continued next page...



The Balasko video

Avery told Bryant that the evidence against him, in addition to the witness statements, included a video image: ***“...they’ve even got a photograph of you off the video walking round with a gun at Port Arthur shooting everyone. So you’re pretty distinctive.”***

The video to which Avery was referring can only have been that allegedly made by American tourist James Balasko, which is a fake. It was reportedly filmed from behind a campervan as the gunman returned to his vehicle. However, the actual circumstances in which the video came to light are highly suspicious and militate strongly against its authenticity.

The official story is that Tasmania Police only became aware of the video’s existence after a follow-up interview with Balasko on 1 August 1996, two weeks before its investigation concluded. To be sure, Balasko did not mention having filmed the gunman in the police witness statement he gave on the day following the massacre. The best explanation for Balasko’s failure to mention the video on that occasion is, quite simply, that he hadn’t made one. It is, after all, extremely improbable that he would have tried filming the gunman. Like most of the latter’s other potential victims, the American’s priority at that stage would have been to remain as inconspicuous as possible. Yet seven months later, Damian Bugg, QC, told the Supreme Court that Balasko had “placed himself in a position of danger” in order to make the film, and furthermore that the risk had become a reality because the gunman noticed Balasko filming and fired a shot at him. Can we really believe that Balasko would have risked his life to make a video?

The two contradictory statements Balasko made regarding the circumstances in which he allegedly made the video are proof of the hoax. In his 29 April statement, he said that he ducked behind the campervan precisely because he saw the gunman take aim at him. He made no mention of either possessing a video camera or filming the gunman. In his 1 August statement, however, Balasko said: “As I was filming the shooter, he noticed me sticking out behind the van with my camera...”

Not only are the two statements irreconcilable, but if Balasko really had made a video of the gunman it beggars belief that he would not have mentioned it to the police at the first opportunity. At this stage, the footage would have been of immense value to both the police and the Australian media. What’s more, failing to declare the existence of footage pertaining to the commission of a crime would probably have constituted a felony.

There can be little doubt, therefore, that Balasko and Tasmania Police are lying and the video was actually concocted after the event. Balasko, who is rumoured to be an American CIA operative, would readily have agreed to help the police out by vouching for the spurious footage. (He also agreed to overdub some corny commentary for the video’s first public presentation on Channel 9’s A Current Affair on 24 November 1996.)

The spuriousness of the video becomes readily apparent upon close examination. Particularly suspicious is the fact that the images of the shooter captured in the

video entirely lack facial detail. The facial area looks unnaturally washed out, which can only have been the result of digital tampering. The only discernible facial feature, in fact, is the outline of the actor’s nose, which looks pert and feminine—in clear contrast to Bryant’s extremely full nose.

In this regard, Ian McNiven, a critic of the official Port Arthur story, has made an interesting observation that towards the end of the footage:

“...just as the gunman turns to face Balasko’s camera showing the gunman’s face, the head of the gunman disappears having been clearly fuzzed out when the remainder [of] him is quite clear...

The dazzling gold hair also has disappeared...

This fact is clear evidence someone didn’t want the gunman’s face seen and the reason is because it wasn’t that of Martin Bryant. What they wanted the public to see was the blond-haired man...” ⁶

Abducted and drugged?

Now that it’s been established that Bryant appears to have been persuaded to plead “guilty” to the massacre because he had no alibi, the question that arises is this: if Bryant was not guilty of the crimes at the PAHS, where was he when they took place?

Why is it that no one can provide him with an alibi for his whereabouts between 12.50 pm and 1.50 pm on 28 April 1996?

There are, as we should expect, very few clues as to what happened. All that can be said with confidence is that something happened to Bryant shortly after he stopped for coffee and a toasted sandwich at Nubeena, **since that is when his pseudomemories began.**

The baffling gap which appears in Bryant’s recollections after Nubeena can probably best be explained by a scenario in which Bryant was intercepted, abducted and drugged into unconsciousness after he left Nubeena. If Bryant had any genuine memories of that period, he would probably have been far less suggestible than he turned out to be. Around lunchtime on 28 April, therefore, Bryant must have been administered a drug that literally knocked him out until he woke up, with his back on fire, in Seascope the following morning. (The lingering effects of the drug may explain why Bryant retained no memory of the bedside hearing on 30 April at which he was formally charged with the murder of Kate Scott.) Thus Bryant has no memory of where he was at the time of the massacre, because by then he was already unconscious; accordingly, no one can provide him with an alibi for his whereabouts in the crucial time period because by that stage he was already in police custody.

The interception and abduction of Martin Bryant can be deduced from a number of intriguing facts. First of all, in his 4 July police interrogation, Bryant lamented that one of the only two things he had done wrong was “being caught with not having a driver’s licence”.

However, there is nothing on the public record about Bryant’s apprehension for driving without a licence. This otherwise overlooked incident probably suggests that, after he left Nubeena, Bryant was intercepted by the police, the pretext for taking him into custody being his

Abducted and drugged?

His Volvo would have been taken into custody at the same time. One of the policemen would have drugged Bryant—probably at Nubeena Police Station—and delivered the unconscious man to Seascap in the boot of his vehicle, while the other would have dropped Bryant's car off at the PAHS shortly before the massacre began. This scenario presupposes that there were police in the

area tailing him. Strikingly, three policemen were present in the area that day, any or all of whom could have been involved in the abduction effort. According to the official story, sometime around midday the only two policemen on the Tasman Peninsula, Constable Paul Hyland of Nubeena Police Station and Garry Whittle of Dunalley Police Station, were summoned away to a remote location at Saltwater River—the farthest point on the peninsula—by an anonymous caller

reporting a large stash of heroin. About an hour later, the policemen allegedly rang in to report that the call had been a hoax and that nothing had been found at Saltwater River other than a sample of ordinary soap powder. Although it is generally assumed that the perpetrators of the massacre decoyed the two policemen to this remote location in order to retard the police response to the massacre, the story itself could well be bogus and have been invented to provide an alibi for their doings in the crucial hours beforehand. At the time the two policemen were allegedly decoyed on a wild goose chase, they could well have been actually engaged in abducting Martin Bryant and commandeering his Volvo.

A third policeman, Constable Chris Iles from Sorell Police Station, was also present in the area at the time of the massacre. According to eyewitness Kyle Spruce, Iles appeared in front of Port Arthur General Store within a minute or two of the gunman's departure. He then sped off towards Seascap. No explanation has ever been given for Iles being out of his own district that afternoon, just as there has been no explanation for what he did after he reached Seascap, which he would have done within five or 10 minutes.

The scenario described above would account for several interesting circumstances:

(1) Bryant told his interrogators that while surfing at Roaring Beach he noticed two people body-surfing in short wetsuits at the other end of the beach. It is interesting that Bryant should recall such a trivial detail. That he chose to mention it may indicate that he assigned the men some significance—significance which has been expunged from the interrogation transcript. Could the men have been Hyland and Whittle? If so, how did they know they could begin tailing Bryant there?

Did Bryant's girlfriend Petra Wilmott, after she left his house that morning, alert them to the fact that Bryant planned to go surfing at Roaring Beach?

(2) According to Michael Beekman and Rebecca McKenna—two persons who had been sitting near the gunman on the front deck area of the Broad Arrow Café—the Port Arthur gunman was watching the carpark anxiously in the period between about 1.10 and 1.15 pm. According to

PAHS employee Aileen Kingston, a yellow Volvo arrived at the Port Arthur toll gate at around the same time. The vehicle would therefore have entered the Port Arthur carpark a minute or two later. After a few minutes of inane chatter, the gunman suddenly rose from his table on the front deck and entered the café proper. Chronologically, the two events are so closely tied that they must represent cause and effect. The Volvo's arrival in the carpark appears to have

been a signal to the gunman that the massacre was to go ahead as planned. (The use of such a signalling device seems obvious enough when you consider that the decision as to whether the massacre was to go ahead would have depended on whether Bryant, the designated patsy, had successfully been apprehended.

It wouldn't have been acceptable to have allowed Bryant to be seen elsewhere at the time of the massacre, and his car also needed to be on hand for the gunman to use as an escape vehicle.)

(3) At around 1.50 pm, in circumstances that remain extremely obscure, two things seem to have happened at Seascap. A hostage was taken out of the boot of a vehicle and taken inside Seascap Cottage. At more or less the same time, an explosion occurred which destroyed the BMW that had been hijacked by the gunman. It is entirely possible that the hostage who was taken by the gunman—Glenn Pears—was still inside the boot of the vehicle when it ignited, and that the hostage who was taken inside Seascap Cottage was none other than Martin Bryant. In short, the gunman may have taken Glenn Pears hostage for no other reason than to provide a cover story for witness sightings of a hostage being bundled into Seascap. Although the official story is that Pears's body was found inside Seascap, only the officers who first opened the BMW's boot after the siege was over the following morning—and the media were not allowed to visit the location until 11.00 am, giving the police a period of approximately two hours in which to tamper with the crime scene—would be in a position to know the truth.

Continued next Page...



Petra Wilmott, girlfriend of Martin Bryant in the two months before the massacre



THE PORT ARTHUR MASSACRE - Was Martin Bryant Framed? Part 3

Where are the witnesses?

All Port Arthur Massacre (PAM) researchers face essentially the same obstacle when they seek to show that the official narrative cannot be true.

If the official story is not true, people ask, then why haven't eyewitnesses come forward to denounce it as a hoax and tell us what they saw?

In my opinion, it is impossible to answer this question satisfactorily without presenting an overarching theory of the case.

In this three-part article I have concerned myself with only a part of the whole: the issue of Bryant's framing.

A great many aspects of the case have not been dealt with for reasons of space, and these aspects include evidence that would convince anyone that the massacre involved elements of the Australian federal government.

In the wake of John Howard's emergence as opposition leader in January 1995 and police forensic expert Sergeant Gerard Dutton's move from Sydney to Hobart soon afterwards, the year preceding the events of 28 April 1996 also saw a staggering number of personnel changes within the Tasmanian state government, including Premier Ray Groom's baffling exchange of the state's top job for a swag of ministerial portfolios six weeks before the massacre. Also, in June 1995, owner Jim Laycock sold the Broad Arrow Café to the Tasmanian government.

This, in an age of privatisation, seems to have been an extremely unusual case of acquisition by government of the kind of business normally considered the preserve of private enterprise. The government, which took over the building on 1 July 1995, then proceeded to refurbish it—presumably to create the perfect environment for the kind of massacre being planned.

The work included the insertion of a new door to the rear of the building—the very door which infamously failed to operate on the day of the massacre. A particularly damning piece of evidence is the fact that in 1995 the Tasmanian government ordered a mortuary vehicle that was capable of carrying 16 bodies at once. note 7

It is impossible to account for the government's decision to purchase such a vehicle when the state—which had been the most peaceful in Australia for over a hundred years—had an average murder rate of one every two months. No other state, not even New South Wales and Victoria—the states in which all previous gun rampages had occurred—possessed a vehicle with such substantial capacity.

So why did the Tasmanian government decide it needed such a vehicle in 1995?

And why did it subsequently decide that the vehicle, having proved its worth at Port Arthur in 1996, would not be needed in future and, in September 1998, offer it for sale? Someone with remarkable abilities of prediction seems to have been steering the course of Tasmanian government policy in the 1990s.



The mortuary ambulance remains just one small piece of the puzzle. It takes looking at only a few such pieces before it becomes impossible to avoid the conclusion that the massacre had to have been organised by elements within the Tasmanian government (albeit presumably at the instigation of the federal government). It is only as a government conspiracy that the carnage makes any sense. The most important clue perhaps is that, when the shooting began at 1.27 pm that day, the Broad Arrow Café was crowded with in excess of 60 people.

The café was “chockers” (crammed full), to quote witness Michael Beekman. This is because, in addition to the regular numbers of tourists, there was a sizeable contingent of members of the Australian security (police/military) and intelligence establishments—including many individuals who appear to have been agents of covert government organisations such as ASIO and the even more secretive ASIS.

Among the dead, there is considerable certainty regarding the intelligence affiliations of Tony Kistan, Andrew Mills and Anthony Nightingale.⁸ Of the survivors, those who have been tentatively identified as spooks include Rob Atkins, Karen Atkins, Lyn Beavis, Justin Noble and Hans Overbeeke. Several army personnel were present, including RAF veteran Graham Collyer, Vietnam veteran John Godfrey and Major Sandra Vanderpeer.

Intelligence agents from abroad may also have been involved. In addition to two suspicious Americans—James Balasko, whose role in the production of a fake video was mentioned above, and gun-control advocate Dennis Olson—there is the intriguing case of a Taiwanese man injured in the shooting who would not tell anyone his name, and whose identity in fact has been suppressed by the DPP, even to the point that Bugg referred to an “Asian gentleman” rather than a “Taiwanese gentleman”.⁹

It appears that planning for the massacre drew upon the expertise of intelligence agents from around the world.

The most plausible explanation for the presence of so many agents in the Broad Arrow Café at the same time is that their work had brought them there: their job was to pose as members of the public and help manage the aftermath of the slaughter. Some of them may have been tasked with scooping up evidence afterwards; others may have been coached to talk to the press, perhaps to offer detailed descriptions of a gunman who would, at least in their accounts, bear an uncanny resemblance to Martin Bryant and to provide other sundry pieces of disinformation.

Other operatives may have been present only because they wanted to see for themselves how everything went down, perhaps out of idle curiosity or perhaps out of “career development” motives. Obviously, they cannot have expected the massacre to take place inside the café. The expectation seems to have been that it would be carried out a short distance away, on the Isle of the Dead. At least four people—Rob Atkins, Michael Beekman, Gaye Lynd and Rebecca McKenna—claimed to have heard the gunman make remarks about going to the Isle of the Dead to kill wasps.¹⁰

After the shootings, the idea that the gunman’s original destination was the Isle of the Dead was expressed by several people including PAHS employee Ian Kingston and Assistant Police Commissioner Lupo Prins. Prins told the *Hobart Mercury* (31 December 1996):

“At one stage we thought he was trying to get on a boat which a lot of people were on, to go to the Isle of the Dead. Had he got on the vessel he could have shot everybody on board, so the potential was there for it to be a lot worse than it was.”

I have always been highly skeptical about the idea that the police were able to read the gunman’s mind—to claim to know what he intended to do—when there are no indications, other than a few vague references to the island, that he planned to do anything other than what he finally did do. What we are supposed to believe, apparently, is that the gunman only entered the Broad Arrow Café after he had learned that the Bundeena ferry service was taking tourists out to the Isle of the Dead at 2.00 pm that day, not at 1.30 pm as he had supposed. (The ferry timetable had been changed two weeks earlier.)

This theory has the advantage of explaining why a café brimming with intelligence agents became the target. Unfortunately, the theory also asks us to accept two highly unlikely things:

(1) that the gunman (or anyone working with him) never bothered to check the ferry timetable carefully before he came up with his plan; and

(2) that at more or less the last minute the gunman, on his

own initiative, made a radical change of plan and fixed on the café as the location, even though it was “chockers” with agents involved in the exact same plot.

Yet according to Rebecca McKenna’s witness statement, the gunman went from chatting idly about European wasps to entering the café in the space of a few minutes.

As far as I can tell, nothing significant happened in the interval—although the gunman was watching the carpark anxiously and must have had a reason for being fixated on that area. It is possible, therefore, although I think not highly likely, that someone signalled to him from the carpark that the café, rather than the Isle of the Dead, was to become the massacre scene. (As you’ll already have read, my view is that what he observed was, rather, the delivery of Martin Bryant’s Volvo to the carpark, and that the presence of the real Bryant vehicle was the signal for the massacre to begin.) I part ways with most other PAM conspiracy researchers, therefore, when I reject the theory of the Homer Simpson-like gunman so daft as to forget to check the ferry timetable ahead of time (doh!) and argue that the eventual outcome was far from being an accident: the gunman was a skilled professional who did exactly what he had been trained to do.

The view that the massacre went off according to plan is buttressed by the footage that was released to the media of faked images of the gunman’s blue sports bag sitting on top of a table inside the entirely pristine café. Referring to a frame taken from the footage that appears on his website, Ian McNiven writes that since it is “inconceivable” that the police “would have cleaned up the crime scene to take this picture”, it must have been taken before the massacre—perhaps, I would suggest, before the café opened for business that day.¹¹

This seems strong evidence that the massacre unfolded in the café exactly as planned. The key to understanding the massacre is thus that it contained at its heart a **“double-cross” mechanism enabling it to eliminate a substantial part of the personnel who had actually been involved in planning it.** It is certainly hard not to believe that Anthony Nightingale was involved in the plot: as soon as the shooting started, he leapt up from his seat to cry out, **“No, no, not here!”** Clearly, Nightingale knew, or thought he knew, where the massacre was supposed to take place. Yet the gunman fired on regardless. The best answer, therefore, to the question of why no survivors have come forward is that many, if not most, were intelligence operatives.

Those who knew about the massacre were expecting to be able to observe it from a safe distance. Those at the highest levels of the plot had in mind a quite different development: the massacre would lead to the elimination of most of the people who knew anything about it.

This was easily done—only a handful needed to know that the carnage would really take place inside the café—and would ensure that afterwards there were very few left who actually knew what had happened and so there could be few leaks.

The survivors, having been tricked in this way, would have been left in an extremely awkward position. They could hardly have gone public with what they knew, for to do so would oblige them to admit that they had been involved in a plot to murder the tourists on the Isle of the Dead.

Continue Next Page...





The *CWB* 3rd Edition

Professional MEDIA Services
www.corruptionwhistleblower.com



OUR MISSION:

1. Official Gazette paper with a purpose
2. To Source and Share Evidence Based Facts
3. Separation from tyranny and treachery, while calling out treason
4. Live in peace by standing for truth with honour, grace and integrity
5. We voice as Living-Women-and-Living-Men
6. Keeping Sacred the Creator of this Earth in The Heavens, as our only God
7. Advocate for The Restoration of Justice and Government Service Systems Reformation

"For we can do nothing against the truth, but for the truth";
2 Corinthians 13:8

Ordering: Get All 3 Editions posted to your door (Aust-wide) for \$45.00 and help spread the Facts of the News ORDER BY : Depositing \$45:00 into
The City of Heaven Foundation BSB 032-527 Account 320-648

And your Last Name and Suburb as the description: Then text a copy of your payment receipt and Your Order Amount, Name and Delivery Address to Peter 0451 000 114 : *Thank You...*

Submit your story / articles to this paper: Please submit your evidence-based freelance journalism, corporate child abduction testimonies, story-articles, pictures and memes to;

Email: info@corruptionwhistleblower.com

Distribution Opportunity: If you would like to assist with newspaper distribution and make some extra cash & place a bulk order; please contact **Peter on 0451 000 114** or Email; info@corruptionwhistleblower.com

Advertising: For all your CWB ADVERTISING NEEDS CONTACT BELINDA 0419 980 890 or email info@corruptionwhistleblower.com

DISCLAIMER:

The authors and contributors of content published in this newspaper assume no responsibility, nor take responsibility, and thereby, not liable for any information published:

The intent of this newspaper is to publicly gazette facts as a Brief of Evidence, in good faith to publish and report, with commentary and information about matters for The Peoples interest, to raise awareness, show errors, defects, strategies and injustices in private, corporate governments and associated departments as held to Natural Law established, and/or legislation made by any legislative instrument, or by any administration or enforcement allowed or applied to the aforementioned: **All Are Equal before the Law:** errors and omission accepted by reader: from **Professional MEDIA Service CWB**

PUBLICATION INTENT:

The authors and contributors of content published in this newspaper come in peace and with no vexation; it is our intention that morality, honesty and accountability be restored to We The People of this Nation and that the true law be upheld.

It is therefore intended, in good faith, to restore justice, to assist in raising awareness and holding individuals accountable for all unlawful actions made by those in roles of political, judicial and public offices, **who have caused harm, loss and injury to The People of this nation, thus to be held individually liable for their actions as there is no such thing as corporate immunity and**

"Following orders will not release you from what you criminally did";

By the Maxims of Law - All are accountable for their actions - No one is above the law-



"The People of this Nation may request, Any Individual PERSON in any public or corporate office, doing such unlawful aforementioned actions, to be held to account by a lawful system of;

- Living Men & Woman Law Courts with a Jury -

:OFFENDERS-ARE-NOW-ON-NOTICE; Await Further Instruction:"

<https://t.me/WhistleBlowersofCorruption> <https://t.me/corruptionwhistleblower>

Find us on Telegram



Order All 3 Editions -

Delivered to your door

Anywhere in Australia

THE CORRUPTION WHISTLEBLOWER

\$ 5:00 AUD

Brief of Evidence - 1st Edition Revised - 2025

ACCOUNTABILITY WANTED



**TREASONOUS POLITICIANS,
JUDICIARY AND
PUBLIC SERVANTS**

"Following orders won't release you from what you criminally did"

**"RETURN OUR CHILDREN
AND OUR PROPERTY
IMMEDIATELY"**

- FOR THE RESTORATION OF JUSTICE -

Digital copy available at www.corruptionwhistleblower.com

www.corruptionwhistleblower.com

Professional MEDIA Services

1

THE CORRUPTION WHISTLEBLOWER

\$ 5:00 AUD

Brief of Evidence 3rd Edition - The Shot Gun Edition - 2025

**THE AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT
PAST AND PRESENT MEMBERS**

WANTED FOR QUESTIONING AT A TRIAL OF THE FACTS



**THE PEOPLE ARE QUESTIONING THE PRE-ARRANGED
DOMESTIC TERRORIST ATTACK AT PORT ARTHUR AND
THE BIO-TERRORIST MASS EXTINCTION
EVENT CURRENTLY IN PROGRESS...**

"Following orders won't release you from what you criminally did"

^ FOR THE RESTORATION OF JUSTICE ^

www.corruptionwhistleblower.com

Professional MEDIA Services

1



Brief of Evidence 1st Edition Revised 2025

Brief of Evidence 2nd Edition 2025

Brief of Evidence 3rd Edition -Shot Gun Edition 2025

Your receive these 3 CWB Editions evidencing the extreme mischievous criminal conduct of The Australian Government Service Office Holders and others, who have been deliberately defrauding, unlawfully imprisoning, poisoning, trafficking and enslaving The People of this Nation, and this terrorism has been exposed in detail in all 3 Editions of 80 pages each, all vital admissible evidence and information you must be aware of:

Get All 3 Newspaper Editions posted to your door for \$45.00 and help spread the Facts

Electronic Subscription Available Online

www.corruptionwhistleblower.com

THE CORRUPTION WHISTLEBLOWER

\$ 5:00 AUD

Brief of Evidence - 2nd Edition - 2025

**PAST AND PRESENT GOVERNORS - GENERAL
AND HIGH COURT JUSTICES**

WANTED FOR QUESTIONING AT A TRIAL OF THE FACTS

Samantha MOSTYN David HURLEY Peter COSGROVE Quentin BRYCE Michael JEFFERY Peter HOLLINGWORTH



**FOR TERRORISING AND CAUSING SERIOUS HARM,
LOSS AND INJURY TO THE PEOPLE, THE FAMILY UNIT
AND CHILDREN OF THIS NATION**

"Following orders won't release you from what you criminally did"

= FOR THE RESTORATION OF JUSTICE =

Digital copy available at www.corruptionwhistleblower.com

www.corruptionwhistleblower.com

Professional MEDIA Services

1

**Get All 3 Editions posted to your door
(Aust-wide) for \$45.00 and help spread the
Facts of the News**

ORDER BY : Depositing \$45:00 into

The City of Heaven Foundation

BSB 032-527 Account 320-648

and your Last Name and Suburb as the description:

Then **TEXT** a copy of your payment receipt & Amount
of papers, Name and Delivery Address to

PETER 0451 000 114 Thank You

www.corruptionwhistleblower.com

